Subject: RE: Block Watch Fee Waiver Motion

Date: Fri, 7 Oct 2005 07:01:46 -0700

From: "Eric Andersen" <andersen@sagafc.com>

To: "Jim Cuthbert" <Jim_Cuthbert@dnv.org>, <EA@sagafc.com>, <bplatts@shaw.ca>, <corrie@kost.ca>, <fonvca@fonvca.com>

CC: "Sandy POTTER" <sandy.l.potter@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>

Jim,

Your motion perfectly sums up this issue. I wholeheartedly agree with it.

The only additional comment, or 'whereas', might be - in order to prevent further such fiascos in the future - to state that the District should consult with the RCMP's Block Watch office in the future when/if any changes be suggested that could affect Block Watch parties. I have copied in Ms. Sandy Potter from this office.

The rationale for this addition is that in neither of these two instances (liability insurance nor processing fee) were the RCMP contacted or did they know about these changes. Had they been approached in the first place, you might not have to prepare this motion now.

Communication with the affected parties is key.

Good luck with your motion. I will support it when it will be debated by Council.

Best regards,

Eric G. Andersen 604 929 6849

----Original Message----

From: Jim Cuthbert [mailto:Jim Cuthbert@dnv.org]

Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2005 10:38 PM

To: EA@sagafc.com; bplatts@shaw.ca; corrie@kost.ca; fonvca@fonvca.com

Subject: Block Watch Fee Waiver Motion

Please review and provide comment. Thanks.

Councillor Cuthbert

604-924-3690

1 of 1