Subject: [Fwd: Fw: Notice of Motion - Softwood Lumber - Report Councillor Crist.] Date: Wed, 27 Mar 2002 16:15:07 -0800 From: Brian Platts <brian_platts@telus.net> To: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

Subject: Fw: Notice of Motion - Softwood Lumber - Report Councillor Crist. Date: Wed, 27 Mar 2002 11:30:50 -0800

From: "Anita Montgomery" <anitamontgomery@hotmail.com>

To: <pat.munroe@shaw.ca>

CC: <Valdes@dnv.org>, <managecomm@district.north-van.bc.ca>, <Council@district.north-van.bc.ca>, <fonvca@fonvca.org>, <CristE@dnv.org>, "David Ostrem" <david.ostrem@attglobal.net>, "Don McGregor" <don.mcgregor@attglobal.net>, "Eric Boycott" <eric.boycott@attglobal.net>, <ted.ishida@shaw.ca>, "Yuichirou Shinohara" <yuichirou_s@hotmail.com>

----- Original Message -----

From: Anita Montgomery <anitamontgomery@hotmail.com> To: Eric Andersen <eric@seatrade.ca> Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2002 11:13 AM Subject: Re: Notice of Motion - Softwood Lumber - Report Councillor Crist.

> Mar 25

>

> Dear Pat

>

> I saw your comments about the lumber dispute and I think this issue is more

> complex than it appears on the surface.

>

> Just to let you know that our company Vanport Canada and another Cowichan

> lumber (we are in the district they're in the city) manufacture lumber with

 $> \log that$

> logs that are purchased 100% from the open market similar to the system in
> the U.S. and we are still subject to this duty.

>

> Also, let's not forget the last time this issue was taken to the WTO, Canada

> won it's case against the allegations of unfair subsidies. Our logging and

> stumpage system has not changed from the last ruling of the WTO, so what

> does that tell you.

>

> The bottom line is that this Trade Protectionism pure and simple and the > cost will be borne by the Canadian industry and the US consumer.

>

> regards

>

> Anita Montgomery

>

- > ----- Original Message -----
- > From: Eric Andersen <eric@seatrade.ca>

> To: Anita Louise Montgomery <anitamontgomery@hotmail.com>

> Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2002 9:06 AM > Subject: Fw: Notice of Motion - Softwood Lumber - Report Councillor Crist. > >> For what it's worth >>>> ----- Original Message ----->> From: "Ernie Crist" <CristE@dnv.org> >> To: "FONVCA (E-mail)" <fonvca@fonvca.org> >> Cc: "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@district.north-van.bc.ca>; > "Directors >> Team" <managecomm@district.north-van.bc.ca> >> Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2002 10:44 PM >> Subject: FW: Notice of Motion - Softwood Lumber - Report Councillor Crist. >>> > >>> A MESSAGE FROM ERNIE CRIST: >>>>>> I received a request from a District resident to bring the Softwood > Lumber >> issue to Council's attention. The request was coupled with an appeal to >>> support the concerns of all those affected by this controversial issue. >> This>>> includes residents and businesses in our community. >>> >>> Subsequently, I drew up and submitted a resolution for Council's >>> consideration to be dealt with during last night's Council meeting. >>>>> As there was no seconder to add the motion to the agenda last Monday, it >>> will come before Council on April 2. If the motion is seconded I will be >>> able to introduce and explain it including why this controversial issue > is >>> of local concern. It will be an opportunity to also dispel some of the >>> notions about the role of local government where today to " think > globally >>> and act locally" is widely accepted as a prerequisite in providing >> effective >>> leadership. >>>>>> This means that to lead in a world where the center of gravity has > shifted >>> from senior levels of government to civic government, it is crucial to >> also>>> debate such issues at the civic level. Every challenge from crime to >> culture > >> and from employment to housing, and from environment to transportation >>> occurs in the streets, homes and workplaces of our cities. Gone are

the >> days>>> where 90 % of Canada's population was living in rural enclaves with > only>> > 10% living in cities. >>>>>> Today it is the cities with their huge population concentrations where > all>>> the staggering problems of a modern society have to be addressed. Indeed >>> this message is constantly driven home to the delegates attending >> Federation>>> of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) and or (UBCM) Union of British Columbia >>> Municipalities Conventions also attended by members of both Federal and >>> Provincial governments. In summary this issue is of importance first and >>> foremost to the local communities in this province where the effects of >> the>>> global economy are felt directly and instantly. >>>>>> Ernie Crist >>>>>>>>>>>> -----Original Message----->>> From: Pat Munroe [mailto:pat.munroe@shaw.ca] >>> Sent: Monday, March 25, 2002 6:04 PM >>> To: Ernie Crist >>> Subject: Re: Notice of Motion - Softwood Lumber - Report Councillor >>> Crist.>>>>>>>>> Ernie, >>>>>> The issue of pricing for softwood lumber should be clarified. The USA > > softwood industry is saying that the Canadian producers are getting an >>> unfair price advantage by having their prices reduced by a government >>> subsidy. >>>>>> This price subsidy, which the USA industry alleges, makes the price of > the >>> Canadian product unfairly low and contrary to the agreement between the > > two>>> countries.>>>>>> The reason that there is the allegation of a government subsidy is that >> the >>> system of ownership of trees for logging in BC & Canada differs from

