Subject: [Fwd: Fiscal Principles and Civic Governance]

Date: Thu, 09 May 2002 18:56:52 -0700 **From:** Brian Platts shift: brian_platts@telus.net

To: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

Subject: RE: Fiscal Principles and Civic Governance

Date: Thu, 9 May 2002 15:37:48 -0700

From: "Douglas Mackay-Dunn" <macdunn@uniserve.com>

To: "FONVCA \((E-mail\))" < fonvca@fonvca.org>

CC: "Mayor and Council" < Council@district.north-van.bc.ca>

For the record: I have been misquoted.

What I did say was that the Municipality is not a business. However there are several things that we do which should be done in a business like way. In addition, both the Management Control Audit and the call for an independent audit of our surpluses and reserves were my initiatives...because they both made sense from a business perspective and were the right thing to do.

Doug MacKay-Dunn

```
> ----Original Message-----
> From: Ernie Crist [mailto:CristE@dnv.org]
> Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2002 2:32 PM
> To: FONVCA (E-mail)
> Cc: Mayor and Council - DNV
> Subject: Fiscal Principles and Civic Governance
>
>
> A MESSAGE FROM ERNIE CRIST:
> May 9, 2002
>
> The District Council debate on the 2002 budget during last
> Monday's Council
> meeting revealed that some Concerned Citizen (CCA) endorsed Councillors
> still do not understand even the simplest fiscal and civic governance
> principles.
>
> During the debate on the Heritage Fund, one Councillor revealed that, in
> her opinion, there is no difference between using the interest
> generated by
> the Heritage Fund to pay for capital projects and using the principle of
> the Fund itself, which is what the CCA endorsed Council is doing. I
> sincerely hope that there was noone present who understands basic
> economics
> for if there were, they must have left in utter astonishment.
> On the other hand, such ignorance of basic economic factors explains why
> the District Heritage Fund has been mismanaged to the point of oblivion
```

1 of 3 5/9/02 8:34 PM

- > while in the City of North Vancouver, theirs is still intact. When the
- > Heritage Fund was set up in 1986 it was to contribute between 12 and 15
- > million dollars annually in generated interest after the year
- > 2000. It also
- > explains why City Councillors pour cold water on the idea of amalgamating
- > with the District. Not knowing the difference between the
- > principle and the
- > interest generated by such a principle was one such astonishing
- > revelation
- > last Monday, the other was when another CCA endorsed Councillor with
- > Mayoralty ambitions told the world that running a municipality
- > was not like
- > running a business because running a business is done to make a profit
- > while a municipality is run to provide services.
- > Truly an amazing statement by someone with aspirations to be
- > Mayor? The
- > CCA endorsed Councillor, despite numerous books, including the famous
- > "Re-Inventing Government" by Osborne and Gabler, and despite countless
- > reports, letters to the editor, lectures at conventions paid for by the
- > taxpayers etc, clearly still does not understand that although managing a
- > municipality is indeed not done to make a profit but to provide services,
- > it must still be run along the same fundamental business principles, which
- > is to say it must be run in the most efficient and economical manner.
- >

>

- > This is what the KPMG Report, which cost the taxpayers tens of
- > thousands of
- > dollars, stated. It is also what the VAT report said must be done
- > and it is
- > also what I attempted to introduce a thousand times but to no avail. It is
- > subsequently no wonder why the District Manager recently informed the
- > Council that, despite criticism at the apparent lack of benchmarks in the
- > past, a comprehensive policy measuring the efficiency of the
- > District still
- > does not appear to be in place. He indicated that a report will
- > be coming to
- > Council soon. It is little wonder, therefore, that despite the
- > highest taxes
- > in the region, not only has the District Heritage Fund all but
- > disappeared
- > but the infrastructure reserve funds as well. But when people in
- > charge of
- > the District don't know that in both a business run for profit and a
- > business run to provide services the principles are the same, it
- > is little
- > wonder that the City of North Vancouver does not want to discuss
- > amalgamation. As one City Councillor said "we may have problems but you
- > have a disaster".
- >
- >

3 of 3