



FONVCA AGENDA

THURSDAY January 18/2001

Place: DNV Hall 355 W. Queens Rd V7N 2K6

Time: 7:00-9:00pm

Chair: David Knee - 980-3863

1) Order/content of Agenda

2) Adoption of Minutes of Dec 14/2000

([attachment #1](#))

3) Old Business

3.1 Update on "Smart Growth" presentation - Eric

3.2 Progress on web site (Corrie Kost)

email forwarding set up as shown in [Attachment #2](#)

3.4 On petition lists (not) appearing in library.

3.5 Summary of License to Occupy issue. - [attachment #8](#)

4) Correspondence Issues

4.1 FONVCA letter to Councillor Keating re: request for financial audit of NV Rec. Comm. - [attachment #3](#)

4.2 Open/Closed Council meetings - recent correspondence with Mayor Bell on this issue - [attachment #7](#)

4.3 Councillor Crist email in reply to Lisa Niven's email on transit levy - to be distributed at meeting.

New Business

5) Council and other District issues.

5.1 Ward system for municipal elections? - Who?

5.2 Should community associations be given first right of refusal to run developers public meetings?

5.3 A Council Proposal for public/private partnership to improve and operate Lynn Canyon Park - Agenda Item #1 on Monday Jan 15/2001

5.4 Monitoring of APC and ADP.

5.5 First reading by Council to remove exemption of Deep Cove area from definition of lot area - Agenda Item #4 on Monday Jan 15/2001

5.6 Council's detailed response to Waterfront Task Force Report - Agenda item #7 - see [attachment #4](#)

5.7 Raising public awareness of Community Association and FONVCA in the district.

5.8 To Amalgamate or not? How far?

- is this recent debate on amalgamation the result of resistance to vehicle levy?

- is this a case of "can't lick them so **join** them"?

6) Any Other Business

6.1 - For those with a lean to legal side on "Adverse Possession" - see URL's

www.geom.unimelb.edu.au/subjects/451/418/418_2000/lecture5/lecture5b.htm
www.sli.unimelb.edu.au/research/publications/IPW/malpinquiry.htm

6.3 - Current code of ethics for council/committees

- see [attachment #5](#)

6.4 - Council Corporate Policy on public Rights of Way along creek beds or waterways - [attachment #6](#)

7) Chairperson & Date of next meeting.

February 15/2001

ATTACHMENTS

- FONVCA minutes of Dec 14/2000 - #1
- Email forwarding by fonvca.org - #2
- FONVCA letter to Councillor Keating - #3
- Council detailed response to WTF - #4
- Code of Ethics for Council / Committees - #5
- Council Corporate Policy 6-2360 - #6
- Open/Closed meetings of Council - #7
- Brian's letter on the Licence to Occupy - #8

OUTSTANDING FUTURE FONVCA ITEMS

- Status of petition list appearing in library copy of council package
- Sub-committee - models for community involvement in municipal election
- A process to follow outstanding issues of Council, for example, where is the "Joint Use Agreement", "Sign Bylaw", and "Cat Regulation Bylaw", to name a few.

FONVCA MINUTES

December 14, 2000

Attendees:

Margaret Fraser (Chair)	Lynn Valley Comm. Assn.
Corrie Kost	Edgemont Comm. Assn.
Brian Platts	Edgemont Comm. Assn.
Eric Andersen	Blueridge Comm. Assn.
Dave Sadler	Seymour Comm. Assn.
Al Price	Pemberton Hts. Com. Assn.
Bruce Ward	Sunset Garden
Maureen Bragg	Save Lynn Canyon. Park
Val Moller (Notes)	Lions Gate Neigh. Assn.
Cathy Adams	Lions Gate Neigh. Assn.

1. **Order/contents of Agenda:** Items added to agenda under
 - 4.0 – Financial Audit of Rec. Comm.
 - 6.3 – Taxicab Regulations - PM of Dec 13
 - 6.4 – Proposal for a Ward system
2. **Adoption of minutes of Nov.16/2000-**
Moved by Al Price, Seconded by Cathy Adams and carried.
3. **Old Business:**
 - 3.1 **Smart Growth** - Eric advised that a Smart Growth representative has expressed an interest in making a presentation at an upcoming FONVCA meeting. It was decided to extend an invitation for him to attend the Jan. 18th meeting for a 15 min. presentation and 15 min. question period.
 - 3.2 **Shirtsleeve Meeting, Nov.29/00** - Council attendance poor (three did not show). The meeting produced a general consensus on the issues discussed; however, some FONVCA members expressed doubts as to whether anything would be followed up. General feeling among FONVCA members is that frequent Shirt Sleeve meetings with Council are not very useful. Suggest holding less - i.e. two per year. Tabled for further discussion at Jan. meeting.
 - 3.3 **Progress on web site:** Thanks expressed to Al & Corrie for work done on setting up our own web site - www.fonvca.org - with the idea being to exchange documents and post info- it was generally agreed that all members would have an email address name@fonvca.org with forward set to name's real email address. In addition, Corrie will post information that is emailed to him into an appropriate place on FONVCA's web site.
ACTION: A letter of thanks will be sent to Mr. Glenn Allan from FONVCA. Corrie is

requesting \$10.00 registration from each Community Assoc. to cover costs (\$70 US to register name for 2 years and \$50 as Quik.com's set-up fee. Home Page is to become active within the next month.

- 3.4 **Petition lists (not) appearing in library:** Dave contacted Agnes Hilson regarding complete correspondence not appearing in the library. Agnes advised she will give serious thought to providing all reference material available for scrutiny. At present, information posted in libraries is incomplete - a fact that should be clearly stated. Before taking further action, Dave will check at library re. specific info., and will report back at a future meeting.
- 6.2 **Deep Cove lot area definition issue:**
Brian's excellent letter on the issue of Deep Cove's slope exemption was acknowledge as a significant factor in council's reversal on the issue.
- 6.2 **Council adopted Code of Ethics** – felt to be a positive step, despite some reservations from some Council committees.
- 6.3 \$1.5million amendment to 96 referendum
Corrie & Cathy both gave input. Money is to be spent on artificial turf – the Nov 23rd “Open House” on this topic was very sparsely attended. Lack of interest by sport's group was noted. Parking and lack of facilities were key elements which have not as yet been adequately addressed.
- 3.8 **Translink** - Corrie pointed out that one of the levy options on property taxes (that of pro-rata in accordance with the benefits accrued a municipality) had been deliberately vetoed/ ignored, and that if in future, property taxes is proposed as a means for collecting translink fees, they should be based on a pro rata formula. A motion, moved by Corrie, seconded by Maureen, was withdrawn, as during the ensuing discussion the attendees were not in favour of supporting property taxes as an option, and also felt this issue should be first be dealt with through the individual Neighbourhood Associations.
4. **Correspondence Issues.**
 - 4.0 **Financial Audit Rec. Commission:** Reference was made to correspondence from Brian Platts, Gary Young, Hugh Murray and an email from Craig Keating. Concern was raised that all not correspondence is getting through to our council members, due to a screening process by executive staff. Recommendation to community is to follow up with councillors as to whether corresp.

received. Issues are:-

- A) How do we answer Craig Keating's concern?

Moved by Al, seconded by Dave, to forward Corrie's suggested email response, with additions reworked by Brian - to be sent from FONVCA.

-MOTION CARRIED -

- B). How do we ensure council receiving correspondence and information?
Discussion ensued with suggestions - General consensus was that original letters should go direct to whoever they are addressed to, and that Agnes should be advised we are not satisfied with the system - i.e. inconsistency in the way correspondence presently handled. At the very least an acknowledgement of receipt should be forwarded.

ACTION: Brian to talk to Stephen Fleming (Clerk's office) to research current system, and report back at next meeting.

- 6.2 It was noted that "leaks" of in-camera information of a "personal" nature was a much more serious breach than one which involved information released in the public's interest.

4.2)

4.3)

4.4) Already discussed

4.5)

4.6)

4.7 Little discussion.

New Business:

5. Council and other District issues.

- 5.1 **Policy on Licence to Occupy** - Brian pointed out that the options now presented are inferior to those previously presented - and which were rejected by the previous council. Corrie indicated the rigidity of the 4 staff options, when clearly zero-tolerance is not practical/desirable. Staff thus forces council to make undesirable and non-optimal choices.

- 5.2 **Licence to Occupy** - Corrie presented an example of encroachment, currently an issue up for adoption by Council. After a brief discussion, it was generally agreed that Council should adhere to the existing policy whereby the cost of a licence to occupy public land is based on a calculation of 7.5% of the pro-rata assessed land value.

- 6.2 Request for Financial Audit of Rec. Comm. already covered by discussions in 4.0

6. Other Business.

- 6.1 Freedom of Information form was distributed for members' information.

- 6.2 Article on 4 defenses to libel, slander was distributed for members' information.

