```
Subject: RE: FW: Ottawa - Council committee OKs pesticide ban
  Date: Sat, 22 Oct 2005 15:25:30 -0700
  From: "Ernie Crist" <ernie_crist@dnv.org>
    To: "Corrie Kost" <corrie@kost.ca>
   CC: "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@dnv.org>, "Senior Management Committee" <managecomm@dnv.org>,
       "James Ridge" <James_Ridge@dnv.org>, <fonvca@fonvca.org>, <mbragg@shaw.ca>
Dear Dr. Kost:
Thank you very much for your response. Sincere people looking for
solutions to questions however complex, will sooner or later discover
the truth.
Yours truly,
Ernie Crist
From: Corrie Kost [mailto:corrie@kost.ca]
Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2005 12:21 PM
To: Ernie Crist
Cc: Mayor and Council - DNV; Senior Management Committee; James Ridge;
fonvca@fonvca.org; mbragg@shaw.ca
Subject: Re: FW: Ottawa - Council committee OKs pesticide ban
Dear Councillor Crist,
I do not disagree with most of what you say. However, if we can't make
human life sustainable on this planet we cannot do it anywhere else.
We would be no better than locusts and would not deserve another chance.
I think
we are on the same side - we just differ on how to meet the
sustainability goals.
Corrie Kost
Ernie Crist wrote:
        THOUGHT FOR TODAY - ERNIE CRIST
        All things are interconnected. Everything goes somewhere. There
is no
        such thing as a free lunch. Nature bats last.
        On the other hand, the cynic could say, each and everyone of us,
little
        by little, each and every day, can play a part and contribute to
        wrecking and poisoning this planet and make it unliveable. It
will
        certainly encourage the search for other habitable planets.
        Ernie Crist
        PASSED ON TO YOU COURTESY - ERNIE CRIST
        ----Original Message----
        From: Mike Christie [mailto:mikechristie@rogers.com]
        Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2005 5:23 AM
        To: Recipient List Suppressed
        Subject: Ottawa - Council committee OKs pesticide ban
```

October 22, 2005

Spray days numbered

Health committee backs lawn chemical ban By NELLY ELAYOUBI, Ottawa Sun

OTTAWA'S pesticide ban has grown one step closer to becoming a reality.

Three years and \$1 million later, the city's health committee voted six

to two in support of a ban on the cosmetic use of pesticides on

lawns.

"It's here. The baby's born," said Coun. Alex Cullen, a staunch supporter of the ban.

It's a debate that drew heavy lobbying from both sides.

The health, social services and recreation committee heard from

urban

some

127 delegations at Thursday's marathon meeting, all voicing passionate

pleas for the committee's support.

"We do it for the children. We do it for the vulnerable people,"

said Coun. Peggy Feltmate.

Coun. Diane Deans, who voted against the ban, said residents just aren't

ready for such a bylaw.

Although she typically sides with the medical community, she said there

was a lack of sufficient community consensus on the proposed ban.

Even after a three-year information campaign, one-quarter of

Ottawans still don't know what a pesticide is, she said.

"Was there a message to city council that people feel that the

moderate risk associated with this might be something they're prepared to

Or is the message that the medical community has not produced a

body of

before

sure

pass.

their

take?

evidence sufficient to change attitudes?" she asked.

Either way, she wants to see better education for residents

enforcement measures are put in place.

"Let's get this right. I'm not sure the time has come, I'm not

we're in a position yet to know what the best strategy to get

that reduction is, " Deans said.

The proposed ban goes to council on Wednesday and is expected to

Cullen has been aggressively lobbying fellow councillors for

support.

The mayor has expressed his support, and Cullen noted the health community, including CHEO and the Ottawa Hospital, make for a stronger case.

that

it

said.

week

"We know we have a working majority. The question is how big majority is going to be," Cullen said.

If approved by city council, the bylaw could be phased in beginning Jan.

1. However, bylaw officers wouldn't start charging people who violate

the ban until July 1, 2007.

The bylaw will include a focused information campaign targeting people who violate the measure, said Coun. Diane Holmes, chairwoman of the committee.

