

JOHN FAIR

1. What involvement have you had in the community?

- member of the Joint Bicycle Advisory Committee
- executive of the Lynnmour InterRiver Community

2. Are you aware of the Marine Drive Plan and its vision for revitalization of the retail area of Lower Capilano? Will you be committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area through implementation of the new vision, while protecting the adjacent single family neighbourhoods?

How would you handle requests for variances to the parking requirements for projects on Marine Drive and Capilano Road?

Yes, yes

Consider any application on it's merits

3. Access to recreational and community activities continues to be an ongoing issue in the Lower Capilano area.

Re: the Lower Capilano Community Centre - Are you committed to determining an appropriate location that will fully accommodate such a centre, and the development of the Lower Capilano Community Centre, as provided for in the 1996 referendum?

Yes

4. The Lions Gate neighbourhood is under continued pressure for redevelopment which does not fit the single family residential character of the area, and does not respect the OCP.

Would you support the neighbourhood's preference on any redevelopment proposals received?

Yes

5. Please comment on the way bylaws are currently enforced – i.e. noise, signage, zoning and parking.

All bylaws should be enforced

LISA MURI

1. What involvement have you had in the community?

12 years as a municipal councillor in the District of North Vancouver, 25 years actively involved in the community

2. Are you aware of the Marine Drive Plan and its vision for revitalization of the retail area of Lower Capilano? Will you be committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area through implementation of the new vision, while protecting the adjacent single family neighbourhoods?

How would you handle requests for variances to the parking requirements for projects on Marine Drive and Capilano Road?

I am very informed about the plan, and will work to implement it's vision. We are trying to reduce the car and will deal with variances on a case by case basis. We are trying to reduce the car and make a more pedestrian and transit friendly neighborhood.

3. Access to recreational and community activities continues to be an ongoing issue in the Lower Capilano area.

Re: the Lower Capilano Community Centre - Are you committed to determining an appropriate location that will fully accommodate such a centre, and the development of the Lower Capilano Community Centre, as provided for in the 1996 referendum?

Absolutely!!!!

4. The Lions Gate neighbourhood is under continued pressure for redevelopment which does not fit the single family residential character of the area, and does not respect the OCP.

Would you support the neighbourhood's preference on any redevelopment proposals received?

I always have supported the neighborhood.

5. Please comment on the way bylaws are currently enforced – i.e. noise, signage, zoning and parking.

We will always have problems with enforcement, we would have to dramatically increase our officers and that would have a significant increase to the budget.....it is not an easy problem to solve, but we do our best.

MIKE LITTLE

1. What involvement have you had in the community?

In the District as a whole I have been an avid community volunteer with over 22 years of cumulative volunteer service, including the substance abuse task force, the Museum Board, and the joint Advisory Committee on Disability Issues. In Lower Capilano, I have served on the Recreation Commission, seeking ways to improve the recreation services in this underserved community. I have walked the neighbourhood several times with different residents, hearing their views and trying to come up with solutions that I would be satisfied with in my own neighbourhood.

2. Are you aware of the Marine Drive Plan and its vision for revitalization of the retail area of Lower Capilano? Will you be committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area through implementation of the new vision, while protecting the adjacent single family neighbourhoods?

How would you handle requests for variances to the parking requirements for projects on Marine Drive and Capilano Road?

I support the Marine Drive plan and voted accordingly. I have made several strong commitments to providing parking throughout the community. There are some rare exceptions where a developer can argue for some relaxation of on-site parking, such as Seniors Developments, but typically I am quite hard-nosed on the requirements. I believe we should be changing behaviors through education, and by providing alternatives, not by forcing the public to find inconvenient alternatives like parking in residential areas.

3. Access to recreational and community activities continue to be an ongoing issue in the Lower Capilano area.

Re: the Lower Capilano Community Centre - Are you committed to determining an appropriate location that will fully accommodate such a centre, and the development of the Lower Capilano Community Centre, as provided for in the 1996 referendum?