6.3 TaxiCab Regulations Dec 13 Public Meeting.

Purpose of the meeting was to draft a bylaw for the operation of taxi cabs. (Should have some regulations in the District of N.V.) Only two councillors showed up (Don Bell & Ernie Crist) - five were missing! Issues discussed :- age of cabs; drivers knowledge of area; liability insurance; the importance of basic English language, (poor) service for disabled. Some taxi drivers wanted the privacy of **not** displaying their 'names'.

- 6.4 **Ward system** - Discussion tabled until next meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 10:05 PM.

**Chairperson for next meeting: Dave Knee
Norgate Park Community Association 980-3863**

Date of next meeting: January 18th/2001

Modify E-Mail Forwarding

/users/fonvca/.aliases

Make changes to your virtual web-site's e-mail forwarding below and then hit the 'DO IT ' button at the bottom. Changes you make will take effect in maximum 3 hours. If you decide you don't want to make the changes after all, hit 'back' on your browser without hitting the "DO IT" button

Add a new e-mail forwarding address:

E-Mail to @fonvca.org is delivered to

To remove a forwarding address, make it blank

All aliases are listed in alphabetical order as follows:

E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="abercrombi"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="aber@telus.net"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="adams"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="madams@wimsey.com"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="burns"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="rburns@direct.ca"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="castle"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="mcastle@istar.ca"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="ellis"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="dellis@bcgas.com"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="fraser"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="weemalkies@telus.net"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="knee"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="xcalibre@direct.ca"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="kost"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="kost@triumf.ca"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="mayall"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="bmayall@direct.ca"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="miller"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="john_miller@ultranet"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="moller"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="valeriem@blaze.ca"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="murray"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="hugh_murray@telus.net"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="platts"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="brian_platts@telus.net"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="preez"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="richprop@home.com"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="price"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="alprice@quik.com"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="sadler"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="davesadler@telus.net"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="thomson"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="bandi_hodgson@telus.net"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="thorpe"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="mtgg@telus.net"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="tracey"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="bill_tracey@telus.net"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="ward"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="biw@direct.ca"/>
E-Mail to	<input type="text" value="young"/>	@fonvca.org is delivered to	<input type="text" value="hangers@canadawired.ca"/>

ok

Federation of North Vancouver Community Associations

Hugh Murray
1749 Bowser Avenue
North Vancouver, B.C.
V7P 2Y6

Telephone 987-4240

Councillor Craig Keating
City of North Vancouver
141 West 14th Street
North Vancouver, B.C.
V7M 1H9

January 5, 2001

Dear Councillor Keating:

RE: Request For Financial Audit Of N.V. Recreation Commission

I would be pleased to respond to your December 8th e-mail request for more information regarding the Federation of NV Community Associations' (FONVCA) call for an independent financial audit of the NV Recreation Commission.

In view of the concerns expressed by residents and Councillors alike on the issue of fee increases, any "in-house" financial audit by the Rec. Commission itself will naturally be greeted with public skepticism. Since the objective of any public/council initiated audit would seem to be one of insuring that the review is above reproach, it does seem prudent to have such a review done by an at-arms-length body. I would like to point out that the recent NVD Council initiated review of Parks & Engineering was assigned along a similar philosophy.

There is no implication from FONVCA that "*there is an 'alignment' within the NVRC, or its administration that is somehow working in its own interests and against the public interest*"; nor is there "*any suggestion of misfeasance, misbehavior or misdemeanor by our public officials*". Nevertheless, FONVCA feels that there is sufficient justification for an independent financial audit based on recent large increases in user fees to certain user groups, and the perception by some in the community that not all is as it should be at the administrative level of the N.V. Recreation Commission.

Yours Truly,

Hugh Murray,
FONVCA Meeting Chair

COUNCIL AGENDA/INFORMATION

- | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> In-Camera | Date: _____ | Item # _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Regular | Date: _____ | Item # _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Info Package | Date: _____ | Item # _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agenda Addendum | Date: _____ | Item # _____ |

Dept. Manager	Director	Municipal Manager

The District of North Vancouver REPORT TO COUNCIL

January 10, 2001
File: 6440-20-01
Tracking Number: RCA -

AUTHOR: J.G.Masterton, Assistant Manager- Community Planning

SUBJECT: **Waterfront Task Force Report - Council Response Summary**

RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that Council:

1. Receives the summary of Council Members responses (Attachment 1) to the recommendations of the Waterfront Task Force Phase 2 Report; and
2. Directs the Municipal Clerk to organize a Special Meeting of Council to discuss the disposition of the recommendations.

REASON FOR REPORT: At the Special Council meeting on October 17,2000, staff was requested to co-ordinate the preparation of a summary of responses to the recommendations contained in the Waterfront Task Force Phase 2 Report.

SUMMARY: All of the individual responses have been tabulated and majority positions noted in the accompanying table. Where no clear majority exists, such objectives and policies are highlighted for further Council direction.

BACKGROUND: At the Special Council meeting of October 17, 2000 it was decided that each Council member would grade the Waterfront Task Force report recommendations and that staff would tabulate and summarise the response and return this information to Council.

ANALYSIS: Mayor Bell recommended that Council members utilise the following numerical grading in allocating preferences to the various recommendations of the Task Force report and each Council member graded all the report's objectives and policies accordingly:

Action	Grading
Implement in immediate to short term	1.
Implement in the medium to long term	2.
More input or review	3.
Eliminate/reject	4.

Each Council member so responded and staff then tabulated the responses to determine where a majority position (at least 4 members) existed for a specific objective/policy. The majority position is on the attached table (Attachment 1).

At the upcoming Special Council meeting, Council may wish to confirm, on a formal basis, the majority positions noted on Attachment 1. Where there is no majority position, such objective/policy is shaded on the table. Council will also need to address those objectives and policies where a majority position is not evident and confirm a majority position.

Financial Impacts: Following completion of Council's review of the Task Force's recommendations, staff will prepare a list of priorities for Council's consideration as part of the 5 year Capital Budget process.

J.G. Masterton,
Assistant Manager- Community Planning

Attachment 1 Summary of Councillor Members Responses

<u>REVIEWED WITH:</u>	<u>REVIEWED WITH:</u>	<u>REVIEWED WITH:</u>	<u>REVIEWED WITH:</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Communications	<input type="checkbox"/> Finance	External Agencies:	Advisory Committees:
<input type="checkbox"/> Env. Protection	<input type="checkbox"/> Fire Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreation Commission	<input type="checkbox"/> _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Human Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Clerk's Office	<input type="checkbox"/> Library Board	<input type="checkbox"/> _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Eng. Trans/Public Works	<input type="checkbox"/> Land	<input type="checkbox"/> Health Dept.	<input type="checkbox"/> _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Eng. Admin	<input type="checkbox"/> Building	<input type="checkbox"/> RCMP	
<input type="checkbox"/> Eng. Parks	<input type="checkbox"/> Community Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Eng. Utilities			

1.0 OBJECTIVES & POLICIES

1.1 GLOBAL ENTERPRISES INT. INC. INDUSTRIAL SITE (FORMERLY TOLKO) (Phase 2 - 1999)

		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
<i>Objective: To ensure redevelopment of the site is in a manner compatible with the adjacent bird sanctuary.</i>		3	1-2	-	-	1	-	3	-
<i>Policy 1.1.1</i>	A green corridor to allow for continuity of wildlife movement through the site to the Maplewood Conservation Area and to allow for the flow of fresh water to replenish the Park Street marsh should be required as a condition of any rezoning of the site.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	3	3
<i>Policy 1.1.2</i>	Rezone former Tolko site to an industrial zone compatible with a bird sanctuary.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	3	3
<i>Policy 1.1.3</i>	Create a landscaped noise buffer on the east side of the site to reduce unwelcome industrial sounds entering the adjacent bird sanctuary. Consider highway noise screens if landscaping proves ineffective.	3	1-2	3	2	1	3	3	3
<i>Policy 1.1.4</i>	Restore tidal channel on west side of site to allow fish migration.	3	1-2	3	2	1	3	3	3
<i>Policy 1.1.5</i>	As far as possible, prevent any ground and air pollutants on the former Tolko site from entering the bird sanctuary.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	3	3

1.2 MAPLEWOOD CONSERVATION AREA (Phase 2 - 1999)

		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
<i>Objective: To encourage the continued improvement of the bird sanctuary in a manner that emphasizes protection of birds and other wildlife, but in a manner that does not exclude public access, appreciation and enjoyment of the educational and passive recreational values offered by the waterfront.</i>		3	1-2	1	2	1	2	1-2	1

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
 (Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

1.3 MAPLEWOOD CONSERVATION AREA TO T'SLEIL-WAUTUTH RESERVE (Phase 2 – 1999)

	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
<i>Objective: To recognize the unique qualities of the Maplewood mudflats and to acknowledge that the residential developed land bordering the mudflats is integral to the continued protection of the mudflats and so should, in the long term, be converted to uses more compatible with the maintenance of the mudflats environment and provision of water views from the Dollarton highway.</i>	4	1-2	4	4	2	2	2	2