The proposed bylaw would exempt golf courses, farms and rural areas, including villages, such as Manotick.

"The rural exemption that's part of this bylaw, I'm sorry to see there because I think it should cover the whole city," Cullen

nelly.elayoubi@ott.sunpub.com

http://www.ottawasun.com/News/OttawaAndRegion/2005/10/22/pf-1273392.html

Sat 22 Oct 2005

The Ottawa Citizen

Council committee OKs pesticide ban: Issue goes to council next

by Neco Cockburn

The city has moved a step closer to implementing a ban on cosmetic $\ddot{}$

pesticides.

After a short debate yesterday, the health committee voted 6--2 in favour

of a bylaw effective next January, although charges would not be laid until the middle of 2007.

The contentious bylaw, which only applies to urban areas of the

now goes before city council on Wednesday.

"I'm very pleased that we've listened to the medical professionals,"

said Councillor Alex Cullen, a longtime advocate of the ban.

"It's a

city,

good first step towards protecting public health, particularly children," said Mr. Cullen. He added that the bylaw should be

3 of 10 10/22/05 8:23 PM

educational

```
acceptable
        to all of city council, although he anticipated a close vote.
        The bylaw contains exceptions for agriculture, golf courses and
other
        essential non-cosmetic uses. It also allows for the treatment of
        infestations.
       An advisory committee will develop guidelines for the conditions
under
        which an infestation can be treated.
        The committee's recommendation comes after a three-year,
$1-million
        education campaign failed to reduce the level of cosmetic
pesticide use
        in the city.
       The bylaw has been a hot issue. Councillors heard 117
five-minute
        presentations from people on both sides of the issue Thursday,
during a
        meeting that started shortly before 10 a.m. and wrapped up close
to
        midnight.
        Speakers in favour of a ban often pointed to medical evidence;
while
        those opposed said cited a lack of definitive medical proof.
        But yesterday, Mr. Cullen, along with Councillors Diane Holmes,
Clive
        Doucet, Peggy Feltmate, Georges Bedard and Janet Stavinga, voted
in
        favour of the ban. Councillors Rick Chiarelli and Diane Deans
were
        opposed, while Councillor Glenn Brooks was absent. Ms. Deans
said
        although she favoured reducing pesticide use, she didn't believe
the
        city had provided enough public education on the issue.
       Ms. Deans later said public opinion could be swayed "with a
really
        effective public education campaign," which the city had not
carried
        out. "You need community acceptance to make a bylaw work, and I
don't
        think we have it yet."
        Others, however, said the bylaw should be implemented when
weighed
        against potential health risks.
        "If we have an opportunity, as a municipality, to support
policies that
        can avoid the distribution of hazardous materials into our
community
        then I think we need to move forward, "Ms. Stavinga told the
committee.
        Councillor Feltmate added: "We do it for the children; we do it
for the
       people that are vulnerable in our community."
       Under the bylaw proposal, the first year would consist of an
```

process to try to convince people not to use cosmetic

4 of 10 10/22/05 8:23 PM

```
pesticides. Then
```

warnings would be issued during the first half of 2007, with

charges

laid after July 1, 2007.

under

Mr. Cullen said he was disappointed that rural areas do not fall

the ban.

"They're subject to the same health risks posed by pesticides as

the before

rest of us," he said. "I think it will only be a matter of time

the bylaw catches up to them."

Sat 22 Oct 2005

The Ottawa Citizen

Council should show leadership and ban pesticides

by Alex Munter

For a city council often criticized for chasing its own tail, the coming

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

leadership and move on to other priorities.

More than 12 million Canadians already live in jurisdictions

that

the

test

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

bylaw now will simply doom us to endless debate that will inevitably

conclude with the same result anyway.

That a city committee charged with protecting public health would adopt

a measure that protects public health is hardly surprising. If

committee had ignored the recommendation of its own medical

officer of

health, the committee would have abrogated its duty. So the real

comes when the full council meets to make the final decision.

Ignoring the recommendation of its own medical officer of health

would

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

the full council meets to make the final decision.

