Subject: RE: SVCA Financial Plan Input Date: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 23:36:01 -0800 From: "Bill Maurer"

*billm@millsoft.ca>

To: "'Ernie Crist'" <ernie_crist@dnv.org>, "'Maureen Bragg'" <m.bragg@shaw.ca>, <systek@engineer.com>

CC: "'Elizabeth James'" <cagebc@yahoo.com>, "'FONVCA \(E-mail\)" <fonvca@fonvca.org>,

"'Cathy Adams'" <cathyadams@canada.com>, "'Eric Andersen'" <eric_g_andersen@hotmail.com>,

"'Corrie Kost" <kost@triumf.ca>, "'Allan Orr" <allandorr@shaw.ca>, "'Pat Higgs" <patroberta@telus.net>,

"'Brian Platts" <bplatts@shaw.ca>

My personal opinion is that we should be preserving the forested character of the north shore. While in an abstract sense it would be nice to see high density pockets set amongst forested greenbelts I'm not seeing much of that being planned. All the development going on in my neighbourhood is simply increasing density with no corresponding setting aside of additional greenspace. An example might be a single 99 foot riverfront property with one home on it and lots of trees that was recently subdivided into 3 x 33 foot properties with 3 homes and virtually no trees. Noort wanted to do this with another property on Riverside. The neighbours complained so they were forced to limiting it to 2 x 45' lots. That saved about 10 trees on the very outer edge of a property that probably had 40 trees on it. I think what's going on with the high density town homes being developed on Lynn Valley Rd and Mountain Hwy is appalling. Where are the greenbelts Maureen? I don't see any. Just a lot of one time money into developers and owners pockets. The developers are developing virtually every inch of these properties. Once treed areas are removed it is extremely difficult to get them back. The finished result looks like Vancouver and if there isn't any effort made to require developers to set aside more green space and leave or replace evergreens they remove then that's what we'll end up with. I see the developers using arborists to justify the removal of unsafe trees but no corresponding requirement to replant trees that are subsequently removed.

I think the focus of a community association should be it's community. Current development patterns in my community can result in only one thing. 33' lots and very few evergreens except along steep slopes and creekbeds where you can't build homes. No one should be under any illusion that development in the Lower Mainland is going to be anything but wholesale urban sprawl. I have seen how land is deloped in England. They have something called the National Trust which severely limits municipalities ability to remove land from the agricultural reserve. This results in focused development in areas designated for residential development.

I am extremely happy to see that horses have been re-introduced into the Seymour Valley. It took significant variances and lobbying by the community association to enable the new owner of the farm to rebuild virtually the same buildings that were there before. The high density developments took no variance approvals at all other than the initial subdivision applications.

I agree with Ernie. The North Shore community associations should be doing a lot more to limit and control the high density and massive in-fill development currently in full swing with virtually no coordinated planning. Let's keep the north shore looking green!

Regards,

Bill

----Original Message-----

From: Ernie Crist [mailto:ernie_crist@dnv.org] Sent: Sunday, December 07, 2003 9:25 PM To: Maureen Bragg; systek@engineer.com

Cc: Elizabeth James; Bill Maurer; FONVCA (E-mail); Cathy Adams; Eric Andersen; Corrie Kost; Allan Orr; Pat Higgs; Brian Platts

Subject: RE: SVCA Financial Plan Input

Hello Maureen:

I think you and I are talking about two separate issues.

Cheers.

Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Maureen Bragg [mailto:m.bragg@shaw.ca]

Sent: December 6, 2003 5:06 PM
To: Ernie Crist; systek@engineer.com

Cc: Elizabeth James; Bill Maurer; FONVCA (E-mail); Cathy Adams; Eric Andersen; Corrie Kost; Allan Orr; Pat Higgs; Brian

Platts

Subject: Re: SVCA Financial Plan Input

Oh dear my children have recently added three new people to the population of the North Shore. There are 28,000 thousand people in Lynn Valley, they could produce another fourteen thousand people all by themselves within the next five years. What to do!!!!!!!

