```
Subject: FW: "Blood on His Hands", John Pilger, ITV.com
  Date: Sun, 2 Feb 2003 20:27:07 -0800
  From: "Ernie Crist" <ernie_crist@dnv.org>
    To: "FONVCA (E-mail)" <fonvca@fonvca.org>
>>> > IBlood On His Hands'
>>> By John Pilger ITV.com
> > > 1-30-3
> > > >
>>> William Russell, the great correspondent who reported the carnage of
>>> imperial wars, may have first used the expression "blood on his
hands"
> > to
>>> describe impeccable politicians who, at a safe distance, order the
> mass
>>> killing of ordinary people.
> > > >
>>> In my experience "on his hands" applies especially to those modern
>>> leaders who have had no personal experience of war, like George W
> Bush,
> > > who
>>> managed not to serve in Vietnam, and the effete Tony Blair.
>>> There is about them the essential cowardice of the man who causes
> death
> > > and
>>> suffering not by his own hand but through a chain of command that
> > affirms
>>> his "authority".
>>> In 1946 the judges at Nuremberg who tried the Nazi leaders for war
>>> left no doubt about what they regarded as the gravest crimes against
> > > humanity.
> > > >
>>> The most serious was unprovoked invasion of a sovereign state that
> > offered
>>> no threat to one's homeland. Then there was the murder of civilians,
> for
>>> which responsibility rested with the "highest authority".
> > > >
>>> Blair is about to commit both these crimes, for which he is being
> denied
>>> even the flimsiest United Nations cover now that the weapons
> inspectors
> > > have
>>> found, as one put it, "zilch".
>>> Like those in the dock at Nuremberg, he has no democratic cover.
>>> Using the archaic "royal prerogative" he did not consult parliament
or
> > the
>>> people when he dispatched 35,000 troops and ships and aircraft to
the
> > > Gulf;
>>> he consulted a foreign power, the Washington regime.
>>> Unelected in 2000, the Washington regime of George W Bush is now
>>> totalitarian, captured by a clique whose fanaticism and ambitions of
>>> "endless war" and "full spectrum dominance" are a matter of record.
>>> All the world knows their names: Bush, Rumsfeld, Rice, Wolfowitz,
```

