Subject: [Fwd:] Date: Thu, 09 May 2002 18:58:14 -0700 From: Brian Platts <brian_platts@telus.net> To: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

Subject: RE:

Date: Thu, 9 May 2002 15:54:26 -0700

From: "Douglas Mackay-Dunn" <macdunn@uniserve.com> To: "FONVCA \(E-mail\)" <fonvca@fonvca.org>

CC: "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@dnv.org>, "Directors Team" <managecomm@dnv.org>

To Correct the Record:

1. I did not move to defer the motion in question. What did do was to simply ensure that the motion to secure the further services of Mr. Hughes to deal with some outstanding issues, was debated...we were running out of time. It should be noted, and the video tape of the meeting will agree, that Mr. Hughes will be looking at my call for a "Building Improvement Fee"(B.I.F.) for each of our recreational facilities. Each of these targeted funds would be used exclusively for the repair and maintenance of the specific facility. I believe that B.I.F's would go a long way to help reduce the pressure on the tax levy.

2. I had applied for the position of Recreation Commission well in advance of the meeting to determine committee appointments.

Doug MacKay-Dunn

> -----Original Message-----> From: Ernie Crist [mailto:CristE@dnv.org] > Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2002 10:28 PM > To: FONVCA (E-mail) > Cc: Mayor and Council - DNV; Directors Team > Subject: > >> A MESSAGE FROM ERNIE CRIST: > > > The CCA endorsed District Council is using every trick in the > book to bury > the truth about subsidizing the City of North Vancouver via the North > Vancouver Recreation Commission. >> The latest attempt was on Monday, May 6 when the Council Agenda was again > manipulated yet again to prevent debate on a motion I had > submitted 3 weeks > earlier trying to deal with this issue. >

> Even though 3 out of every 4 major Rec facilities in North Vancouver are

[Fwd:]

- > located in the District, the City is not contributing a single
- > penny to the
- > capital maintenance of these facilities. The same applies to playing
- > fields. Even-though there is absolutely no shortage of playing fields in
- > the District, the District continues to build playing fields
- > because there
- > is a shortage of fields in the City. The Rec Commission treats
- > both the City
- > and the District as one with the result that there is a shortage of fields
- > in both jurisdictions.

>

- > The North Vancouver Rec Commission is working hard to prevent this issue
- > from being aired and it is done with the help of the CCA
- > endorsed District
- > Council. The two reports commissioned to deal with this issue cost the
- > taxpayers close to \$ 100,000 but failed to address the matter. The
- > information to the consultants was supplied by the Commission. During the
- > same time, the Commission raised fees by 35 % inside one year

> while fees

> for Seniors were raised by 54 %. Both CCA endorsed Councillors

> representing

> Council on the Commission including the one with Mayoralty ambitions voted

> in favor of these increases.

>

- > Having failed to stop questions from being asked nonetheless however, the
- > Commission has now ordered yet another report. You can rest assured
- > however, that the matter of the subsidy will not be dealt with head-on.
- > The District Council did not establish specific terms of reference, as
- > result the report will be just another whitewash at the expense
- > of District
- > taxpayers.

>

> It was with this in mind that I had submitted a motion. But just

> as the item

- > was coming up on the agenda, one of the CCA endorsed District Councillors
- > with mayoralty ambitions, moved that the motion submitted by Councilor
- > Crist be deferred. This is the same CCA endorsed Councillor who,

> in the last

> minute, put his own name forward to be Council's rep to the Rec

> Commission

- > last December. He made sure that the status quo of subsidizing the City
- > would be maintained.

>

- >
- >
- >
- >
- >