

Subject: Re: Changes to Recreation Vehicle/Boat Regulations

Date: Wed, 7 Jan 2004 22:39:05 +0000 (GMT)

From: Elizabeth James <cagebc@yahoo.com>

To: Corrie Kost <corrie@kost.ca>, Brian Platts <bplatts@shaw.ca>

CC: Don Bell <don_bell@dnv.org>, Alan Nixon <alan_nixon@dnv.org>, Ernie Crist <ernie_crist@dnv.org>, Janice Harris <janice_harris@dnv.org>, Lisa Muri <lisa_muri@dnv.org>, Maureen McKeon Holmes <Maureen_McKeonHolmes@dnv.org>, Richard Walton <rwalton@dnv.org>, James Ridge <James_Ridge@dnv.org>, Irwin Torry <Irwin_Torry@dnv.org>, gordon_ferguson@dnv.org, FONVCA <fonvca@fonvca.org>

7 Jan. 2004

Dear Dr. Kost:

I am in the midst of preparing a reply to Brian Platts' email on this topic. In the interim, I thought I'd address two points in your own message....

- **"Condition fit for immediate use"**: In fact, many RV owners don't keep their vehicles ready for immediate use - at least not legal use - to the extent that they often take out a 3-month vehicle licence to cover only the period when they plan to travel - during summer or snowbird vacations, for example.
- **"Surrey"**: I think residents of Surrey have suffered enough. I've used both Surrey and Kingsway as negative examples in the past....but, listening to how that sounds when a certain West Van councillor refers disparagingly to "Surrey truck-drivers," I've decided to turn over a new leaf. I'm coming to the belief that neighbourhoods tend to become only what people expect of them, so perhaps we all can make our points without actually using the name....well, we can try anyway.

Cheers,

Liz

PS: Now, if we could only get rid of the word "elderly," I'd really be a happy camper...no pun intended!

[Yahoo! Messenger](#) - Communicate instantly... "Ping" your friends today! [Download Messenger Now](#)