Many councillors seem drawn to a costly Plan B, apparently designed to

conceal pesticide use by burying it under a mountain of red tape.

Under the fall-back plan, cosmetic pesticide use would be allowed all

year long, except in July and August.

You'd have to put up 22-by-28-centimetre signs. You could spray up to 20

 $\,$ per cent of your property. But not if it's more than 25C that day.

Or if it's a smog day. Or if it's raining. Or if you live near a playground or park. City staff says it's all so complex, it'll need a bigger enforcement budget. It's hard to understand the real intent of this Plan B. Is it to make enforcement so difficult that, de facto, there is no regulation? Or is it designed to drive homeowners so crazy with burdensome rules that they give up on pesticides? Either way, it seems unprincipled and sneaky. Residents deserve better. Health advocates -- including some of Ottawa's most respected doctors -- have pointed out that the issue isn't only about public it's health, also about whether the city keeps its promises. In 2002, city health officials proposed an education program, rather than a bylaw, to reduce non-essential pesticide use. Councillors were skeptical about whether such a program would work, so we added a requirement for measuring its success. Pesticide reduction targets were set. Pesticide industry representatives pledged to help achieve those targets. Council promised to introduce a bylaw by the end of 2005 if the targets were not met. Well, there are just a couple of months left in 2005, the targets have not been reached, and scientific evidence on the health dangers of pesticide is piling up. It's time to keep the promise. A report released earlier this month by the King's Fund, a British charitable foundation that focuses on health, found that public education alone is rarely successful when it comes to controversial public health issues. measures is that their payoff is distant. It took decades before water fluoridation, seatbelt rules or drinking-and-driving laws showed their worth. All of these measures were

Of course, part of the political problem with most public health

hotly contested in their time. It's hard for politicians, who by

nature of their jobs are prone to short-term thinking, to make decisions

that serve a far-away, long-term interest.

Councillors have been here before. After years of debate about impact of second-hand smoke, council voted unanimously to adopt

smoke-free public places bylaw in 2001.

6 of 10

the

the

а

E-mail:

amunter@uottawa.ca.

===============

Then, as now, public health opponents argued that the measure would cause economic devastation. They predicted politicians who backed the bylaw would be punished at the polls. They said the bylaw was unworkable. They were spectacularly wrong on all counts. Today, the smoke-free bylaw ranks as a major accomplishment. Residents can't imagine bringing second-hand smoke back into public places. Other jurisdictions -- Winnipeg, New York, Ireland and Italy among them -- have followed suit. It is difficult to understand why city officials who adopted that bylaw are struggling with a regulation that is so much less dramatic in its impact. While there was a three-month phase-in for the smoking bylaw, there is a three-year phase-in of the pesticide regulation. While the smoking bylaw could justifiably be characterized as a ban, this bylaw hardly deserves that label -- city staff recommends it not apply in rural areas, on golf courses or in case of infestations. The smoking bylaw was an unusual regulation because it required proactive enforcement. The Restaurant Association asked for strict enforcement so that its members, who backed the bylaw, didn't lose customers to a handful of establishments that didn't respect the law. By contrast, the pesticide bylaw will be a typical municipal regulation, enforced on a complaints-only basis. Administering it doesn't add a cent of additional expense to the city's budget. Almost everyone agrees that herbicides and insecticides should be used sparingly and only when necessary. Even pesticide companies agree, or at least publicly say they agree, that we should reduce the non-essential use of these chemicals. Given this consensus, it's hard to imagine why councillors would chose to indefinitely prolong the debate. Let's use people's time and energy to solve problems, like property tax assessment or transportation, where solutions aren't quite as evident. Alex Munter is a visiting professor at the University of Ottawa, former Ottawa councillor and former chair of the city health committee.

Sat 22 Oct 2005

The Ottawa Citizen

We need 'better safe than sorry' view of pesticides

Re: Weak case for pesticide ban, Oct. 20.

The quality of the logic in this editorial is laughable. The

"better

safe than sorry" approach is everywhere. We are spending

billions of

dollars on national defence, on anti-terrorist activity and on

flu

protection, all on that principle. There is no incontrovertible scientific evidence that any one of the threats will cause any particular one of us any harm. Possibly? yes; probably? yes;

proof?

none!

