Subject: [Fwd: APC Review]

Date: Wed, 09 Jan 2002 11:41:51 -0800 **From:** Brian Platts brian_platts@telus.net

To: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

Subject: RE: APC Review

Date: Tue. 8 Jan 2002 23:38:50 -0800

From: Ernie Crist < CristE@district.north-van.bc.ca>

To: Ernie Crist < CristE@district.north-van.bc.ca>,

"'alannixon@realtorlink.org'" <alannixon@realtorlink.org>, dbell@dnv.org, ecrist@dnv.org, bdenault@dnv.org, hdunsford@dnv.org, jharris@dnv.org, macdunn@dnv.org, lmuri@dnv.org

CC: "FONVCA (E-mail)" <fonvca@fonvca.org>

Dear Alan:

It was indeed the ADP rather than the APC - my apologies.

Ernie.

----Original Message----

From: Ernie Crist [mailto:CristE@district.north-van.bc.ca]

Sent: Tuesday, January 08, 2002 9:41 PM

To: 'alannixon@realtorlink.org'; dbell@dnv.org; ecrist@dnv.org;

bdenault@dnv.org; hdunsford@dnv.org; jharris@dnv.org; macdunn@dnv.org;

lmuri@dnv.org

Cc: FONVCA (E-mail) Subject: RE: APC Review

Dear Mr. Nixon:

For all the reason you have mentioned in your submission at the Monday Jan 7-2001 Council meeting, previously submitted to Council in a letter, to exclude the APC from a review was a serious mistake.

I believe it was fundamentally wrong to bring this item forward without the previous report pertaining to this matter being part of the package. Albeit a decision on the fate of the other 5 committees was deferred, I believe it was wrong to deal with these 6 committees in isolation of all the other Council committees. Thirdly, I believe that to make a decision of this magnitude without public input was yet another mistake. And finally, though you may feel vindicated by Council's decision re the APC, you too must find it curious that on one hand, the matter of the 5 committees was deferred because ONE Councilor was absent while on the other hand, a decision re the APC was made notwithstanding that this ONE Councilor was absent.

Clearly, we are dealing here with a degree of inconsistency that should be of concern to any and all residents in the District. To put it bluntly Mr. Nixon, notwithstanding "politics", it is my opinion that such a poor performance would be unthinkable in any other jurisdiction.

1/10/02 12:01 AM

While I have some serious differences with some of the points you have made in your submission I believe that they would have constituted a good basis for further debate. As it is, I do not believe that simply agreeing that the APC should continue apart from discussing all the other committees will address any of the concerns you have raised. On the contrary I believe that the points you have raised in your submission have now become diluted if not suspect. This in no way reflects on the integrity of your committee or yourself. It does however show that when it comes to the APC, it was expediency rather than principle debate which was applied by Council and no satisfaction will come of it in the long run.

Sincerely

Ernie Crist

----Original Message----

From: alannixon@realtorlink.org [mailto:alannixon@realtorlink.org]

Sent: Saturday, January 05, 2002 11:41 AM

To: dbell@dnv.org; ecrist@dnv.org; bdenault@dnv.org; hdunsford@dnv.org;

jharris@dnv.org; macdunn@dnv.org; lmuri@dnv.org

Subject: APC Review

2 of 2