---- Original Message -----

From: Ernie Crist

To: Maureen Bragg; systek@engineer.com

Cc: Elizabeth James; Bill Maurer; FONVCA (E-mail); Cathy Adams; Eric Andersen;

Corrie Kost; Allan Orr; Pat Higgs; Brian Platts Sent: Saturday, December 06, 2003 12:53 PM Subject: RE: SVCA Financial Plan Input

My response.

A MESSAGE FROM ERNIE CRIST

It is clear now that nothing that we (I) have said has sunk in. Is this why the larger the cities the greater their problems? Is this why the largest cities are constantly on the verge of bankruptcy? Is this why they can no longer cope with crime, housing, waste, transportation, services etc? Is this why an apartment in New York costs ten times as much as it does in North Vancouver? Not to speak of a house? Is this why there are tens of thousands of homeless in the large cities? Is this why people are crammed into small rooms in New York, Toronto and Montreal etc?

Development in itself brings benefits? Really? The real thinkers have given this a lot of thought and this is their verdict. Development for the sake of development brings no benefits, developers and their apologists notwithstanding - let me repeat that - development in itself does not result in lower taxes - it does not result in affordable housing - it does not, in itself, improve transportation and it does not enhance livability. Before the Pedestrian Oriented Town Center (Joke) in Lynn Valley the District was 4.5 million dollars richer. Have house prices come down? Where is the community center and where are the recreation and the cultural facilities? Where is the enhanced livability?

Before the "Lynn Valley Pedestrian Oriented Town Center" there was less pollution and there were fewer traffic jams. It was safer to cross a street including at the Lynn Valley Mall. The only time development makes sense is when it is done under controlled conditions - controlled that is by the local people, for the local people and through the local people. If, as a result of this philosophy higher density development occurs than this is OK but the decision should not be made by the developers or by the Real Estate industry as is being advocated. It should be people and community driven. Anything else leads to the concrete jungle, more health problems, higher taxes not lower taxes and higher crime etc. It will however and I agree, lead to a fatter wallet for some people.

Beware of developers and their apologists for their gifts are poisonous as is the air in New York, Los Angeles, Toronto and Chicago. Organize and fight back - organize and fight the developer agenda for it is the livability of YOUR neighborhood which is at stake.

Ernie Crist

----Original Message----

From: Maureen Bragg [mailto:m.bragg@shaw.ca]

Sent: December 5, 2003 6:43 PM **To:** systek@engineer.com; Ernie Crist

Cc: Elizabeth James; Bill Maurer; FONVCA (E-mail); Cathy Adams; Eric Andersen; Corrie Kost; Allan Orr; Pat

Higgs; Brian Platts

Subject: Re: SVCA Financial Plan Input

A smaller footprint lets in light and air and green space, Thanks Bill. Third street, lower Londsdale is a typical example of people not understanding that four storey buildings covering several blocks are worse than towers. When you are on the sidewalk you cannot see around or over or through them, they are Towers laid on their sides. They cast a permanent shadow on the sidewalk. A tower at the end of each block gives the same accommodation but allows loads of green and open space in between. In my years in real estate Bosa Bros were respected as building excellent projects. regards Maureen Bragg

---- Original Message -----

From: Bill Tracey
To: Ernie Crist

Cc: Elizabeth James; Bill Maurer; FONVCA (E-mail); Cathy Adams; Eric Andersen; Maureen Bragg; Corrie

Kost; Allan Orr; Pat Higgs; Brian Platts Sent: Friday, December 05, 2003 4:58 PM Subject: Re: SVCA Financial Plan Input

Hey Everybody,

Hold on a minute! Who said higher density is not desirable? If you have read "Better, Not Bigger" you will realize that urban sprawl (i.e. low density) is a killer. It's only with higher density that we can get affordable housing and lower taxes. Higher density means less cost for services -- sewer, water, gas, electricity, telephone, fire fighting, ambulance -- you name it. We need some higher density in the District if we're ever going to be able to afford good seniors' housing, homes for young families, and efficient public services.

