Subject: FW: Letter to the Editor

Date: Thu, 7 Feb 2002 15:19:05 -0800 From: Ernie Crist <CristE@district.north-van.bc.ca> To: "FONVCA (E-mail)" <fonvca@fonvca.org>

> The Editor;

> February 7, 2001

>

> Our District Mayor Don Bell is in a denial mode but facts are stubborn
> things as the philosopher said. See News story "District finances
> criticized". So let us set the record straight. To begin with the
> District not only has the highest taxes on the North Shore but are you
> ready for this? It has the highest in the region. How is that for
> openers? But that is only half the story and it is the one that has not
> been reported as yet.
> But there is more - in addition to having the highest taxes, the District

But there is more - in addition to having the highest taxes, the District
 continues to supplement its budget by raiding not only its infrastructure
 reserve funds, which are virtually gone, but also the Heritage Fund which
 is also virtually gone.

>

Members of the public who have taken the trouble to dig beyond bafflegab
and just plain misinformation have discovered that there is indeed
something wrong with the District. One Council watcher, presenting a 7
page detailed analysis of NVD's 2002 - 2006 "Draft Financial Plan", said
that traditionally the District has sold land to supplement its income but
now that the Heritage Fund is almost gone, the District is contemplating
selling more land in to keep on feeding the habit. Not so, said the
Mayor. On the contrary, he said, the Heritage Fund is growing. Now this
is what I call positive thinking but it is also truly curious for more
is coming out of the Fund then is going into the Fund.

>

So what are the facts? The best way to get at the truth and nothing but
the whole truth is by turning the whole question around. How much would
the District have in its Heritage bank today had it done what it set out
to do when the Fund was established in 1986. The answer is \$ 500 million
dollars. That includes all the money from land sales, all the money from
land leases and all the interest generated by these funds. And, believe it
or not, this is a conservative figure. And what does the District have in
its Heritage Fund today? A total of \$ 20 million and that includes the

>

> Those are the facts and even a river of PR pep talk will not change

> them. And that is not counting the reserve Funds which have also been

> used up. A propos Reserve Funds - First we were told we must build them up

> because the infrastructure needs to be replaced and then we were told

> there is too much in the Fund and it is safe to reduce the amount only to

> be told one year later that we now must think seriously about the

> deteriorating infrastructure and how are we going to pay for it?

> Solution? the Heritage Fund - ergo sell more land to go into the Fund

> only to be taken out of it again soon thereafter.

>> So what has been done with all the money that has gone into the Heritage > Fund for the last 16 years. It has been used as a slush fund pure and > simple. Easy come Easy go - ergo no more Heritage Fund or at least not > very much. We could not even pay for the Parkgate facility out of the > Heritage Fund and had to go to referendum instead, remember. Even if we > subtract the amount of money that was used for genuine capital projects, > the Heritage Fund would still be in the neighborhood of \$ 200 million. > The original goal was \$150 million I leave it up to the District taxpayers > to figure out how much money such an amount would generate in interest > annually. But, sorry folks, it's gone. The gentlemen who were telling > District Council that more scars on the mountainside are coming were > right. Brace yourself folks unless, of course, you make some changesl. > > > Ernie Crist >>>> >

 Type:
 application/ms-tnef

 Encoding:
 base64