My family uses our neighbourhood community center on a daily basis, and I have the same hope for residents of the Lower Cap community. Our community centre was born out of a relationship between the School Board and the District. At first it was a residents group that met at Seycove informally, but then they became more organized and found there was an incredible demand in the community. As they grew, and professionalized they became the focal point for a community centre project. Cap community services could be that focal point for the community, but I think we need to secure a shared School District community space at Norgate School as the next step. Once we get programming up and running in a more significant way then it will be easier to move to the next level.

4. The Lions Gate neighbourhood is under continued pressure for redevelopment which does not fit the single family residential character of the area, and does not respect the OCP. Would you support the neighbourhood's preference on any redevelopment proposals received?

If elected I will continue to meet with community leaders and neighbours to help formulate my decisions. I will not always agree with all neighbours, but I have no interest in changing the character of the Lion's Gate neighbourhood.

5. Please comment on the way bylaws are currently enforced - i.e. noise, signage, zoning and parking.

Our current enforcement model is driven by complaints. Unfortunately it is innately conflict oriented which causes us some problems, but it is significantly cheaper than hiring the staff needed to 'police' all of our bylaws. I haven't heard a groundswell of support for a significant change in our model so I will continue to support it.

DOUG MACKAY-DUNN

1. What involvement have you had in the community?

Seycove PAC president

Seymour Community Association

North Vancouver School Board

DNV Council

2. Are you aware of the Marine Drive Plan and its vision for revitalization of the retail area of Lower Capilano? Will you be committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area through implementation of the new vision, while protecting the adjacent single family neighbourhoods?

Improvements and revitalization of this area is long overdue. Marine drive requires its own unique redevelopment theme while protecting local neighborhoods.

How would you handle requests for variances to the parking requirements for projects on Marine Drive and Capilano Road?

By following a public process ensuring that, the Marine Drive Plan is respected and followed.

3. Access to recreational and community activities continues to be an ongoing issue in the Lower Capilano area.

Re: the Lower Capilano Community Centre - Are you committed to determining an appropriate location that will fully accommodate such a centre, and the development of the Lower Capilano Community Centre, as provided for in the 1996 referendum?

I always have been. If I had been elected in 2002 the community would have one by now!

4. The Lions Gate neighbourhood is under continued pressure for redevelopment which does not fit the single family residential character of the area, and does not respect the OCP.

Would you support the neighborhood has preference on any redevelopment proposals received?

Neighborhoods are the backbone of the larger community and must be respected.

5. Please comment on the way bylaws are currently enforced – i.e. noise, signage, zoning and parking.

By-law services like police services must be community based. Therefore, the community associations have a role to play in concert with local stakeholders. Enforcement must fairly address the needs of the local community.

ROGER BASSAM

1. What involvement have you had in the community?

I have extensive community involvement including 10 years on the NV Sports & Recreation Advisory Council, 3 years as Chair of the Field Users Capital Fund Committee, 2 years on the District Parks Advisory Committee and I have been the Co-President of Millar's Soccer League for the past 13 years and have just become a volunteer coach with the Girls' Soccer club. I fully expect I will also become a coach with the LV Boys Soccer Club next year when my son enters their program.

For the past 2 years I served the local MP as his Executive Assistant and in that capacity I worked regularly with local constituents and I am familiar with many local issues such as the rail yards and port activity, policing and regional transportation challenges. I believe my broad range of community experience will serve me well if I am elected to Council.

2. Are you aware of the Marine Drive Plan and its vision for revitalization of the retail area of Lower Capilano? Yes

Will you be committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area through implementation of the new vision, while protecting the adjacent single family neighbourhoods? Yes

How would you handle requests for variances to the parking requirements for projects on Marine Drive and Capilano Road?

I would be pleased to see new projects add MORE parking than is currently required by the zoning regulations.

3. Access to recreational and community activities continues to be an ongoing issue in the Lower Capilano area.

Re: the Lower Capilano Community Centre - Are you committed to determining an appropriate location that will fully accommodate such a centre, and the development of the Lower Capilano Community Centre, as provided for in the 1996 referendum?