Let's not knock developers as a knee-jerk reaction. Bosa

2 of 11 12/8/03 6:17 PM

knows what they are doing, and they do it well. I wish more of the other developers were as community-conscious and capable as Bosa!

Bill

Ernie Crist wrote:

Hello All:And that is just the start. Unless this community gets organized you can kiss low density good by. Bosa is here and intends to stay. Bosa has big plans, Bosa is smart and Bosa has powerful philosophical support on District Council and don't be taken in by the hypocritical bafflegab of the so called environmentalist. When I said that FONVCA has to move, I was not kidding - beware. Ernie

----Original Message-----

From: Elizabeth James [mailto:cagebc@yahoo.com]

Sent: December 4, 2003 11:34 AM To: Ernie Crist: Bill Maurer

Cc: FONVCA (E-mail); Cathy Adams; Eric Andersen; Maureen Bragg; Corrie Kost; Allan Orr;

Pat Higgs; Brian Platts; Bill Tracey Subject: RE: SVCA Financial Plan Input

Dear Clr. Crist, Bill Maurer and Brian Platts: This is good stuff! To add to your comments......I was told the other day that BOSA is looking at some multi-family re-development in the Delbrook area. That would be in addition to what is going on at Edgemont Terrace. What happened to the slow/no growth concept? Brian...could you tell me when the Edgemont OCP was (a) completed; and (b) last reviewed? Thx to all Liz

Ernie Crist <ernie_crist@dnv.org> wrote:

Hello Bill:Good work and congratulations. SVCA has come a long way. Your ideas should be incorporated into the strategic planning process conducted by our new CAO. I too am working on the major issues. Also, it should be raised with FONVCA when we meet. On the whole the role of community associations has to be enhanced and elevated. FONVCA itself should press for it. If this does not happen the pro development forces will take over. They are waiting to make their move. At stake is the whole concept of neighborhood and community driven development. It is either moving forward or stand to lose all the gains that make us unique. You were supposed to give me some drawings re advertising boards or am I

mistaken? That too is important

because they want to open the

door to street signs

unlimited.Ernie -----Original

Message----

From: Bill Maurer [mailto:billm@millsoft.ca]

Sent: December 4, 2003 5:58 AM

To: Mayor and Council - DNV;

Lindsay Hoeberechts; Rick

Danyluk; Charlene Grant; Irwin

Torry; Richard Zerr Cc: SVCA Directors

Subject: SVCA Financial Plan

Input

Here is the

electronic copy

of the SVCA

input to last

night's

Financial Plan

Public

Hearing.Some

addition al

links:

• <u>SVCA</u>

<u>2004</u>

Budget

Request

• SVCA

 $\underline{\text{Web}}$

<u>site</u>

If you have any

further

questions, I

can be reached

at

604-789-2172

or

billm@millsoft.caRegards,Bill

Maurerco-chair

SVCA

My name is

Bill Maurer. I

have been

co-chair of the

Seymour

Valley

Community

Association for

the last 2 years.

I'd like to

explain how

our community

association has been making

funding

requests, how

4 of 11 12/8/03 6:17 PM

well this has

worked, and to

make

suggestions for

how it can be

improved.

We sent our

first funding

request to the

planning

department in

September

2002 in the

form of an

.....

email. This

was simply a

list of 15

projects which

we wanted to

see undertaken

and funded.