2.0 IMPLEMENTATION ACTIONS

2.1 OVERALL DIRECTIONS

<i>ACTION</i>	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
<i>Action 2.1.1 Prepare a 50-year staging plan showing beach access improvements: 0 to 15 years, 15 to 30 years, and a final plan for 50 years.</i>	2	1-2	3	3	4	3	-	-
<i>Action 2.1.2 Utilize District of North Vancouver property to create a clearly marked continuous public pathway on the waterfront side of the street from Maplewood to Seycove Marina, to connect existing waterfront trails.</i>	3	1-2	3	3	4	3	-	3
<i>Action 2.1.3 Open up all street ends for public access to the waterfront.</i>	3	1-2	2	2	1	2	2	2
<i>Action 2.1.4 Remove all encroachments along street ends.</i>	2	1-2	2	2	1	2	2	2
<i>Action 2.1.5 All encroachments onto the beach to be removed.</i>	3	1-2	2	2	1	3	4	1-2
<i>Action 2.1.6 Wheelchair accessibility will be incorporated wherever feasible.</i>	1	1-2	1	2	1	2	2	1
<i>Action 2.1.7 Ensure all public piers are wheelchair accessible.</i>	3	1-2	2	1	1	2	2	1-2
<i>Action 2.1.8 (Rep) 2.2.12 Conduct a study to determine the demand for and the location of a new public marina outside the Deep Cove area, e.g. McKenzie Barge site.</i>	2	1-2	3	2	1	3	2	2

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
 (Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.1.9	Support the development of a small, pedestrian and cyclist-oriented ferryboat service linking Belcarra, Port Moody, Deep Cove, Cates Park and Strathcona Wharf.	3	1-2	2	2	3	2	3	2
Action 2.1.10	Provide trail identification through sign posts, special markings and trail maps.	1-2	1-2	3	2	1	2	2	2

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.1.11	In the long term, expand beach access on both sides of street ends, by purchase when the properties come on the market.	4	1-2	4	4	3	4	4	4
Action 2.2.1	Over the long term restore a more natural foreshore and shoreline along the waterfront.	3	1-2	4	4	2	3	4	-
Action 2.2.2	District council should be asked to continue to pursue the acquisition of the head lease from the Vancouver Port Authority.	3	1-2	4	4	4	3	4	4
Action 2.2.3	Until the head lease is acquired, the District should explore all options with waterfront private dock owners to remove or share docks.	3	1-2	4	4	4	3	4	4
Action 2.2.4	All private docks to be removed, in stages, to be replace by shared moorage and/or public docks.	3-4	1-2	4	4	4	3	4	4
Action 2.2.5	In the short term, request District council to pursue means to limit construction of new private docks, with the goal being no new licences issued for private docks or boat shelters.	3	1-2	4	4	4	3	4	4
Action 2.2.6	Encroachments below the high water mark should not be replaced or repaired.	3	1-2	3	4	1	3	3	3
Action 2.2.7	Urge the Vancouver Port Authority to remove encroachments that impede public access along the intertidal zone.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	3	3
Action 2.2.8	A bylaw is required so as to prohibit any structure or fill within 8 metres of the high water mark.	3	1-2	4	4	1	3	3	-
Action 2.2.9	A system is needed for notifying the Vancouver Port Authority and Department of Fisheries and Oceans regarding every building permit, subdivision approval and/or demolition permit along the waterfront.	3	1-2	4	4	1	3	3	-

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
 (Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.2.10	Upgrade and expand the existing Strathcona public wharf facility for better recreational access to the water.	3	1-2	3	1	1	3	2	1-2
Action 2.2.11 (Ref) 2.3.16 (Rep) 2.12.12	Establish Strathcona Wharf/Strathcona Park/Grey Rock Island as a destination area for recreational use by kayaks, canoes and scuba divers and develop washroom/change rooms and support facilities, including parking at Strathcona Park for visiting kayakers, canoers, scuba divers and park users.	3	1-2	3-4	4	3	3	4	-
Action 2.2.12 (Rep) 2.1.8	Conduct a study to determine the demand for and the location of a new public marina outside the Deep Cove area, e.g. McKenzie Barge site.	2	1-2	3	2	3	3	2	1-2
Action 2.2.13 (Rep) 2.13.15	An improved multi-use, public wharf in Deep Cove is needed to cater for increased recreational demand including walking and fishing.	3	1-2	3	2	1	3	2	1-2
Action 2.2.14 (Rep) 2.13.16	Improve car-top type launching facilities in Deep Cove for small, non-motorised craft at existing launches north of the Deep Cove Yacht Club and north of the Deep Cove Boathouse.	3	1-2	3-4	4	2	3	1	-
Action 2.2.15 (Ref) 2.2.28 (Ref) 2.10.5 (Rep) 2.13.17	Request the Vancouver Port Corp. to ban the use of personal watercraft in Deep Cove and regulate their use elsewhere.	3	1-2	4	4	1	3	4	-
Action 2.2.16	Develop a policy for the use of the Deep Cove government wharf.	1	1-2	3	1	1	3	1	1
Action 2.2.17	Improve public access through Seycove Marina to dedicated parkland at Seycove Marina Viewpoint Park.	3-4	1-2	3-4	4	1	3	3	-
Action 2.2.18 (Rep) 2.10.6	Consider the feasibility of an outdoor swimming pool at Cates Park.	4	1-2	3	4	3	2	4	-
Action 2.2.19 (Ref) 2.13.19	Residents to get priority on leasing of DNV facilities. (Rob Sienuc)	3	1-2	4	4	1	4	3	-

ACTION	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.2.20 Cates Park: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • should consider expanding west to include the McKenzie Barge site; • could enlarge by reconfiguring the parking closer to Dollarton; • should look both ways – be open to the east and have a comprehensive connecting trail to the McKenzie Barge site; • would have a problem with the associated parking should there be an interpretive facility in the park, or should there be interpretive signage. 	4	1-2	3-4	-	4	3	3	-
	3	1-2	4			2	3	
	3	1-2	3-4			2	3	
	4	1-2	3		4	2	3	
Action 2.2.21 A management plan for Cates Park is needed.	1	1-2	3	4	1	2/3	1	1
Action 2.2.22 (Ref) 2.10.7 Continue the waterfront trail from Little Cates Park to the western border of Cates Park, including the recent addition to the park, and upgrade the trail to appropriate standards.	2	1-2	3	1		2	4	1-2
Action 2.2.23 (Rep) 1.10.8 Over the long term, expand Cates Park through the purchase of six properties along Seashell Lane as these become available.	4	1-2	4	4	2	4	4	4
Action 2.2.24 Provide better support facilities – washrooms etc. for scuba divers, kayakers etc at Cates Park.	2	1-2	3	4	1	2	3	1-2
Action 2.2.25 Provide more facilities for scuba divers and the recreational users of kayaks, canoes, rowboats, sailing dinghies and pedal boats.	2	1-2	2	4	1	3	3	1-2
Action 2.2.26 Upgrade launching ramp at Cates Park to improve low tide boat launching.	2	1-2	2	2	1	2	1	1-2
Action 2.2.27 Segregate various users such as boaters and recreational pier fishermen by providing a fishing pier at Cates Park with no boat moorage.	3	1-2	3	3	3	2	2	3
Action 2.2.28 (Ref) 2.2.15 (Ref) 2.10.5 Ban the use of personal watercraft in the District water lease off Cates Park and ban the launching of personal watercraft from the District-owned boat launch at Cates Park.	3	1-2	4	4	1	2	4	-

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
 (Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

2.2 ENVIRONMENTAL DIRECTIONS

<i>ACTION</i>		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
		1	1-2	3	4	5	6	7	
Action 2.3.1	Consideration to be given to preservation of marine habitat.	1	1-2	3	4	5	6	7	1
Action 2.3.2	Restore the shoreline to a more natural state over the long term.	3	1-2	4	4	2	3	3	-
Action 2.3.3	Develop “Shoreline Stewardship Guidebooks” for key target audiences, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • waterfront homeowners; • waterfront industrial operations; • boaters and marine recreation interests; and • provide an online component on the District’s website. • <i>The guidebook could be developed as a co-operative initiative with community groups, other governmental agencies, e.g. “Puget Sound Shoreline Stewardship Guidebook.”</i> 	3	1-2	4	4	1	3	2	-
Action 2.3.4	Encourage the public to avoid walking on sensitive intertidal and subtidal habitats: (e.g. Barnacle covered rocks). This should be a stewardship initiative.	3	1-2	3	4	1	3	2	-
Action 2.3.5	Emphasize restoration of natural shoreline habitat when designing and implementing public walkways along the shoreline.	3	1-2	4	4	4	3	2	-
Action 2.3.6	Design public pathways to separate heavy use foot traffic away from sensitive shoreline areas.	3	1-2	2	3	4	3	2	-
Action 2.3.7	Identify shoreline areas that are sensitive to foot traffic.	3	1-2	2	4	1	3	2	1-2
Action 2.3.8	Give the Waterfront Plan a name that relates to stewardship and use this as a central theme.	3	1-2	3	4	1	1	4	-
Action 2.3.9	Provide assistance to District waterfront homeowners and businesses in identifying effective methods of protecting shoreline properties, while seeking to protect and restore natural shoreline habitat.	2	1-2	2	4	1	1	1	1
Action 2.3.10	Provide interpretive signage regarding ways of dealing with existing pollution problems, both non-point source pollution and solid waste pollution.	3	1-2	3	4	1	1	1	1