I worked on the '96 referendum that approved the \$3 million for a Lower Capilano Community Centre. I am disappointed this has not come to fruition. As I stated in a recent all candidates meeting, when the taxpayers open their wallets and authorize the Council to spend money there cannot be a more clear mandate. It is hard to understand how we managed to go through 3 Council cycles without being able to resolve this issue. There may still be options for this project, perhaps the current Save-On-Foods site, and I believe the Lower Cap Community does need a proper community facility.

4. The Lions Gate neighbourhood is under continued pressure for redevelopment which does not fit the single family residential character of the area, and does not respect the OCP.

Would you support the neighborhood's preference on any redevelopment proposals received?

The OCP for the District will be reviewed and updated during this next Council term and I look forward to the involvement of local community associations, residents and stakeholders as we define the vision for the future of our community. Once this has been completed I believe we will have an excellent guideline for future development in our community.

5. Please comment on the way bylaws are currently enforced –
i.e. noise, signage, zoning and parking.

I do not like to offer uninformed comments or opinions and I am unsure of the details surrounding this issue. I would be pleased to discuss this matter further with your community association so I may better understand the problem.

PETER BIRRELL

1. What involvement have you had in the community?

- 22 years with North Van Lions, president 2 times and zone chairman of 7 North Shore clubs in 2000
- working with Kiwanis and Lions to find new locations for seniors and low income family homes
- Past Director of CNIB
- Founding member and current director for 17 years of the Lynn Valley Housing society, managing residential apartments units
- Named realtor of the year by the Greater Vancouver Real Estate board for my community work here on the North Shore

2. Are you aware of the Marine Drive Plan and its vision for revitalization of the retail area of Lower Capilano? Will you be committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area through implementation of the new vision, while protecting the adjacent single family neighbourhoods?

How would you handle requests for variances to the parking requirements for projects on Marine Drive and Capilano Road?

-I think the Marine Drive Plan is a vital part of the revitalization of our District. I could not believe my eyes when I saw the Capilano Business Park developed. Acres of parking lots, a level of offices and light duty warehouses, and a second floor of offices. This was a beautiful sight and if the district is really looking to the future and wanting to be "green" why in the world did they not make a small community in this area, in addition to the offices and light duty warehouses they could have incorporated 4 or 5 floors of residential housing, underground parking, parks and a small strip centre to service the area. This way people could live and work in the area, rather than having to drive from various parts of the North Shore. We have to start putting a higher value on our land and more importance on lifestyle and sustainability. I think the

District missed a great opportunity on that site. They talk about wanting to increase density but do nothing to promote it. Another example of this is in the new Lynn Valley center. The council has said they want high rises in the core of Lynn Valley however when they developed the library and retail and office space \$20,000,000.00 they missed a wonderful opportunity to develop 6 or 8 floors of condominiums which would have paid for most of the development, and provided homes for over 10 seniors or couples wishing to be close to shopping, and bus transportation. Now they are building a shell for a restraint in the center when they have no tenant. If they were going to build a restraint why didn't they do this when they were building the new center last year.

3. Access to recreational and community activities continues to be an ongoing issue in the Lower Capilano area.

Re: the Lower Capilano Community Centre - Are you committed to determining an appropriate location that will fully accommodate such a centre, and the development of the Lower Capilano Community Centre, as provided for in the 1996 referendum?

-as I said earlier this is a vital area for the District, I would hope the council does not miss this opportunity also. I desperately want to get on council for 1 term and try to address these issues while working on the revision of the OCP. (then its off to retirement) Quite frankly I don't think councilors should stay on for more than 3 terms, it is important to have new blood working for us. (it works in the US and Canada at the higher levels of government)

4. The Lions Gate neighbourhood is under continued pressure for redevelopment which does not fit the single family residential character of the area, and does not respect the OCP.

Would you support the neighbourhood's preference on any redevelopment proposals received?

- Yes we need redevelopment but not more business parks. I support the live work concept, and like the idea that you can live

work and buy basic needs all within a 5 minute walk from where you live and work. This is a lot more healthy and creates a vibrant community 24 hours a day 7 days a week.

5. Please comment on the way bylaws are currently enforced – i.e. noise, signage, zoning and parking.

– The current noise, bylaws are supposed to be looked after by the bylaw enforcement officers, zoning is where all the changes have to be made in my opinion to allow the live work concept to take shape. Having large open parking lots is a waste of space. Again this can all be addressed in the revision of the OCP and by making sweeping changes to the zoning regulations.