Three of these

projects have

been

completed. The

status of the

remaining ones

is

unknown.Our

current funding

request to

"Mayor and

Council" and

the planning

department

was sent last

month. This

was sent as an

email and

provides more

detail about

each project for which

funding is

being

requested. We

have also

added digital

photographs to

provide further

information

about each

project. The

new request

contains 9

items which

were in last

year's request

as well as 2

new items. The

process we are

using to date is completely

informal and

has provided

us with mixed

results.Here

are some

suggested

changes which

would greatly

enhance the

way

community

associations

both

communicate

with planning

and request

funding.1.

Provide a

single point of

contact in the

planning

department

who acts as

the district's

representative

for each community

community

 ${\bf association.}\ {\rm In}$

our case this

seems to be in

place at an

informal level

but it would be

much clearer if

this was

formalized and

published.

Anyone should

be able to

phone the

District and

ask for the

SVCA's

district rep and

reception

should know

who this

person is. 2.

Schedule

quarterly

meetings with

community

associations to

review project

status and/or

introduce new

projects.

Projects may

or may not

have a

financial

impact.3. **Assign the**

planning

department as

the primary

interface to

finance. It is

assumed that

the majority of

community

association

requests fit

within existing

district budget

parameters and

would never

show up

directly as

items in the

District

Financial Plan.

Planning

would provide

finance with a

detailed budget

for projects

which reach

that stage.

Community

associations

will generally

not be in a

position to

assign dollar

amounts to

projects since

district staff

and resources

normally

implement

them.4.

Provide

timely

feedback to

requests made

by community

associations.

A community

association

needs to be

aware of how

the district

views each of

its project

requests. This

includes such

things as

expected start /

completion

dates, expected

costs, detailed

plans /

drawings, and

project

disposition. 5.

Work with local

12/8/03 6:17 PM 7 of 11

community

associations

on all

community

related issues.

Planning

occasionally

receives

requests from

residents

directly as a

result of an

issue or

problem they

have with

streets,

lighting,

traffic, noise,

etc. Planners

should inform

the local

community

association of

such requests

and enable the

community

association to

provide

input/feedback

on the solution.

The local

community

association

will often be

aware of

additional

factors which

may impact the

best solution to

an issue and

should have

input on

priorities. It

would help if

community

associations

were cc'd on

community

related

requests from

residents for

additional

services /

improvements.6.

Formalize

and document

the process by

which

community

associations

interact with

the district.

Once this

12/8/03 6:17 PM 8 of 11

process has

been

established

document it

and place it in

the community

association section

of the DNV

web site. We

have recently

revised the

format of the

Seymour

Valley

Community

Association

website

(seymourvalley.ca)

to better

enhance the

visibility and

documentation

of projects. We

used to have a

section called

Issues which

was really a

mix of

committees

and projects.

These have

now been split

into 2

categories. The

primary

difference

between a

project and

committee is

that a project

has a specific

goal and a start

and completion

date.

Committees

are ongoing

and are

charged with a

given problem

domain. They

typically

monitor the

situation and

propose

solutions as

problems

arise. There is

substantial

amount of

roadwork

being

undertaken on

Riverside

Drive which

the community

association

never seems to

be informed of

in advance and

often degrades

the road

surface.

Recently BC

Gas has

replaced a

section of pipe

between the

1700 and 1900

block of

Riverside.

They have

closed this

with an

extremely

uneven section

of pavement

which appears

to be a

temporary

patch. Will

they be

repaving this

section of

road? When

will they apply

a permanent

patch? Is

anyone at the

district

monitoring

this? Enabling

community

associations to

better monitor

damage done

to road

surfaces by

external

agencies will

make it much

less likely that

the district and

property tax

payers will be

on the hook for

their eventual

repair.Thank

you for giving

us the

opportunity to

provide this

feedback. We are willing to

provide our

community as

a model to

12/8/03 6:17 PM 10 of 11

assist you in developing this process should you require it. Visit our community association website at SeymourValley.ca to see details of the projects we are currently working on.

Want to chat instantly with your online friends? **Get the FREE Yahoo! Messenger**