2/2/03 10:11 PM

```
> Cheney
> > > and
>>> Perle, and Powell, the false liberal. Bush's State of the Union
speech
> > > last
>>> night was reminiscent of that other great moment in 1938 when Hitler
> > > called
>>> his generals together and told them: "I must have war." He then had
> it.
> > > >
>>> > To call Blair a mere "poodle" is to allow him distance from the
> killing
> > of
>>> innocent Iraqi men, women and children for which he will share
>>> responsibility.
>>> He is the embodiment of the most dangerous appearement humanity has
> > known
>>> since the 1930s. The current American elite is the Third Reich of
our
> > > times,
>> > although this distinction ought not to let us forget that they have
> > merely
>>> accelerated more than half a century of unrelenting American state
>>> terrorism: from the atomic bombs dropped cynically on Japan as a
> signal
> > of
>>> their new power to the dozens of countries invaded, directly or by
> > proxy,
> > > to
>>> destroy democracy wherever it collided with American "interests",
such
> > as
> > > a
>> > voracious appetite for the world's resources, like oil.
> > > >
>>> When you next hear Blair or Straw or Bush talk about "bringing
> democracy
> > > to
>>> the people of Iraq", remember that it was the CIA that installed the
> > > Ba'ath
>> > Party in Baghdad from which emerged Saddam Hussein.
>>> "That was my favourite coup," said the CIA man responsible. When you
>>> hear Blair and Bush talking about a "smoking gun" in Iraq, ask why
the
> > US
>>> government last December confiscated the 12,000 pages of Iraq's
> weapons
>> > declaration, saying they contained "sensitive information" which
> needed
> > "a
>>> little editing".
> > > >
>>> Sensitive indeed. The original Iraqi documents listed 150 American,
> > > British
>>> and other foreign companies that supplied Iraq with its nuclear,
>>> and missile technology, many of them in illegal transactions. In
2000
> > > Peter
>>> Hain, then a Foreign Office Minister, blocked a parliamentary
request
> to
```

```
>>> publish the full list of lawbreaking British companies. He has never
>>> explained why.
>>> As a reporter of many wars I am constantly aware that words on the
> page
> > > like
>>> these can seem almost abstract, part of a great chess game
unconnected
> > to
>>> people's lives.
> > > >
>> > The most vivid images I carry make that connection. They are the end
> > > result
>>> of orders given far away by the likes of Bush and Blair, who never
> see,
> > or
>> > would have the courage to see, the effect of their actions on
ordinary
>>> lives: the blood on their hands.
> > > >
>>> Let me give a couple of examples. Waves of B52 bombers will be used
in
> > the
>> > attack on Iraq. In Vietnam, where more than a million people were
> killed
> > > in
>>> the American invasion of the 1960s, I once watched three ladders of
> > bombs
>>> curve in the sky, falling from B52s flying in formation, unseen
above
> > the
> > > > clouds.
>>> They dropped about 70 tons of explosives that day in what was known
as
> > the
>>> "long box" pattern, the military term for carpet bombing. Everything
> > > inside
>>> a "box" was presumed destroyed.
> > > >
>>> When I reached a village within the "box", the street had been
> replaced
> > by
> > > a
> > > > crater.
> > > >
>>> I slipped on the severed shank of a buffalo and fell hard into a
ditch
>>> filled with pieces of limbs and the intact bodies of children thrown
> > into
>>> the air by the blast.
> > > >
>>> The children's skin had folded back, like parchment, revealing veins
> and
>>> burnt flesh that seeped blood, while the eyes, intact, stared
straight
>>> ahead. A small leg had been so contorted by the blast that the foot
> > seemed
>>> to be growing from a shoulder. I vomited.
>>> I am being purposely graphic. This is what I saw, and often; yet
even
> in
>>> that "media war" I never saw images of these grotesque sights on
> > > television
```

```
>>> or in the pages of a newspaper.
>>> I saw them only pinned on the wall of news agency offices in Saigon
as
>>> kind of freaks' gallery.
> > > >
>>> SOME years later I often came upon terribly deformed Vietnamese
> children
> > > in
>> > villages where American aircraft had sprayed a herbicide called
Agent
> > > Orange.
> > > >
>>> It was banned in the United States, not surprisingly for it
contained
>>> Dioxin, the deadliest known poison.
>>> This terrible chemical weapon, which the cliche-mongers would now
call
> a
>>> weapon of mass destruction, was dumped on almost half of South
> Vietnam.
> > > >
>> > Today, as the poison continues to move through water and soil and
> > > children continue to be born without palates and chins and scrotums
or
> > are
>>> stillborn. Many have leukaemia.
>> > You never saw these children on the TV news then; they were too
> hideous
>>> their pictures, the evidence of a great crime, even to be pinned up
on
>>> wall and they are old news now.
> > > >
>>> That is the true face of war. Will you be shown it by satellite when
> > Iraq
> > > is
>>> attacked? I doubt it.
>>> I was starkly reminded of the children of Vietnam when I travelled
in
> > Iraq
>>> two years ago. A paediatrician showed me hospital wards of children
>>> similarly deformed: a phenomenon unheard of prior to the Gulf war in
> > > >
>>> She kept a photo album of those who had died, their smiles undimmed
on
> > > grey
>>> little faces. Now and then she would turn away and wipe her eyes.
> > > >
>>> More than 300 tons of depleted uranium, another weapon of mass
> > > destruction,
>>> were fired by American aircraft and tanks and possibly by the
British.
> > > >
>> > Many of the rounds were solid uranium which, inhaled or ingested,
>>> cancer. In a country where dust carries everything, swirling through
> > > markets
```

```
>> > and playgrounds, children are especially vulnerable.
>> > For 12 years Iraq has been denied specialist equipment that would
> allow
> > > its