ALAN NIXON

1. What involvement have you had in the community?

In addition to serving 6 years on Council as well as innumerable District and Metro Vancouver committees, I have always been involved in contributing to my community.

- Coaching my daughters in the Lynn Valley Girls Soccer Association and North Vancouver Girls' Softball.
- At age 16, I coached a team of Minor League (8-10) kids in the local baseball league.
- Also at 16 I became the youngest person ever appointed to the Official Board of Capilano United Church. In later years Alan served again in that capacity.
- I was heavily involved in the Pemberton Heights Community Assn eventually serving as its Chair for a number of years.
- It was during my terms as Chair that I began volunteering at the municipal level.
- I was appointed to the Advisory Planning Commission and eventually served as its Chair from 1998-1999. In my role as Chair of the APC.
- I also served on the Transportation Planning Advisory Committee for a number of years.

2. Are you aware of the Marine Drive Plan and its vision for revitalization of the retail area of Lower Capilano? Will you be committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area through implementation of the new vision, while protecting the adjacent single family neighbourhoods?

How would you handle requests for variances to the parking requirements for projects on Marine Drive and Capilano Road?

- I am very familiar with the Marine Drive Plan having served on the initial founding committees some 7 or 8 years ago. I am totally committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area.
- I would look at variances to parking requirements on an absolute case-by-case basis dependent on negotiated trade-offs, developer contributions, etc.

3. Access to recreational and community activities continues to be an ongoing issue in the Lower Capilano area.

Re: the Lower Capilano Community Centre - Are you committed to determining an appropriate location that will fully accommodate such a centre, and the development of the Lower Capilano Community Centre, as provided for in the 1996 referendum?

- I worked for 2 ½ years attempting to work with the Lower Capilano Communities and Council to achieve a Lower Cap Community Centre. I would suggest no elected official has ever spent more time, energy and political capital on this project. I will continue searching for ways to achieve a "bricks and mortar" solution but most importantly I will continue my support for actual community programming that is now a reality with assured funding for at least the next 3 years.

4. The Lions Gate neighbourhood is under continued pressure for redevelopment which does not fit the single family residential character of the area, and does not respect the OCP.

Would you support the neighbourhood's preference on any redevelopment proposals received?

- No, I would not necessarily support the neighbourhood's preference on "any" development proposals within the Lions Gate neighbourhood. If elected my responsibility is to serve the collective needs of the citizenry of the entire District of North Vancouver. If there is a redevelopment proposal made for the Lions Gate neighbourhood, or any other neighbourhood for that matter, that, after careful and thoughtful consideration, I deem to serve the greater good of those collective needs, I will give my qualified and perhaps conditional support to such proposals.

5. Please comment on the way bylaws are currently enforced –
i.e. noise, signage, zoning and parking.

- Presently, most of our bylaws are enforced in a reactive manner. In other words, when complaints are made and found to be valid, the bylaws are enforced to the full extent. We do have some proactive enforcement in our parks and trails. Generally, I am fairly please with the manner in which we choose to enforce our Bylaws. However, if there is ever evidence on uneven enforcement or actual "non-enforcement" of bylaws then the Bylaw should be scrapped entirely or amended to reflect current conditions. We cannot afford the necessary personnel, nor do we want to live in a society that is over-policed by all manner of Bylaw Enforcement officers.

DAVID McKEE

1. What involvement have you had in the community?

- Currently member of the Transportation Planning Advisory Committee
- Past co-chair of the City and District's Joint Bicycle Advisory Committee
- Three years regular involvement in the Metro Vancouver Sustainability Dialogues
- Organized sustainability and transportation workshops for Metro Vancouver politicians
- TPAC representative at the three day Lynn Valley Town Centre Design charrette
- Seven years as on executive committee of the Nordic Racers Ski Club at Cypress Mt.
- Co-organizer of the inaugural North Shore Bike to Work Week
- Involved in promoting sustainable transportation choices for the Metro Vancouver Region
- Past high school rugby coach

2. Are you aware of the Marine Drive Plan and its vision for revitalization of the retail area of Lower Capilano? Will you be committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area through implementation of the new vision, while protecting the adjacent single family neighbourhoods?
How would you handle requests for variances to the parking requirements for projects on Marine Drive and Capilano Road?