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.3.11	Develop interpretive signage at Cates Park, Strathcona Park, Panorama Park and other key points which educates the public about marine life in local waters and encourages environmentally sensitive stewardship.	2	1-2	1	2	1	3	1	1
Action 2.3.12	As sewers are replaced in the District, move sewer connectors away from the shoreline, creeks and streams wherever possible.	3	1-2	4	4	1	3	3	-
Action 2.3.13	Maintain and upgrade the construction of sewers to a high standard.	2	1-2	3	4	1	3	3	-
Action 2.3.14	Provide storm water best management practices at any parking lot provided along the trail.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	3	3
Action 2.3.15	In order to mitigate littering, provide garbage cans along the trail.	1	1-2	1	1	1	3	1	1
Action 2.3.16 (Ref) 2.2.11 (Ref) 2.12.12	Designate Strathcona/Grey Rock Island as a community initiated marine life refuge in which residents and visitors are encouraged to voluntarily abstain from fishing, harvesting, extraction and removal of marine life, with the objective of official designation and regulation.	3	1-2	3	4	1	3	1	-
Action 2.3.17	Develop signage at Strathcona Park and Strathcona Wharf for the community marine life refuge, which contains the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • map of the refuge; • voluntary measures for protecting marine life (e.g. no fishing or shellfish collecting); • role of marine harvest refuge in restoring depleted marine life and sustaining fish stocks. 	2	1-2	3	3	1	3	1	-
Action 2.3.18	Incorporate information on the Strathcona / Grey Rock Island refuge in the Shoreline Stewardship guidebooks.	3-4	1-2	3	4	1	3	1	-
Action 2.3.19 (Ref) 2.3.20	Provide pump-out stations for boats in the study area.	3	1-2	3	2	1	3	3	3

<i>ACTION</i>		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.3.20 (Ref) 2.3.19	Study the feasibility of establishing pump out stations for boats at various alternative sites in the District waterfront: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • identify capital and operating costs, potential revenue and alternative funding scenarios; • evaluate public versus private ownership and operations scenarios • study alternative parks and marinas as potential sites: (e.g. Seycove Marina, Deep Cove Yacht Club, Deep Cove Wharf, Cates Park, Lynnwood Marina, possible new marina at McKenzie Barge/Noble Towing site, Indian Arm, etc.); • study should include stakeholders (Yacht Club, marinas, District Parks, Ministry of Environment – Lands and Parks, etc.) 	3	1-2	2	1	1	2	3	1-2
Action 2.3.21	Recommend further environmental studies be done when establishing trail location and design.	2	1-2	4	4		2	1	1-2
Action 2.3.22	Support public access to shoreline areas that are less environmentally sensitive.	1	1-2	3-4	-	1	2	1	1-2
Action 2.3.23	Develop policy to encourage better ecological practices relating to development of the land above and below the high water mark.	3	1-2	4	4	1	2	1	-
Action 2.3.24	Intertidal zones to be left in natural state or restored, where possible, to their former natural state.	3	1-2	4	4	1	2	1	1-2

2.4 PARKING AND TRANSIT

<i>ACTION</i>		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.4.1	Increase public transit service along the waterfront.	3-4	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	3	-
Action 2.4.2	Accommodate parking at or near street ends with time limits.	3	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.4.3	Provide parking spaces where possible in all pocket parks.	4	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	3	-
Action 2.4.4	There should be no overnight parking in District parking lots.	1	1-2	1	-	1-2	3	3	1-2
Action 2.4.5	Implement restrictions to reduce extended stay parking in Deep Cove.	3	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	3	3

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
(Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

#210858

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.4.6	Explore potential overflow parking sites for waterfront trail and park users.	3	1-2	3	4	4	3	3	3
Action 2.4.7	Designate resident and public parking zones in the study area.	3	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.4.8	A bylaw is needed to restrict where and how long vehicles can be parked in front of homes in residential areas so that restrictions can be enforced.	3	1-2	3	4	1	3	3	3
Action 2.4.9	Existing parking to be used at Cates Park for access to other sites with a shuttle bus provided.	4	1-2	3	4	3	3	3	3
Action 2.4.10	Revenue from parking spaces should go to enforcement first and then to parks, if possible.	4	1-2	4	4	3	3	3	-
Action 2.4.11	Identify vehicle collecting spots along the trail and determine the number of spaces available at these locations. Examples of collecting spots are Deep Cove, Cates Park, Maplewood, Strathcona, Little Cates, etc.	3	1-2	3	4	1	3	3	3
Action 2.4.12	The Phase 2 Working Group suggests to the Seymour Local Plan Group that they resolve vehicle parking issues arising from the Waterfront Plan.	3	1-2	4	4	2	3	3	-
Action 2.4.13	Conduct a parking study for Deep Cove to determine the impact of visitors on current waterfront parks, waterfront trails, and resident and business areas.	2	1-2	3	1	2	3	3	1-2
Action 2.4.14	Explore creative ways to supplement the parking provisions in Deep Cove and Panorama Parks, including the use of Myrtle Park.	3	1-2	3	1	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.4.15	Support the GVRD plan to provide a pedestrian/cyclist small ferry connection from Belcarra to Deep Cove.	2	1-2	3	2	3	3	3	3
Action 2.4.16	Encourage a seasonal ferry to link existing transit points to waterfront locations.	3	1-2	2	2	3	3	3	3

2.5 INDIAN ARM MARINE COMMUTERS

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.5.1 (Ref) 2.5.2 (Ref) 2.5.3	Commuters should be expected to use Seycove Marina and keep the government dock for short-term moorage. No commuter overnight moorage or parking in Deep Cove.	3	1-2	3	4	1	3	3	3
Action 2.5.2 (Ref) 2.5.1	Phase out boater commuter parking permits currently in designated parking lots in Deep Cove.	3	1-2	4	4	3	3	3	3
Action 2.5.3 (Ref) 2.5.1	Locate commuter parking outside of the waterfront study area.	3	1-2	3	3	3	3	3	3

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
 (Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

#210858

<i>ACTION</i>	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.5.4 Address the needs of water commuters and those of short term visitors arriving by water, and develop a solution jointly with Deep Cove residents and businesses.	1	1-2	3	1	1-2	3	3	1-2

2.6 MAPLEWOOD CONSERVATION AREA

<i>ACTION</i>	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.6.1 Develop detailed management plan for conservation area with other stakeholders, including Wildbird Trust.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	1	3
Action 2.6.2 Consult with Wildbird Trust to develop design for pathway adjacent to Dollarton Highway, which provides for public use while minimizing impacts on the conservation area.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	1	3
Action 2.6.3 Provide a naturally landscaped, pedestrian trail along Dollarton Highway adjacent to Maplewood Conservation Area from Park Street to Daly Street. The Conservation Area fence should be moved back to allow pedestrian trail to be set back from the road by 20 feet.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	3	3
Action 2.6.4 Recommend that Vancouver Port Authority designate the Maplewood Mudflats as a Marine Reserve including restrictions on vessel access to the area.	3	1-2	4	4	1	3	3	-
Action 2.6.5 Extend conservation area to include adjacent intertidal and subtidal areas to protect habitat and wildlife.	3	1-2	3	2	1	3	1	1-2
Action 2.6.6 Prohibit dredging in mudflats and subtidal areas, except where needed for habitat restoration.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	1	3
Action 2.6.7 Restrict boat access in the mudflats area to emergency use and environmental monitoring uses and other uses which cause minimal impact to the mudflat habitat and wildlife.	2	1-2	3	3	1	3	1	1-2
Action 2.6.8 Relocate helicopter landing pad from Park Street right-of-way to a location in the vicinity, but well removed from the bird sanctuary, and redevelop pad site as a public viewpoint.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	1	3
Action 2.6.9 Develop public accesses along Park Street but via the bird sanctuary.	4	1-2	3	2	1	3	3	-
Action 2.6.10 Provide more visible directional signs from Dollarton Highway.	1	1-2	2	2	1	3	1	1
Action 2.6.11 Provide interpretive signs informing of both the environmental uniqueness of the mudflats and the historic mudflats squatter community.	2	1-2	2	1	1	3	1	1
Action 2.6.12 Rezone site from industrial to parks and conservation purposes, compatible with the OCP.	3	1-2	4	3	1	3	1	-

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.6.13	Encourage a program of fresh water replenishment using storm water diversions from the lands north of Dollarton Highway.	2	1-2	3	2	1	3	1	1-2
Action 2.6.14 (Ref) 2.6.15	Recognize McCartney Creek as an important wildlife corridor. Ensure that a minimum 30 metre buffer zone is preserved in as natural state as possible as a condition of development on adjacent lands.	3	1-2	3	3	1	3	1-2	3
Action 2.6.15 (Ref) 2.6.14	Preserve wildlife corridor in areas west of McCartney Creek and Blueridge Creek.	3	1-2	3	3	1-2	3	1	3

