

Subject: [Fwd: In-Camera Discussuion]

Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2002 14:11:44 -0800

From: Brian Platts <brian_platts@telus.net>

To: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

Subject: In-Camera Discussuion

Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2002 13:01:44 -0800

From: "Dave Sadler" <davesadler@telus.net>

To: "Agnes Hilsen" <ahilsen@district.north-van.bc.ca>

CC: "northshore news" <editor@nsnews.com>, "Outlook" <newsroom@northshoreoutlook.com>, "FONVCA" <fonvca@fonvca.org>, "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@district.north-van.bc.ca>

Dear Agnes Hilsen:

There has been ongoing public concern regarding what is discussed in-camera instead of appearing as a regular Council agenda item. Last night Council addressed the awarding of sports grants to two individuals in-camera. I fail to understand how this topic falls under the criteria of in-camera discussions as outlined in the Local Government Act.

It was mentioned that the applicants had previously been approved grants by the City of North Vancouver. On checking with Mr.Hawkshaw, he assured me that this item was dealt with during the City's regular Council meeting and not in-camera.

If the City did not deal with this item in-camera, why did the District?

I believe the District is continuing to abuse the spirit & intent of the Local Government Act in discussing matters in-camera which should be open to the public. The District has received numerous complaints on this matter, yet have failed to correct this problem. **Therefore I request the issue of what is discussed in-camera be brought before Council as an agenda item, so that it may be thoroughly vetted before the public.**

Yours truly, Dave Sadler