Yes I am familiar. I think that the intense redevelopment should occur away from single family homes. To comment on variances, I would need to know the specific details about developments.

3. Access to recreational and community activities continues to be an ongoing issue in the Lower Capilano area.

Re: the Lower Capilano Community Centre - Are you committed to determining an appropriate location that will fully accommodate such a centre, and the development of the Lower Capilano Community Centre, as provided for in the 1996 referendum?

Yes, a facility should be a priority for the area. I use the community facilities a lot myself so I think that the health and community benefits are important but the timing will depend on the District's finances in an uncertain economy.

4. The Lions Gate neighbourhood is under continued pressure for redevelopment which does not fit the single family residential character of the area, and does not respect the OCP.

Would you support the neighbourhood's preference on any redevelopment proposals received?

I would never commit absolutely to anything but as per question 2, I support maintaining the single family character of the area away from the major roads.

5. Please comment on the way bylaws are currently enforced – i.e. noise, signage, zoning and parking.

I cannot comment on enforcement in the Lion's Gate area specifically, however I have these general comments.

Although technically not a bylaw, speeding traffic is a real threat to safety making it a high priority for enforcement. As the District population increases, being good neighbours will be vital so noise

bylaws should be well enforced. Regarding parking, enforcement should be focused particularly where parking problems affect traffic flow or safety. Building code bylaws should be strictly enforced as changes are permanent and hard to reverse. Signage should not be overwhelming and should be maintained to a decent standard. The cost of having constant bylaw checking across the whole District would be very high. One solution would be comprehensive, roving spot checks. Residents are most aware of changes within their own communities and when concerned over ongoing or permanent (building related) infractions, they should call bylaw enforcement and expect a response.

ROBIN HICKS

1. What involvement have you had in the community?

I have toured the Lower cap area spoken to residents and attended open houses on development issues. I actually met and listened to development proposals on site recently which was turned down. I supported the last budget amendment to provide additional funding for recreational activities in the Norgate area. Many of Council members recently walked the area to view the trails status, graffiti and issues with homeless people.

2. Are you aware of the Marine Drive Plan and its vision for revitalization of the retail area of Lower Capilano? Will you be committed to working towards the positive redevelopment of the area through implementation of the new vision, while protecting the adjacent single family neighbourhoods?
How would you handle requests for variances to the parking requirements for projects on Marine Drive and Capilano Road?

I am familiar with the Marine Drive Plan having been on Council during the many debates and revisions to the Plan before final approval.

I am committed to working towards the implementation and after my recent visits I am much more familiar with both parking issues and the problems associated with denser development and parking shortfalls created by basement suites and will review carefully all development proposals.

3. Access to recreational and community activities continues to be an ongoing issue in the Lower Capilano area.

Re: the Lower Capilano Community Centre - Are you committed to determining an appropriate location that will fully accommodate such a centre, and the development of the Lower

Capilano Community Centre, as provided for in the 1996 referendum?

I am now much more familiar with the recreational and community needs in this area. The area does absolutely need a centre and I will ensure it is included in the recreational facility replacement plan.

4. The Lions Gate neighbourhood is under continued pressure for redevelopment which does not fit the single family residential character of the area, and does not respect the OCP.

Would you support the neighbourhood's preference on any redevelopment proposals received?

Again I pledge my support to respect the neighbourhood preferences, although there might be circumstances where there is not unanimity amongst the residents which would require careful review by Council and a decision would have to be made in accord with the OCP.

5. Please comment on the way bylaws are currently enforced – i.e. noise, signage, zoning and parking.

I think enforcement is lacking as I evidenced some real problems with illegal parking, vehicles disobeying traffic stops and indeed some drug dealing occurring. I also witnessed places where drinking occurred and homeless people made camps. I know there are serious noise problems emanating from the rail yards and activities around the harbor.

In short this area needs much more attention.