2.7 DOLLARTON HIGHWAY

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.7.1	Provide a pedestrian pathway along the south side of Dollarton Highway from Daly Street to Horton Street, with limited access at street ends and viewpoints for blinds. Rezone to PRO and dedicate as park the District-owned lots within the Daly and Ellis street ends.	2	1-2	4	2	1-2	3	3	1-2
Action 2.7.2	Establish exact boundaries of private properties adjacent to mudflats.	1	1-2	4	1	1-2	2	3	1-2
Action 2.7.3	Purchase strategic lots along Dollarton Highway for public access or extension of Maplewood Conservation Area.	4	1-2	4	4	4	4	3	4
Action 2.7.4	Purchase strategic private lots along Dollarton Highway between Daly Street and Horton Avenue and convert to open space for larger viewpoints to water, or for extension of Maplewood Conservation Area.	4	1-2	4	4	4	4	3	4
Action 2.7.5	Acquire four residential lots to west of McKenzie Barge / Noble Towing site as they come on the market, rezone PRO, and dedicate for park purposes and for continuation of the waterfront walk from McKenzie Barge / Noble Towing site.	4	1-2	4	4	4	4	3	4

4

2.8 T'SLEIL WAUTUTH RESERVE

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.8.1	Trail route follows along Dollarton Highway using road allowances.	1	1-2	2	1	1-2	3	3	1-2

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
 (Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

#210858

ACTION	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.8.2 Work with First Nations for future access to waterfront.	3	1-2	3	1	1-2	3	3	3

2.9 McKENZIE BARGE / NOBLE TOWING INDUSTRIAL SITE

The following implementation actions will apply to any redevelopment to a non-industrial use of this site.

ACTION	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.9.1 Remove the PRW designation on this site from the District OCP and replace it with a comprehensive development designation in accordance with the OCP and consistent with the Phase 2 Working Group recommendations, and retain current industrial zoning as the interim stage.	3	1-2	3	3	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.9.2 Site needs to be cleansed of industrial pollutants before any re-development occurs.	3	1-2	3	2	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.9.3 Treat as a destination site and improve public transit service to the site.	3	1-2	3	3	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.9.4 Restore creek on site and develop creekside trail for future connection to Roche Point and Seymour Golf Course.	3	1-2	3	2	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.9.5 Preserve sea views from along the Dollarton Highway and through the site.	3	1-2	3	2	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.9.6 Integrate site uses and development; build on pilings over water to recreate traditional industrial marine/cannery architectural form.	3	1-2	3	3	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.9.7 Ensure that any re-development of the Industrial sites to a non-industrial use must include provision for an uninterrupted waterfront trail along site to link into Cates Park to the East and to the new park to be developed to the West.	3	1-2	4	3	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.9.8 New uses to be complimentary to the Cates Park Management Plan	3	1-2	4	2	1-2	3	3	3

ACTION	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
<p>Action 2.9.9 Dedicate a continuous public waterfront walkway and provide a large public component to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • commercial uses such as fish boat museum, public market, interpretive historical information, public boat launch, public pier, specialty seafood restaurant, wharfside fish and chip shop, First Nations feast house, First Nations canoe-building demonstrations, sales of kayaks/ boats/ canoes, shops, sale of scuba gear, small boat repair, marine recreational equipment sales, First Nations art workshops, marine research, pump-out facilities for larger boats, police/ fire/ water taxi facilities, café, gas barge, Indian Arm/Belcarra ferry services, neighbourhood pub, artists' studios, marine-related commercial uses; • recreational and park uses such as trails, seating areas, preservation of natural treed areas, play areas, play facilities, passive open space, picnic areas, swimming beach; • industrial uses such as: small scale marine oriented industrial uses; • give consideration to the provision of a marina accessible to the public; • support the inclusion of fewer than 25 residential units on the site located above the ground floor. 	3	1-2	3	2/3	3	3	3	3
Action 2.9.10 Permit no launching of personal watercraft from site.	3	1-2	4	4	-	3	4	-

2.10 CATES PARK

<i>ACTION</i>		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.10.1	A management plan for Cates Park is needed.	1	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	1	1-2
Action 2.10.2	Provide more facilities for scuba divers and the recreational users of kayaks, canoes, rowboats, sailing dinghies and pedal boats at Cates Park.	3	1-2	2	4	1-2	3	3	-
Action 2.10.3	Upgrade launching ramp at Cates Park to improve low tide boat launching.	2	1-2	2	2	1-2	3	1	2
Action 2.10.4	Segregate the various users such as boaters and recreational pier fishermen by providing a recreational pier at Cates Park with no boat moorage.	2	1-2	3	3	2	3	2	2
Action 2.10.5 (Ref) 2.2.15 (Ref) 2.2.28	Ban the use of personal watercraft in the District water lease off Cates Park and ban the launching of personal watercraft from the District-owned boat launch at Cates Park.	3	1-2	4	4	1-2	3	4	-
Action 2.10.6 (Rep) 2.2.18	Consider the feasibility of an outdoor swimming pool at Cates Park..	4	1-2	3	4	3		4	-
Action 2.10.7 (Ref) 2.2.22	Continue the “natural” waterfront trail from Little Cates Park to the western border of Cates Park, including the recent addition to the park, and upgrade the trail to appropriate standards.	2	1-2	3	1	1-2	3	4	1-2
Action 2.10.8 (Rep) 2.2.23	Over the long term, expand Cates Park through the purchase of six properties along Seashell Lane , as these become available.	4	1-2	4	4	4	4	4	4
Action 2.10.9	Include in the management plan for Cates Park: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> signage and upgraded/improved existing interpretive objects to recognize native and settlers history. 	1	1-2	2	2	1-2	3	1	1-2

2.11 BEACHVIEW

<i>ACTION</i>		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.11.1	Acquire necessary easements through negotiation or purchase retaining an approximate 6 metre right-of-way strip for an upland shoreline waterfront trail between Golf Drive and Harris Place.	4	1-2	3	4	4	4	4	4
Action 2.11.2	Develop Lowry Lane Park into a pocket park with open grassy areas, seating, signage, water and beach access and off-street parking.	3	1-2	4	4	1	3	2	-

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
(Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

#210858

ACTION	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.11.3 Develop Golf Drive street end into a pocket park with open grassy areas, seating, signage, water and beach access and viewing areas.	3	1-2	3	-	1-2	3	2	-

2.12 STRATHCONA TO EASTRIDGE

ACTION	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.12.1 Develop an Ecological Park at Harris Avenue street end, with suspension bridge to link Harris to Beachview portions of the trail and to ensure beach trail does no harm.	3	1-2	3	4	4	3	3	3
Action 2.12.2 Expand Harris Avenue street end into a pocket park by purchase of the four houses on the south side of Harris Place, as they become available. This new pocket park will provide a high viewpoint of the entire shoreline to the south.	4	1-2	4	4	4	4	4	4
Action 2.12.3 Acquire through negotiation or purchase a public right-of-way between Harris Place and Stonehaven Avenue to facilitate trail connections.	4	1-2	4	4	4	4	4	4
Action 2.12.4 Removal of all encroachments on Harris Place and Epps Avenue street ends and Stonehaven Avenue right of way, preceded by a survey.	3	1-2	3	3	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.12.5 Improve beach access at Epps Avenue.	2	1-2	3	3	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.12.6 Open up pedestrian access to existing laneway linking Epps Avenue and Strathcona Road by removal of all encroachments and with the addition of a stairway.	3	1-2	3	3	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.12.7 Obtain easement by purchase or negotiation to link Strathcona Road street end with Epps Avenue street end along the water's edge and negotiate use of current communal wharf access as part of a new public pedestrian boardwalk between Strathcona Road and Epps Avenue.	3	1-2	3	4	4	3	3	3
Action 2.12.8 Create a no-take marine harvest refuge for marine life in the waters surrounding Grey Rock Island and Strathcona.	3	1-2	3	4	1	3	1	-
Action 2.12.9 As a long term goal consider purchase of Grey Rock Island, when it becomes available, for future recreational and educational uses in keeping with the adjacent marine reserve and for a park accessible for kayaks and canoes.	4	1-2	3	4	4	4	2	4
Action 2.12.10 Once Grey Rock Island becomes a park, link to the mainland with a hand-operated cable car.	4	1-2	3	4	3	3	3	3

