Subject: FW: Response to letter from Shirley and Margaret.....re taxes; **Date:** Sat, 26 Feb 2005 11:47:32 -0800 From: "Ernie Crist" <ernie_crist@dnv.org> To: <fonvca@fonvca.org>, <Cagebc@yahoo.com> > From: Ernie Crist Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2005 11:43 AM Nathalie Valdes Response to letter from Shirley and Margaret re Subject: taxes; Ladies; > You have made some excellent points in your letter re District taxes. > Allow me to add a few more. The District, during the last 15 years, > has used countless \$ millions of its land sale proceeds for every day expenses. Other municipalities have used such Funds to put into their > Heritage Fund and have used only the interest from this fund. This is > the smart way of doing business. An equally smart policy to that > effect was initiated in 1986 in the District but was abandoned for no > reason other than financial expediency and gross incompetence. Needles > to say this has clearly affected our taxes in a very negative way. > The same is true for the Infrastructure Reserve Funds which have also > been eliminated for no reason other than, what, in my opinion, was > fiscal opportunism. The result is that now, when we are confronted > with huge expenditures for it's renewal, the money has to be taken > from other sources (taxes). I should mention that in 1997 the District > had a per capita Infrastructure Reserve Fund of \$ 1,100 which is now > gone, but not to pay for new infrastructure. In the City of North > Vancouver this Fund is today \$ 1,300 per capita and in West Vancouver > it is \$ 1,700 per capita. Needles to say this too has had a very > negative effect on our taxes. > As I have already pointed out before, the District, despite now > having the second highest taxes in the region, continues to subsidise > the City of North Vancouver through our recreation agreement. They are > building the high-rises while we are providing the playing fields. > Nobody dares say anything for fear of upsetting the status quo. There > is also the question of reorganising the Rec Commission which would > save the District a huge amount of money but this too is a "sacred > cow" even though SUCH A STEP, if anything, would raise the level of > services provided to the public. Another big money loser is the Arts > Administration. There are 3 separate administrations more or less, > when there should be only one. However, that too is a "Sacred Cow". > Administrations are costly. However, Council has consistently refused > to address my concerns and has, in fact, defeated all my motions to

> rectify the situation while at the same time, badly needed projects

> are short funded and taxes keep going up at twice the rate of



> inflation.

> Yours truly,

> Ernie Crist

Name: winmail.dat
Type: application/ms-tnef

Encoding: base64

1 of 1 2/28/05 10:09 PM