> that >>in>>> the USA. >>>>>> In the USA the logging rights are private and are sold on the open > market, >>> whereas, in BC & some parts of Canada the trees are on government owned >>> lands and the rights are granted by the government to logging companies. >>> These rights are held through a tenure system which is part of our >> colonial>>> heritage. >>>>>> The system in the USA means that all companies can bid on the logging >>> rights. In Canada there is not the same competitive approach and the > price>> paid to the government for the logging rights is up to +/-30% lower than >>in>>> the USA. >>>>>> It is because of this that the USA wants a +/- 30% tariff on imported >>> Canadian softwood. That is, to put it on a level price playing field. >>>>>> The tariff monies are then paid to the USA logging companies. >>>>>> In this way, international companies like Weyerhaeuser can collect money >> in >>> the USA for softwood which they and other companies operating in Canada > > are>>> charged in tariffs. So they do not really lose. In fact they can have а >>> gain.>>>>>> Their gain can come because smaller non-international Canadian logging >> companies exporting to the USA cannot compete. With the +/-30% added to >>> their export price they are unlikely to have buyers in the USA and >>> therefore, must lower their prices. Since their costs won't allow it > they >>> are>>> threatened with going out of business. >>>> >> Companies like Weyerhaeuser can then buy them out at distress prices. > >>>> The problem is that the Canadian costs apparently are too high. That is >>to>>> say, they can only be competitive if there is no tariff. The tariff > would

>>> not be there if the logging companies paid a higher price to the >> government >> for the logging rights. >>>>>> Why are our costs so much higher than the USA? That is what we should be >>> looking at. >>>>> But, more importantly, why are our governments not collecting more from >> the>>> logging companies for the logging rights. >>>>>> If the cost of logging rights in BC were higher, the revenues would be >>here>>> to give support to health care and other essential services. >>>>>> The difference in what we are getting through the government >>> royalties/stumpage fees and what we need to get for a level playing > field, >>> is being charged as a tariff and going to the USA and Canadian/USA > logging>>> companies. >>>>>>>>> If all this is so, our governments should be collecting increasing >>> royalties/stumpage fees in the same amount as the tariffs? >>>>>> By doing this there would not be any tariff, the companies would have to >>> operate in a more cost-effective manner, and the additional monies paid > *to* >>> our government could be used for our much depleted health and social >>> programs. >>>>> Pat.>>>>>> ----- Original Message ----->>> From: "Ernie Crist" <CristE@dnv.org> >>> To: "Nathalie Valdes" <Valdes@dnv.org> >>> Cc: "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@district.north-van.bc.ca>; >> "Directors >>> Team" <managecomm@district.north-van.bc.ca> >>> Sent: Monday, March 25, 2002 12:04 AM > >> Subject: Notice of Motion - Softwood Lumber - Report Councillor Crist. >>> >>>>>>>Notice of Motion >>>>>>> Recommendations: >>>>>>>> That in response to a request by a District Citizen, the Council

of >> the>>>> District of North Vancouver make a strong statement in connection > with >>> the>>>> Softwood Lumber issue which should include the following >>>>>>>>1) a strong statement of support for the Canadian negotiating team, > > our>>>> industry and the affected citizens and their communities with copies > *to* >> go>>>> to the Federal Government, and the Provincial government >>>>>>>>2) An appeal to all BC Municipalities to support this initiative by > the >>>> District of North Vancouver as spelled out in 1 mentioned above with >>> copies>>> to be sent to the Governor of the state of Washington and Oregon >> including >>>a>>> statement that the recent US decision re the Softwood Lumber issue İS >> of>>> great concern to all British Columbians and has a direct economic > impact >>>on>>>> their BC municipalities and may have long term damaging effects on the >>> well>>> being of all concerned including US communities benefiting from the >> export>>> of American products to British Columbia. >>>>>>> Rationale >>>>>>>> In a recent letter a District resident appealed to the Council of the >>>> District of North Vancouver to show support for the Canadian >> negotiating >>>> team, our industry and the affected citizens and their communities in >>>> British Columbia. >>>>>>>> Mr. Wayne Hunter of 3658 Garibaldi Drive North Vancouver has > suggested >>>> that, in his opinion, the steps taken by the US authorities are not >>> > justified, that they are counterproductive, that they will create >>> hardships>>> not only amongst Canadian but also; affected American citizens and > their

>>> communities. >>>>>>> Mr. Hunter in his letter has pointed out that, this is a question > *that* >>> affects each and every community and is first and foremost a question >> of>>> principle which should be fought for. >>>>>>> cc North Vancouver Chamber of Commerce >>>>>>>> > >> > > >