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action

(Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

#210858

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.12.11	Upgrade and expand the existing Strathcona public wharf facility for better recreational access to the water.	2	1-2	3	3	2	3	2	2
Action 2.12.12 (Ref) 2.2.11 (Ref) 2.3.16	Establish Strathcona Wharf/Strathcona Park/Grey Rock Island as a destination area for recreational use by kayaks, canoes and scuba divers and develop washroom/change rooms and support facilities, including parking at Strathcona Park for visiting kayakers, canoers, scuba divers and park users.	3	1-2	3-4	4	3	3	3	3
Action 2.12.13	Expand Strathcona Park by acquiring, as they become available, the seven lots between Strathcona public wharf and Strathcona Park, for public open space.	4	1-2	4	4	4	4	4	4
Action 2.12.14	Develop a below-cliff trail connection from Cove Cliff Place to Strathcona Place by acquiring an easement or right-of-way through negotiation or purchase.	3	1-2	3-4	4	4	4	4	4
Action 2.12.15	Develop a trail and viewpoint at Eastridge to connect in the longer term to Block B and Wickenden park.	3	1-2	3	4	4	3	4	-
Action 2.12.16	Acquire an easement through negotiation or purchase to connect Cardinal Crescent with the Eastridge trail and viewpoint.	4	1-2	3	4	4	4	4	4
Action 2.12.17	The trail section from Strathcona Park north around to Cardinal Crescent is a water view trail only, not a beach trail, due to the topography of the area.	3	1-2	-	-	-	4	4	-

2.13 DEEP COVE

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.13.1 (Ref) 2.13.2	Establish a continuous waterfront trail connection linking Cardinal Crescent to Deep Cove Park including a boardwalk along Block B.	3	1-2	3	4	4	3	4	-
Action 2.13.2 (Ref) 2.13.1	Purchase one lot on Lockehaven when it becomes available, to improve connection between Block B boardwalk and Deep Cove Park.	3	1-2	4	4	4	4	4	4
Action 2.13.3	Improve Lockehaven street end.	2	1-2	3	1	1-2	3	4	-
Action 2.13.4	Improve existing trail to Parkside Road at east end of Deep Cove Park and make it wheelchair-accessible.	2	1-2	3	1	1-2	3	4	-
Action 2.13.5 (Ref) 2.13.1	Extend waterfront trail through Deep Cove Park, to link to Block B in the longer term.	3	1-2	3	4	4	3	4	-
Action 2.13.6	Enforce existing regulations and prohibit overnight moorage by anchors or to buoys.	2-3	1-2	3-4	1	1-2	3	3	-

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
 (Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

#210858

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.13.7	Improve water swimming quality at Deep Cove.	2-3	1-2	2	1	1-2	3	2	2
Action 2.13.8	Provide more facilities for scuba divers and the recreational users of kayaks, canoes, rowboats, sailing dinghies and pedal boats in Deep Cove.	2	1-2	2	-	1-2	3	3	1-2
Action 2.13.9	Promote a defined water area in Deep Cove as a teaching centre for kayaks and canoes.	2	1-2	1	4	1-2	3	3	1-2
Action 2.13.10	Conduct an economic benefit analysis of the impact on Deep Cove of the Indian Arm Provincial Park, an expanded use of government wharf and the increase in visitors brought about by the growth of recreational opportunities and the creation of a major waterfront trail system. Continue to encourage the development in Deep Cove of businesses serving the water-oriented visitor and local recreation market	3	1-2	3	3	1-2	3	4	3
Action 2.13.11	Provide better visual and physical access to the Deep Cove waterfront through expansion and development of all street ends.	3	1-2	3	2	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.13.12	Promote Deep Cove as the hub of a trail network including the waterfront trail from the Seymour River.	3	1-2	3	2		3	4	-
Action 2.13.13	Develop a "Deep Cove" theme street and beach signage system to increase public awareness of access to and from the waterfront.	2	1-2	2	4	1-2	3	1	1-2
Action 2.13.14	Provide more heritage information signs around the waterfront and in waterfront parks and trails to illustrate the significant history of Deep Cove.	2	1-2	2	2	1-2	3	1	1-2
Action 2.13.15 (Rep) 2.2.13	An improved multi-use, public wharf in Deep Cove is needed to cater for increased recreational demand including walking and fishing.	3	1-2	3	2	1-2	3	2	1-2
Action 2.13.16 (Rep) 2.2.14	Improve car-top type launching facilities in Deep Cove for small, non-motorised craft at existing launches north of the Deep Cove Yacht Club and north of the Deep Cove Boathouse.	3	1-2	3-4	4	1-2	3	1	-
Action 2.13.17 (Rep) 2.2.15	Request the Vancouver Port Association to ban the use of personal watercraft in Deep Cove and regulate their use elsewhere.	3	1-2	4	4	1-2	3	4	-
Action 2.13.18	Make Deep Cove Boathouse more available for public use .	3	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.13.19 (Ref) 2.2.19	North Vancouver District residents to get priority on leasing of any non-commercial uses of the Boathouse.	3	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	3	3
Action 2.13.20	Encourage the use of non-motorised vessels in Deep Cove.	2-3	1-2	2	-	1-2	3	4	-

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.13.21	Move long term moorage for motorised vessels out of Deep Cove.	4	1-2	4	4	1-2	3	4	4
Action 2.13.22	Provide expanded facilities at government wharf for water taxis and short term visiting vessels.	3	1-2	3	2	1-2	3	2	1-2
Action 2.13.23	Consider the provision of future increased water traffic control in Deep Cove.	3	1-2	3	3	1-2	3	3	3

2.14 GOVERNMENT WHARF

ACTION		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.14.1	Retain government wharf for public use on a user pay basis; overnight boaters/visitors should be accommodated at the government dock on the following conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • that the stay is only one night; • that space for overnight visitors is limited; • that holding tanks are sealed; • that there is an enforced charge for the stay. 	3	1-2	3-4	1	4	3	3	-
Action 2.14.2	Provide metered short - term moorage for boats at the government dock and at any future public docks.	3	1-2	3-4	4	1-2	3	3	-
Action 2.14.3	Develop a policy for the use of the Deep Cove government wharf.	2	1-2	3	1	1-2	3	3	2

2.15 DEEP COVE YACHT CLUB

<i>ACTION</i>	Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
<p>Action 2.15.1 In the short term, the Deep Cove Yacht Club lease should be renewed for an initial 10 year period with the following conditions recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • that community meeting space for community groups be provided; • that community membership be re-instituted; • that more access for general community use at a reasonable rate be provided; • that the Club discuss with the government the possibility of consolidating dock space with the government wharf; • that more teaching and education be offered to the public; • that a time for the general public to access the space be established; • that all the conditions of the lease be met or the lease will expire; • that the Club address the conflicts between power and sail boats; • the Club pay a market rate for the lease; and the lease payment should take into consideration the amount of community use of the facility; • the Club address the parking problem; • that small craft be a priority in this facility. <p>In the long term, after the initial 10-year period, new 5 year leases may be negotiated after review by a Council-appointed Committee to determine the applicability of the previous lease conditions and to determine if additional conditions are necessary, or the lease be allowed to expire.</p>	4	1-2	3	-	4	1	3	-

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
 (Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action

2.16 PANORAMA PARK

<i>ACTION</i>		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.16.1	Expansion of Panorama Park by purchase of remaining 2 private lots located in the Northwest corner of the park, as they come on the market.	3	1-2	4	4	4	4	3	4
Action 2.16.2	Expansion of Panorama Park by dedication of the District-owned lots in the North end of the Park.	2-3	1-2	3-4	3	4	2	3	-
Action 2.16.3	Provide better swimming facilities and/or the expansion of the present swimming beach, including improvement of the sand beach. Provide a spray pool to complement the other recreational and park facilities in Deep Cove.	2	1-2	2	4	1-2	2	3	1-2

2.17 PANORAMA DRIVE

<i>ACTION</i>		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.17.1	Develop a new public viewpoint to become a pocket park with viewing platforms on street end at east end of Panorama Drive next to Seycove Marina.	3	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	4	-
Action 2.17.2	Provide better access to Quarry Rock and to upland hiking trails (Baden Powell Trail) to create a loop trail.	3	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	4	-

2.18 SEYCOVE MARINA

<i>ACTION</i>		Individual Council Members Response							Majority Position
Action 2.18.1	The preferred use for the Seycove Marina site is as a marina with the provision of temporary day/overnight slips.	4	1-2	3	4	1-2	3	4	-
Action 2.18.2	Negotiate public access through Seycove Marina to Quarry Rock and to the dedicated parkland at Seycove Marina (Viewpoint Park).	3	1-2	3-4	4	1-2	3	4	-

(Rep) Refer to similar objectives/policy/action
 (Rep) Repeats another objective/policy/action



The Corporation of the District of North Vancouver

CORPORATE POLICY MANUAL

Section:	Administration	1
Sub-Section:	Council - General	0530
Title:	CODE OF ETHICS	11

POLICY

The District of North Vancouver has adopted a Code of Ethics which is applicable to members of Council and to any person appointed by Council to boards, committees, commissions, panels or task forces. The Code of Ethics is outlined in Attachment 1 to this Policy.

REASON FOR POLICY

The purpose of the Code of Ethics is to ensure that:

1. public business is conducted with integrity, in a fair, honest and open manner;
2. members respect one another, the public and staff and recognize the unique role and contribution each person has in making the District a better place to work and live;
3. their conduct in the performance of their duties and responsibilities with District is above reproach; and
4. the decision-making processes are accessible, participatory, understandable, timely and just, in addition to the requirements of applicable enactments.