The editorial observes that "swimming pools, vehicles, and staircases

all represent a certain risk." We do not ban them but we do put constraints on them -- the mandatory fence around a swimming

pool; the

compulsory airbags in a car; and the railings required by the building code on a stairway. All are imposed to minimize and mitigate the

associated with our use of each of these if used injudiciously,

on the

risk

of

is a

lawn

editor

free.

are

live

principle that safe is better than sorry.

If the editorial writer can offer some way of ensuring that there is

never an injudicious use of pesticides; that there is some way

ensuring that residues do not get into ground water; that there

means of preventing airborne droplets from crossing over to the

where a susceptible asthmatic child is playing, then perhaps the

has a case.

But we will expect that sound peer-reviewed scientific proof will be

provided that the measures proposed are all risk and failure

R. M. Bennett, Ottawa

Sat 22 Oct 2005

The Ottawa Citizen

Totally unnecessary

How can anyone say banning pesticides is not justified? These

totally unnecessary chemicals in our environment that we can all

without.

10/22/05 8:23 PM 8 of 10

```
As a member of a horticultural society and avid gardener, I do
know you
        can easily survive without pesticides and have a beautiful yard
and
       garden. This mentality that everything goes to wrack and ruin if
you do
       not use pesticides in ridiculous.
        I am so tired of hearing that someone might trip over a
dandelion on a
       playing field. This has never happened and besides, most
properly
       maintained sports fields would be cut regularly. Our local
soccer fields
        are pesticide-free because they are on school properties and no
one has
       been hurt. A prime example of this was apesticide-free playing
field for
        ultimate Frisbee in Manotick. And the Ottawa Lynx field is not
sprayed.
       What about Parliament Hill or the Governor General's grounds?
       As a homeowner with almost an acre of lawn, I have won the weed
battle
       with proper mowing (not scalped), aerating and seeding, and that
is
       about it. I use compost to top dress and have never fertilized
or
       watered and I have a lovely thick lawn. Oh yes, it has clover,
but that
       belongs there as well as other beneficial plants.
       It is our neighbourhoods where children play that concerns us.
It is
        time to get rid of this insanity once and for all.
       C. L. Saucier,
       Russell
        Saturday, October 22, 2005
       CFRA.com
       Issue to go to full council on Wednesday
       Health Committee Gives OK to Pesticide Ban Darren McEwen and
Cindy Clyne
       The city's Health, Recreation and Social Services Committee has
sprayed
        out attempt to scrap a proposed ban on pesticides.
       Now the issue will head to full council on Wednesday.
       Councillor Rick Chiarelli tells CFRA News that the bylaw won't
reduce
       pesticide use and will hurt lawn care companies.
        "This bylaw will drive those companies out of that business and
if you
       have determined owners who aren't going to pay attention to the
bylaw,
        they'll be out there putting (pesticides) on and we know they
could be
       putting up to 10 times the concentration that a professional
applicator
```

would use, " Chiarelli said after Friday's meeting. Chiarelli and Coun. Dianne Deans were the only two members of the committee to vote against the pesticide ban. Coun. Alex Cullen has given up the fight to include rural communities in the ban. Golf courses would be exempt as well, but would have to report to the city on its pesticide usage. If the proposal is approved Wednesday, officials will begin enforcing the ban in 2007. http://www.cfra.com/headlines/index.asp?cat=1&nid=33183 Mike Christie (613) 228-7499 / bus. (613) 228-7487 / fax. mikechristie@rogers.com / e-mail The Laws of Ecology: "All things are interconnected. Everything goes somewhere. There's no such thing as a free lunch. Nature bats last." by Ernest Callenbach Name: winmail.dat winmail.dat Type: application/ms-tnef Encoding: base64 Download Status: Not downloaded with message Name: winmail.dat

winmail.dat

Type: application/ms-tnef

Encoding: base64

Download Status: Not downloaded with message

10/22/05 8:23 PM