1999	(1)Don Bell	(I)Ernie	Bill Denault	Heather	(I)Janice	Doug	(I)Lisa
FONVCA's 20	Don Den	Crist	Din Denauit	Dunsford	Harris	MacKay-	Muri
QUESTIONS		Clist		Dulisioru	1141115	Dunn	Mull
	Important link from	Accept more	Community	See an expanded	Very important-	Important as eyes and	Vital- self
1. Position on role of the	community to council.	responsibilities	Associations need	role to ensure	"grass roots"	ears of council.	comfort
Community	Concern of dominance		operating	average citizen			limited
Associations	by "professional residents"		guidelines.	represented.			
2. Share source	Yes – thus far self-	Own + friends	Yes	Yes. Not accepting	Yes – all amounts	Yes	Individual
of financial	financed			from unions,	open to FONVCA		donations
support?				developers, corporate bodies.			
3. Favour Way	Yes, yr2000 is final	All proceeds go	Yes	No – must limit use	Yes	No – wants	(*)DNAQ
Heritage Funds	year for use on capital	to heritage fund		to capital		independent audit of	land is real
currently used	renewal items.			expenditures.		what has happened.	fund, not \$\$\$'s
4. Foresee	No. Business growth	No	Growth will be	Yes unless council	No more Braemar-	Some further growth	No
further	should be encouraged.		affected by GVRD	has vision and will	Dempseys, yes to	in eastern part -	
significant			trends. Business growth to be	to control it.	Laurels type.	modest compared to other parts of GVRD.	
Growth in			encouraged.			outer parts of G VKD.	
specific areas?			-				
5. #Council	Council 70-76, 93-96,	Maximum	About 20% in past	Often over last	Numerous as	•	3-4/week
Meetings etc.	Mayor 76-82, 93-99		2 years (includes TV)	several years	councillor	School Board.	
attended.			1 V)				
6. Agree with	In principle, supports	Yes	No	No – but favour	Partly	Does not believe we	No
Waterfront Task	many of 172 recommendations			some aspects.		should spend \$millions at this time.	
Force	recommendations					animons at this time.	
7. Playing Field	Not for amateur and	No for District	No	No	Yes for	Field users are	Yes
User Fees	family use and then limited to maintenance.	residents			maintenance and development	already paying via volunteer labor. Outstanding fields	
						attract fees.	
8. How to meet	Public/private partnerships with	Neighbour-hood needs; land	Through lease of District lands	Be more creative working with non-	With leadership & imagination	Public/private partnerships on	Limited by why we live
housing needs	density bonusing or	swaps	District failes	profit groups and	magmation	District leased land.	here.
	lease of District vacant			local communities		Granny cottages next	
9. Growth	land.	Neighbour-hood	A	A	Cannot answer	to homes. Slow steady growth.	A
Philosophy		driven				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
10. Amalgamation	Yes!	Yes	Yes	Yes	No – support	Yes	Yes
with City of North Vancouver?					shared services		
11. Service Cut	Increase efficiencies,	Improve	Take more money	Need thorough	No waterfront, cuts	Will wait for	Review upper
candidates	Cuts to non-essentials, consult public for priority	efficiency	from Heritage Fund till provincial recession ends.	financial review to comment.	determined by community survey	independent audit before commenting.	management
12. Improved	No locally -to provide	No. Past	Regionally yes,	No	No	Improved public	No
transportation	alternative to car. Yes regionally -to reduce	tramways in low densities	locally on "need".			transportation will lead to densification.	
tied to	sprawl.	GEHSILIES				icau to uchsification.	
densification?	*						
13. Bylaw	Reduce over-regulation,	All aspects.	End political	Review required to	More money spent	Will boost	Satisfied with
enforcement	simplify, so bylaws can be effectively enforced.		interference – leaving enforcement	insure they make sense.	here means less for other services	enforcement beyond just action on	complaint driven system
improvements?	oc checuvery emoreed.		to staff.	Selise.	odici scivices	complaints.	arven system
14. Long term	Continue use until a	Close it down	Retain as backup.	Retain as watershed.	Retain as	Save at all cost.	Retain with
view of Capilano	safer, cost effective alternate supply in			No development or road to Whistler.	watershed and protected natural		no logging
Watershed	service. Retain as protected reserve with no road to Whistler			Todd to Willistici .	area		
		l		I	1	1	l