AUTHORITY TO ACT

Retained by Council

Approval Date:	November 28, 2000	Approved by:	Regular Council
1. Amendment Date:		Approved by:	
2. Amendment Date:		Approved by:	
3. Amendment Date:		Approved by:	

CODE OF ETHICS

**For members of
District of North Vancouver Council
and Council Appointees to Boards,
Committees, Commissions and Task Forces (“members”)**

**Adopted by the Council of the District of North Vancouver
November 28, 2000**

Preamble

The residents and businesses of the District of North Vancouver are entitled to have fair, honest and open local government that has earned the public's full confidence for integrity. In keeping with the District of North Vancouver's Governance Principles and Corporate Values as described in the Corporate Business Plan, the District seeks to maintain and enhance the quality of life for all District residents through effective, responsible and responsive government. To help achieve this goal, members have committed to strive to ensure that:

- public business is conducted with integrity, in a fair, honest and open manner;
- members respect one another, the public and staff and recognize the unique role and contribution each person has in making the District a better place to work and live;
- their conduct in the performance of their duties and responsibilities with the District be above reproach; and
- the decision-making processes be accessible, participatory, understandable, timely and just, in addition to the requirements of applicable enactments.

Application of the Code

To this end, the Council of the District of North Vancouver has adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to members of Council and to any person appointed by Council to boards, committees, commissions, panels or task forces. Unless otherwise specified, “members” is intended to include both members of Council and committees. The bodies which Council can appoint members to are referred to collectively as “committees” in the Code.

1. Act in the Public Interest

Recognizing that the District seeks to maintain and enhance the quality of life for all District residents through effective, responsive and responsible government, members will conduct their business with integrity, in a fair, honest and open manner.

2. Comply with the Law

Members shall comply with all applicable federal, provincial, and local laws in the performance of their public duties. These laws include, but are not limited to: the *Constitution Act*, the *Provincial Human Rights Code*; the *Criminal Code*, the *Local Government Act*, laws pertaining to financial disclosures, and employer responsibilities; and relevant District bylaws and policies.

3. Conduct of Members

The conduct of members in the performance of their duties and responsibilities with the District must be fair, open and honest. Members shall refrain from abusive conduct, personal charges or verbal attacks upon the character or motives of other members of Council, committees, the staff or the public.

4. Respect for Process

Members shall perform their duties in accordance with the policies and procedures and rules of order established by the District Council governing the deliberation of public policy issues, meaningful involvement of the public, and implementation of policy decisions of the Council by District staff. Members of committees shall be aware of the mandate of their respective committee, and act in accordance with it.

5. Conduct of Public Meetings

Members shall prepare themselves for public meetings; listen courteously and attentively to all public discussions before the body; and focus on the business at hand. They shall not interrupt other speakers; make personal comments not germane to the business of the body; or otherwise disturb a meeting.

6. Decisions Based on Merit

Members shall base their decisions on the merits and substance of the matter at hand, rather than on unrelated considerations.

7. Communication

Subject to paragraph 10, members shall publicly share substantive information that is relevant to a matter under consideration by the Council or a committee, which they may have received from sources outside of the public decision-making process.

8. Conflict of Interest

The Mayor and Councillors shall be aware of and act in accordance with Section 231 of the *Local Government Act*, and shall fulfil part (c) of their *Oath of Office*. Other Members shall act in accordance with the Conflict of Interest provisions of Corporate Policy 1-0360-3.

9. Gifts and Favours

Members shall not accept any money, property, position or favour of any kind whether to be received at the present or in the future, from a person having, or seeking to have dealings with the District, save for appropriate refreshments or meals, except where such a gift or favour is authorized by law, or where such gifts or favours are received as an incident of the protocol, social obligation or common business hospitality that accompany the duties and responsibilities of the member. A member may participate in District programs open to the public and may purchase District property or goods offered for public sale.

10. Confidential Information

Members shall respect the confidentiality of information concerning the property, personnel or legal affairs of the District. They shall neither disclose confidential information without proper authorization, nor use such information to advance their personal, financial or other private interests.

11. Use of Public Resources

Members shall not use public resources not available to the public in general, such as staff time, equipment, supplies or facilities, for private gain or personal purposes.

12. Advocacy

Members shall represent the official policies or positions of the District Council or committee to the best of their ability when designated as delegates for this purpose. When presenting their individual opinions and positions, members shall explicitly state they do not represent Council, their committee or the District of North Vancouver, nor will they allow the inference that they do.

13. Policy Role of Members

Members shall respect and adhere to the council-manager structure of government as practiced in the District of North Vancouver. In this structure, the Council determines the policies of the District with the advice, information and analysis provided by the public, committees, and District staff.

Members, therefore, shall not interfere with the administrative functions of the District or with the professional duties of District staff; nor shall they impair the ability of staff to implement Council policy decisions.

14. Positive Work Place Environment

Members shall treat other members, the public and District staff with respect and shall be supportive of the personal dignity, self-esteem and well being of those with whom they come in contact with during the course of their professional duties. Members shall be aware of and act in accordance with the Corporate Harassment Policies 7-2585-1 and 7-2585-2.

15. Implementation

The District of North Vancouver Code of Ethics is intended to be self-enforcing. Members should view the Code as a set of guidelines that express collectively the standards of conduct expected of them. It, therefore, becomes most effective when members are thoroughly familiar with the Code and embrace its provisions.

For this reason, the Code of Ethics will be provided to candidates for Council and applicants to committees. Members elected to Council or appointed to a committee will be requested to sign the Member Statement affirming they have read and understood the District of North Vancouver Code of Ethics. In addition, Council and committees shall review annually the Code of Ethics, and Council shall consider recommendations from committees and update the Code as necessary.

16. Compliance and Enforcement

The District of North Vancouver Code of Ethics expresses standards of ethical conduct expected for members of the District Council and committees. Members themselves have the primary responsibility to assure that these ethical standards are understood and met, and that the public can continue to have full confidence in the integrity of the governance of the District of North Vancouver.

Council may impose sanctions on members whose conduct does not comply with the District's ethical standards, such as motion of censure. Council may also rescind the appointment of a member to a committee for breaching the Code of Ethics.

To ensure procedural and administrative fairness, a member who is accused of violating any provision of the Code of Ethics with the exception of paragraph 14 shall have a minimum of one week, or the time between two consecutive meetings, whichever is greater, to prepare his or her case to respond to these allegations. Before considering a sanction, Council must ensure that a member has

1. received a written copy of the case against him or her;
2. a minimum of one week, or the time between two consecutive meetings, whichever is greater, to prepare a defence against any allegations; and
3. an opportunity to be heard.

The procedures outlined in Corporate Policy 7-2585-3 *Complaint Resolution Procedures – Harassment* has been adopted by Council for dealing with a complaint under Policy 7-2585-1 and/or 7-2585-2 (see paragraph 14, above).

A violation of this Code of Ethics shall not be considered a basis for challenging the validity of a Council or committee decision.

MODEL OF EXCELLENCE

Council of the District of North Vancouver and Council Appointees to Boards, Committees, Commissions and Task Forces

MEMBER STATEMENT

As a member of the District of North Vancouver Council or of a District committee, I agree to uphold the Code of Ethics adopted by the District and conduct myself by the following model of excellence. I will:

Recognize the diversity of backgrounds, interests and views in our community;

Help create an atmosphere of open and responsive government;

Conduct public affairs with integrity, in a fair, honest and open manner;

Respect one another and the unique role and contribution each of us has in making the District a better place to work and live;

Strive to keep the decision-making processes open, accessible, participatory, understandable, timely, just and fair;

Avoid and discourage conduct which is not in the best interests of the District;

Treat all people with whom I come in contact in the way I wish to be treated.

I affirm that I have read and understood the District of North Vancouver Code of Ethics.

Signature Date

Name Office

CORPORATE POLICY MANUAL

Section:	Legal Matters	6
Sub-Section:	Easements and Rights of Way	2360
Title:	PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY ALONG CREEK BEDS OR WATERWAYS	3

POLICY

When it is possible, as a result of rezoning or subdivision to acquire public rights of way along and adjoining creek beds or waterways, the dedication of such rights of way for the general use of the public shall be brought before Council for consideration.

REASON FOR POLICY

To acquire, whenever possible, the rights of way for public rights of passage along creeks or waterways throughout the District.

AUTHORITY TO ACT

Retained by Council

Approval Date:	January 25, 1982	Approved by:	Policy & Planning Committee
1. Amendment Date:	December 11, 1995	Approved by:	Regular Council
2. Amendment Date:		Approved by:	
3. Amendment Date:		Approved by:	

Re: Council meetings closed to the public.

Subject: Re: Council meetings closed to the public.