>>> engineers to decontaminate its southern battlefields.
> > > >
>>> It has also been denied equipment and drugs that would identify and
> > treat
>>> the cancer which, it is estimated, will affect almost half the
> > population
> > > in
> > > > the south.
> > > >
>>> LAST November Jeremy Corbyn MP asked the Junior Defence Minister
Adam
> > Ingram
>>> what stocks of weapons containing depleted uranium were held by
> British
>>> forces operating in Iraq.
> > > >
>>> His robotic reply was: "I am withholding details in accordance with
>>> Exemption 1 of the Code of Practice on Access to Government
> > Information."
> > > >
>>> Let us be clear about what the Bush-Blair attack will do to our
fellow
> > > human
>>> beings in a country already stricken by an embargo run by America
and
>>> Britain and aimed not at Saddam Hussein but at the civilian
> population,
> > > who
>>> are denied even vaccines for the children. Last week the Pentagon in
>>> Washington announced matter of factly that it intended to shatter
Iraq
>>> "physically, emotionally and psychologically" by raining down on its
> > people
>>> 800 cruise missiles in two days.
> > > >
>> > This will be more than twice the number of missiles launched during
> the
>>> entire 40 days of the 1991 Gulf War.
> > > >
>>> A military strategist named Harlan Ullman told American television:
> > "There
>>> will not be a safe place in Baghdad. The sheer size of this has
never
> > been
>>> seen before, never been contemplated before."
>>> The strategy is known as Shock and Awe and Ullman is apparently its
> > proud
>>> inventor. He said: "You have this simultaneous effect, rather like
the
>>> nuclear weapons at Hiroshima, not taking days or weeks but minutes."
> > > >
>>> What will his "Hiroshima effect" actually do to a population of whom
> > > almost
>>> half are children under the age of 14?
>>> The answer is to be found in a "confidential" UN document, based on
>>> Health Organisation estimates, which says that "as many as 500,000
> > people
```

```
>>> could require treatment as a result of direct and indirect
injuries".
> > > >
>>> A Bush-Blair attack will destroy "a functioning primary health care
> > system"
>>> and deny clean water to 39 per cent of the population. There is
> "likely
> > > [to
>> > be] an outbreak of diseases in epidemic if not pandemic
proportions".
> > > >
>>> It is Washington's utter disregard for humanity, I believe, together
> > with
>>> Blair's lies that have turned most people in this country against
> them,
>> > including people who have not protested before.
>>> Last weekend Blair said there was no need for the UN weapons
> inspectors
> > to
>>> find a "smoking gun" for Iraq to be attacked.
> > > >
>>> Compare that with his reassurance in October 2001 that there would
be
> no
>> > "wider war" against Iraq unless there was "absolute evidence" of
Iraqi
>> > complicity in September 11. And there has been no evidence.
> > > >
>>> Blair's deceptions are too numerous to list here. He has lied about
> the
>>> nature and effect of the embargo on Iraq by covering up the fact
that
>>> Washington, with Britain's support, is withholding more than
$5billion
> > > worth
>>> of humanitarian supplies approved by the Security Council.
>>> He has lied about Iraq buying aluminium tubes, which he told
> Parliament
> > > were
>>> "needed to enrich uranium". The International Atomic Energy Agency
has
>>> denied this outright.
> > > >
>>> He has lied about an Iraqi "threat", which he discovered only
> following
>>> September 11 2001 when Bush made Iraq a gratuitous target of his
"war
> on
>>> terror". Blair's "Iraq dossier" has been mocked by human rights
> groups.
> > > >
>>> However, what is wonderful is that across the world the sheer force
οf
>>> public opinion isolates Bush and Blair and their lemming, John
Howard
> in
> > > Australia.
> > > >
>>> So few people believe them and support them that The Guardian this
> week
> > > went
>>> in search of the few who do - "the hawks". The paper published a
list
> of
```

```
>>> celebrity warmongers, some apparently shy at describing their
> contortion
> > > of
>>> intellect and morality. It is a small list.
> > > >
>>> IN CONTRAST the majority of people in the West, including the United
> > > States,
>>> are now against this gruesome adventure and the numbers grow every
> day.
> > > >
>> > It is time MPs joined their constituents and reclaimed the true
> > authority
> > > of
>>> parliament. MPs like Tam Dalyell, Alice Mahon, Jeremy Corbyn and
>> > Galloway have stood alone for too long on this issue and there have
> been
> > > too
>> > many sham debates manipulated by Downing Street.
> > > >
>>> If, as Galloway says, a majority of Labour backbenchers are against
an
>>> attack, let them speak up now.
> > > >
>> > Blair's figleaf of a "coalition" is very important to Bush and only
> the
>> > moral power of the British people can bring the troops home without
> them
>>> firing a shot.
>>> The consequences of not speaking out go well beyond an attack on Ira
q.
>>> Washington will effectively take over the Middle East, ensuring an
age
> > of
>>> terrorism other than their own.
> > > >
>>> The next American attack is likely to be Iran - the Israelis want
> this -
> > > and
>>> their aircraft are already in place in Turkey. Then it may be
China's
> > > turn.
> > > >
>>> "Endless war" is Vice-President Cheney's contribution to our
> > > understanding.
>>> Bush has said he will use nuclear weapons "if necessary". On March
> > last
>> > Geoffrey Hoon said that other countries "can be absolutely confident
> > that
> > > in
>>> the right conditions we would be willing to use our nuclear
weapons".
> > > >
>>> Such madness is the true enemy. What's more, it is right here at
home
> > and
>>> you, the British people, can stop it.
> > > >
>>> Disclaimer
> > > >
>>> Email This Article
```



Name: winmail.dat

Type: application/ms-tnef

Encoding: base64

Download Status: Not downloaded with message