1999	(1)Don Bell	(I)Ernie	Bill Denault	Heather	(I) Janice	Doug	(I)Lisa
FONVCA's 20	Don Den		Din Denauit				
		Crist		Dunsford	Harris	MacKay-	Muri
QUESTIONS						Dunn	
15. Changes to	Propose changes which	Enforce rules;	Need realistic	Current rules not	Supports proposed	Size limits should	Supports
Bed & Breakfast	better address community and	Large lots only	regulations with priority to health	sensible. B&B aspects should "fit"	changes - up to 6 bedrooms	suite house and neighbourhood	proposed changes - up
regulations.	operator concerns.		and safety.	neighbourhood.	bedrooms	impact.	to 6 bedrooms
16. Will you review	Not in near future. Current regulations seem to work well.	Yes – any time	Allow reasonable phase-in period.	Yes – within 1 year.	No	Yes – concerns and need for them should be considered.	Yes
Secondary	seem to work wen.					be considered.	
Suites?							
17. Views structure & efficiency of GVRD	Need to improve communication, accommodate local concerns. Against elected reps.	Elected	Needed but it lacks sensitivity and should be overhauled.	Of limited utility, inefficient, unclear objectives.	Reasonably satisfied	Usefulness should be examined by an independent entity.	needs improved communi- cations
18. Satisfied with Public Input into decisions?	Yes – believe it one of the best.	Satisfied	Yes	No – all window dressing. Decisions lack transparency.	Reasonably satisfied.	Council should continue to welcome public input.	Encourage more community input
19. Favour Referendums for Major \$	No. Referendums cause delays and cost extra.	Yes	Yes for > \$2m	Yes for large Capital projects.	No, except in certain cases.	Yes but caution needed on wording to avoid bias.	Yes
20. Direct Democracy	No. Feels recommendations go beyond council authority.	Yes	No	No	No	Let the people decide after a full and open debate.	Yes

(*)DNAQ – did not answer/address question (*)INCUMBENT DISCLAIMER/WARNING: THIS SUMMARY IS A SEVERELY ABBREVIATED VERSION OF THE DETAILED REPLIES TO THE QUESTIONS PUT BY FONVCA (FEDERATION OF NORTH VANCOUVER COMMUNITY ASSOCIATIONS). USE THIS SUMMARY IN CONJUNCTION WITH AVAILABLE DETAILED CANDIDATE REPLIES.

or

- 1. What is your position on the role of community associations with respect to both local neighbourhood and District-wide issues?
- 2. Would you share with us where you obtained financial & in-kind support for your campaign?
- 3. Are you in favour of the way moneys from District land sales (ie. Heritage Funds) are currently used? Please explain briefly.
- 4. Do you foresee further significant growth in specific areas of the District?
- 5. How often, and over what time period, have you attended Council meetings, public hearings, etc?
- 6. Are you in favour in principle of the recommendations on ways to increase public access to the district's waterfront as described in the Waterfront Task Force's Phase 2 report?
- 7. Do you believe in user fees for the use of District playing fields?
- 8. Provincial legislation stipulates that Community OCP's provide housing for seniors & other specialized needs groups. How can the District work to provide that these housing needs are met at a time of declining budgets and growing community resistance to further residential growth?
- 9. Which more closely resembles your political philosophy on growth (increased density):
- a) deciding what the carrying capacity of the District is and limiting the future population to that number

b) living with constant growth, trying to minimize its negative impacts.

- 10. Do you favour the amalgamation of the City and District of North Vancouver?
- 11. If required, what areas of municipal activity would you cut to minimize tax increases? Please list three, in order of decreasing priority.
- 12. Do you believe that improving public transportation should be tied to densification?

Why or why not?

- 13. Are there any aspects of District bylaw enforcement you would like to see improved?
- 14. What is your view of the long term use of the Capilano watershed?
- 15. Are you in favour of the current regulations governing bed & breakfast places. If not, what do you want to see changed?
- 16. Will you promise to review the Secondary Suites issue and give a time frame?
- 17. What are your views on the current structure and operating efficiency of the GVRD?
- 18. Are you satisfied with the current public input process for council decisions?
- 19. Do you agree that major capital projects should always go to referendum? Why or why not?
- 20. Are you in favour of citizen-initiated referenda as outlined in the 1999 report by the District Task Force on Direct Democracy?