Date: Thu, 11 Jan 2001 08:04:44 -0800

From: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

To: Don Bell <BellD@district.north-van.bc.ca>

CC: Agnes Hilsen <ahilsen@district.north-van.bc.ca>, Ernie Crist <criste@dnv.org>, Lisa Muri <muril@dnv.org>, Doug MacKay-Dunn <doug_mackay-dunn@dnv.org>, bill denault <bill_denault@dnv.org>, Janice Harris <harrisj@district.north-van.bc.ca>, heather dunsford <heather_dunsford@dnv.org>, brian platts <brian_platts@telus.net>

BCC: brian platts <brian_platts@telus.net>

Dear Mayor Bell,

Thank you for your positive response on this matter. I trust that this meeting will not "constitute a material part of council's decision making process". As well, I look forward to all further such meetings to be open to the public. I am somewhat disappointed in this year's timing on the presentation of the 2001-2002 Financial plan - especially in light of the number of meetings that have already occurred in adjacent municipalities.

Yours truly,

Corrie Kost

Don Bell wrote:

> Corrie,
>
> Thank you for your comments.
>
> I am aware of the issue you raise and have just sent an e-mail to Council
> members regarding this matter, a copy of which I am attaching for your
> information.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Don Bell
> Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2001 1:55 PM
> To: Ernie Crist
> Cc: Mayor and Council - DNV; Gord Howie; Agnes Hilsen
> Subject: RE: Friday 12 - 01 Strategic planning meeting.
>
> Councillor Crist,
>
> The Strategic Planning Workshop will proceed as planned this Friday January
> 12th in the Oak Room of the Delbrook Rec Centre beginning at 9:45am or
> 10:00am, depending on how quickly I can return from the Special GVRD Board
> Meeting called for 9:00am that day to appoint Translink Directors for 2001.
> I do not expect the GVRD meeting to last very long as there is only one
> item(Translink appointments) on the agenda.
>
> The Strategic Planning Workshop will include Council and the Directors' Team
> and will be led by a private consultant specializing in facilitating these
> types of meetings. Members of the public or the media will not be included
> in this meeting because the planned nature of this initial discussion will
> be wide-ranging and may well include topics relating to labour (staffing),
> legal and land or other confidential issues.
>
> The Clerk has had discussions with Municipal Affairs and has referred to a
> recent circular from that ministry:
>
> "Strategic Planning Sessions
>

> The intent is that all council meetings, whether they are called strategic
> planning sessions, workshops or retreats, to which all members of council
> have been invited and which constitute a material part of council's decision
> making process are subject to the open meeting rules. Some strategic
> planning sessions, depending on the session, will fall into this category,
> others will not. Each of them will have to be examined in light of the
> circumstances."

>
> The Municipal Manager, Clerk, Facilitator and I have reviewed the proposed
> workshop and feel that the circumstances of this particular workshop are
> such that the meeting should not be open to the public.

>
> I expect Council will be able to identify areas for more focussed and
> detailed future discussion in public for which staff reports may be
> required. These future discussions may take place in Regular Council
> meetings, Special Financial Plan (Budget) Strategy meetings, Special
> Financial Plan (Budget) meetings or a Special Council meeting or Public
> Meeting called for that purpose. These meetings would be open to the public
> unless the specific topics to be discussed were those requiring the meeting
> to be closed (in-camera).

>
> I will be recommending to Council that we schedule one or two special
> meetings for the specific purpose of allowing the public to provide Council
> with comments during the proposed Financial Plan process. I suggest that one
> of these meetings be on a Saturday during the day to allow those residents
> who cannot come out on a week night to participate if they wish. These
> meetings would be in lieu of there being a public input period at each
> Financial Plan meeting, which many felt last year contributed to less
> effective budget discussions by Council. The public could attend the other
> meetings as observers. I will also attempt to schedule Shaw Cable coverage
> of as many Financial Plan (Budget) meetings as possible.

>
> I look forward to the participation of all members of Council, and input
> from the public, as we move forward towards our new Financial Plan.

> Mayor Bell

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Corrie Kost [<mailto:kost@triumf.ca>]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2001 12:02 AM
> To: Agnes Hilsen; Bill Denault; Don Bell; Doug MacKay-Dunn; Ernie Crist;
> Heather Dunsford; Janice Harris; Lisa Muri
> Cc: Brian Platts; Angela Trudeau; Bill Tracey; Dan Ellis; David Knee;
> Ray Burns; Francois Du Preez; John Miller; Eric Andersen; Kitty Castle;
> Hugh Murray; Ian Abercrombie; Fraser Margaret; Val Moller; Cathy Adams;
> Al Price; Brent Mayall; Jean Hodgson; Geoff Thorpe; Dave Sadler; Bruce
> Ward; Tom Young
> Subject: Council meetings closed to the public.

> Your Worship & Members of Council,

>
> It has come to my attention that council may be holding one or more
> meetings for the purposes of "Strategic Planning". I caution council
> that any such meeting which would constitute a material part of
> council's decision making process MUST be open to the public AND be
> announced as so in accordance with the Local Government Act. Again, any
> meetings of council (other than for specific issues which are
> specifically authorized to be closed), which will have a substantial
> impact on how members will vote on various issues must be open to the
> public.

>
> I would appreciate a reply if you do not concur with the above.

Re: Council meetings closed to the public.

>
> *Yours truly,*
>
> *Corrie Kost*

Subject: Licence To Occupy For A Garage - Street End Next To 4793 Strathcona Rd.

Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2000 19:05:11 -0800

From: Brian Platts <brian_platts@telus.net>

To: Bill Denault <bill_denault@dnv.org>, Don Bell <belld@district.north-van.bc.ca>, Doug Mackay-Dunn <doug_mackay-dunn@dnv.org>, Ernie Crist <ernie_crist@dnv.org>, Heather Dunsford <heather_dunsford@dnv.org>, Janice Harris <janice_harris@dnv.org>, Lisa Muri <lisa_muri@dnv.org>

CC: Agnes Hilsen <HilsenA@district.north-van.bc.ca>, North Shore Outlook <newsroom@northshoreoutlook.com>, Timothy Renshaw <ttrenshaw@home.com>

Mayor & Council:

Let's see if I've got this straight. A waterfront homeowner illegally constructs -- on public land adjacent to his property -- a two-car garage, fence, retaining walls, and basketball court, and for 25 years never pays the District a dime in rent. Now he wants to sell the property and needs a License to Occupy in order to legalize the garage. The District, in its wisdom, proposes a 10 year term for an astonishing 90% discount, in part because the homeowner claims that a sewer line (that services his house no less) is in trespass UNDER his property.

After listening to the Council debate last week, lets be very clear what this issue is NOT about. It is not about the sewer line, which is an obvious and desperate attempt by the homeowner to justify his own illegal occupation of publically-owned land. If Council falls for this red-herring, then thousands of homeowners across the District who have sewer lines running under their properties are owed a big tax break.

Secondly, this issue is also NOT about public access to the waterfront. The homeowner certainly has the legal right to access his property via the street end, however, he has no right to block or impede the public's access through this publically-owned street end. One councillor, speaking in support of the homeowner, got sidetracked and questioned the feasibility for public access to the waterfront due to the steep topography of the street end. Has he never heard of stairs and viewing platforms?

Sewer lines, public access to the waterfront, even complaints about the perceived lack of on street parking are nothing but distractions. Instead, what this issue IS about, is your sworn duty and responsibility as members of Council to protect the public interest.

During last week's debate, one councillor said she wanted to be fair, and not be "belligerent" in demanding the full rate for a license as specified by District policy. No mention was made about fairness to the tax-paying, law-abiding residents of the District. One wonders how many of you among Council would agree to allow someone to build a garage on your property and have that person pay nothing for 25 years, after which you grant him a 10-year sweetheart License to Occupy for a rate of little more than \$40 a month (\$500 a year). It wouldn't happen. So why is Council bending over backwards to accommodate this person? Even charging -- as of today -- the \$5,214 yearly rate would still be good deal for the homeowner since he's had the last 25 years of occupation for free.

Arguments that the District has entered into other Licenses to Occupy for less than the specified rate are irrelevant. Past incompetence is no excuse for continuing the practice. How many times have we heard this Council talk about taking a more "business like" approach to fiscal matters? Well here is your opportunity to put your talk into action. Indeed, each of you has a responsibility to seek out the best return on District-owned assets. Anything less is pure incompetence on your part.

The public has the right to expect its elected representatives to act in the best interests of the municipality. Think for a moment about the message you will be sending to the public by approving this License to Occupy. In so many words you will be saying: "Go ahead and do what you like, even illegally occupy public land. Have no fear of any negative consequences. If one day you need a license, fine, we will not only approve one, we'll give you a huge discount!!!"

In closing, Council should reject the proposed License to Occupy the street end adjacent to 4793 Strathcona Road (forcing the applicant to remove the garage or pay a fair rate for a license). As a follow-up, Council should instruct staff to either a) have all future Licenses to Occupy comply with District policy of 7.5% pro-rata of the assessed land

value, or b) defer this item until Council has had the opportunity to review this policy.

Brian Platts