OPINION

Bateman's lone voice speaks loudly

Plebiscite aftermath: If the transit tax is defeated, we'll go back to bickering



VICTORIA

S voting by mail commences on the transit plebiscite, the Yes and No campaigns have already settled into strategies that reflect the uneven balance of resources between the

balance of resources between the two sides.

The Yes side, drawing on leadership, staffing and more than a few dollars from government, labour, business and interest groups, emphasizes the broad-based coalition of support for the campaign to reduce expression.

broad-based coalition of support for the campaign to reduce congestion.

The No side, short of resources (including dollars) and organizational support, is largely reliant on the lone, admittedly articulate, voice of Jordan Bateman of the Canadian Taxpayers Federation.

Which raises the question: Is it possible that all those prominent folks at the head of all those worthy organizations are all wrong and he's all right?

"It is extremely possible," Bateman replied when I put it to him recently. "Just because you have the endorsement of these big organizations doesn't mean

him recently. "Just because you have the endorsement of these big organizations doesn't mean you have every single member's support of those organizations. ... You have the heads of those groups, absolutely — big government, big labour, big business. That's a big money, big tax that they're trying to bring in. We're the underdog, no doubt about it."

Or as the province's legendary populist premier W.A.C. Bennett used to say, "everyone's against us but the people."

Nor was there any overlooking the latest evidence on that score, in the form of Monday's release of a survey of public opinion from the Angus Reid Institute. "The No side enjoys a two-to-one lead over the Yes side," senior vice-president Shachi Kurl announced, summarizing an online survey conducted Feb. 25 to March 5. "No is a sentiment widespread across Metro Vancouver. No voters are more likely to be firm in their choice. Distrust of TransLink is a major factor for those inclined toward voting No."

Pollsters, Reid included, voting No.

Pollsters, Reid included, sometimes get it wrong. And any survey is only a snapshot of where things stood when the questions were asked, as Kurl



ARLEN REDEXOPPING FILES Jordan Bateman of the Canadian Taxpayers Federation says he and others who oppose the proposed transit tax are 'the underdog, no doubt about it.'

acknowledged.
Still, a two-to-one margin is a lot to overcome, particularly when the gap has been widening in most samplings of public

ing in most sampings of public opinion.

Indeed, the challenge facing the Yes campaign recalls another uphill fight from two decades ago — in the 1992 referendum on the proposed changes to the Canadian constitution known as the Charletteners accord.

Canadian constitution known as the Charlottetown accord.

The whole country was involved in that one and con-stitutional change is, of course, more substantive than a half-point increase in the sales tax.

Yet there's one yald point

omt increase in the sales tax.
Yet there's one valid point
of comparison in the way the
accord was supported by the
political and economic establishment, while the antis relied

on populists, leaders who were out of power, and others on the margins of political and economic influence.

The Yes side raised the stakes by denouncing No-siders in personal terms and claiming they were bent on breaking up the country or worse.

The latter accusation provoked some gallows humour. Here in B.C., someone on the Yes side suggested a radio spot that would open with a long burst of automatic weapons fire. In voice-over, a little girl would be heard crying: "Daddy, daddy, why didn't you vote Yes?" — followed by an explosion of atomic

why didn't you vote Yes? — fol-lowed by an explosion of atomic proportions. When the Yes side took to running newspaper ads featur-ing the many great and good

Canadians who supported the accord, one No-sider quipped: You realize that you are telling ordinary folk that they can poke a finger in the eye of all those highfalutin types by voting No.

The demonizing and scare talk backfired, here in B.C. most of all. "No, No, No, as the front page story in The Vancouver Sun had it on the morning after the vote. "B.C. sent the loudest message of rejection Monday to the elites that run this country." message of rejection Monday to the elites that run this country': that margin of rejection being two to one. Dark consequences were imag-ined at the time. But No-siders being all over the map on their

reasons for opposing the accord
- some thought it gave Quebec too much power, others
not enough, and so on — there
was no consensus on how to
proceed.

proceed.

So the main outcome was a consensus-by-default that we should never again speak of the constitution and for the most part we haven't.

To the degree that the vote on the accord reveiles a teachable.

the accord provides a teachable moment for the transit referenmoment for the transit referen-dum, it should counsel the Yes side against talking down to No-siders and overstating the conse-quences of a negative outcome. But the aftermath of that ear-

But the aftermath of that earlier vote also suggests that one
shouldn't draw too much meaning from the likely event that the
tax is rejected.

"We know what will be written
the morning after," Bateman
told me, already working up the
talking points in anticipation of
a win for his side. "All the opinion shapers will go: TransLink
was the issue here. We need to
make over TransLink."
Sure — while others would say
it only proves that people feel
overtaxed, others that the fault
was in the choice of a regressive
measure like the sales tax, still
others that the Liberals should
never have forced a referendum

others that the Liberals should never have forced a referendum on the region in the first place. Consensus? What consensus? If the polls hold and the tax is defeated, the most likely outcome is that we'll go back to bickering about priorities, funding sources and the most perfect structure for a regional transportation authority.

17/03/2015 6:20 AM 1 of 1