

Subject: CD54 - BC Rail lands

Date: Tue, 04 Oct 2005 01:19:42 -0700

From: Cathy Adams <cathyadams@canada.com>

To: dnvCouncil@dnv.org

CC: corrie@kost.ca, James_Ridge@dnv.org, hunterjohn@telus.net, wrtracey@telus.net, fonvca@fonvca.org, pat45@shaw.ca, allandorr@shaw.ca, macdunn@uniserve.com, Irwin_Torry@dnv.org, Donna_Howes@dnv.org, andersen@sagafc.com, m.bragg@shaw.ca, valeriem@blaze.ca, bplatts@shaw.ca, cagebc@yahoo.com

Dear Mayor and Council

It was interesting, to say the least, to listen to the debate on the issue of forwarding this property to a public hearing to consider rezoning it for the purpose of accommodating a transit centre.

In reading the actual bylaw, the Principal Use Regulations state that "Nothing shall be done on the property which is or may become a nuisance or annoyance to the surrounding area ..." It goes on to list these potential annoyances as items such as fumes, noise, glare, odours, etc.

So I question how a transit depot on the site would adhere to this provision for the CD54 zoning. This is not a rhetorical question - I really do hope someone can answer it!

The Lower Capilano OCP has been quoted in the discussion of this rezoning. I sat on that OCP committee. One provision in the OCP not spoken of to date is the section that deals with the protection of liveability for the neighbourhoods of Lower Capilano.

Cathy Adams