

Subject: RE: Bus Depot issue

Date: Mon, 17 Oct 2005 17:17:12 -0700

From: "Jim Cuthbert" <Jim_Cuthbert@dnv.org>

To: "Cathy Adams" <cathyadams@canada.com>, "Corrie Kost" <kost@triumf.ca>

CC: "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@dnv.org>, "Cathy Adams" <cathyadams@canada.com>, <cagebc@yahoo.com>, <corrie@kost.ca>, <hunterjohn@telus.net>, <pairofknees@telus.net>, <bplatts@shaw.ca>, <fonvca@fonvca.org>, <lcraver@shaw.ca>, <deredlund@shaw.ca>, "Irwin Torry" <Irwin_Torry@dnv.org>, <ksnever@shaw.ca>

Cathy - Glen L. of Translink indicated he thought the 3 min idling regulation applied only to roadways. Apparently the 3 min regulation is difficult to follow at times in the Lloyd Ave depot.

Glen L. has been advised the 3 min restriction applies beyond roadways. Glen is considering reporting back on this and other issues at a public meeting prior to a Public Hearing. Please note Translink has been granted an extension on their offer to purchase the BC Rail site.

Jim C.

From: Cathy Adams [<mailto:cathyadams@canada.com>]

Sent: Mon 10/17/2005 3:28 PM

To: Jim Cuthbert; Corrie Kost

Cc: Mayor and Council - DNV; Cathy Adams; cagebc@yahoo.com; corrie@kost.ca; hunterjohn@telus.net; pairofknees@telus.net; bplatts@shaw.ca; fonvca@fonvca.org; lcraver@shaw.ca; deredlund@shaw.ca

Subject: RE: Bus Depot issue

Jim -

I'd like to know who told you the 3 min idling rule only applies to DNV roadways?

Cathy Adams

At 03:05 PM 10/17/2005, Jim Cuthbert wrote:

>Thanks for the clarification Corrie.

>I'll be obtaining more info on this.

>Translink has advised they abide by the 3min rule during bus

>exchange procedures in Edgemont Village.

>Jim C.

>

>

>

>From: Corrie Kost [<mailto:kost@triumf.ca>]

>Sent: Mon 10/17/2005 1:13 PM

>To: Jim Cuthbert

>Cc: Cathy Adams; cagebc@yahoo.com; corrie@kost.ca;

>hunterjohn@telus.net; pairofknees@telus.net; bplatts@shaw.ca;

>fonvca@fonvca.org; lcraver@shaw.ca; Mayor and Council - DNV;

>deredlund@shaw.ca; Irwin Torry

>Subject: Re: Bus Depot issue

>

>

>

>The 3 minute idling DNV bylaw applies to private property as well as

>district properties.

>

>Corrie Kost

>

>Jim Cuthbert wrote:

>

>>Thanks for you email Cathy.

>>

>>

>>By copy of this email to Mr. Torry, I'm requesting he coordinate a

> response to your questions regarding the draft acoustical study.
>
> >Translink has advised if the transit depot were approved for the
> BC Rail site, pre and post construction air quality monitoring would occur.
>
> >I understand the 3 minute DNV idling regulation applies only to
> DNV roadways and not to the interior of off-road parcels.
>
> >I expect economic issues will form part of the Public Hearing discussions.
> >Expansion of the Lloyd Ave depot is addressed in the Oct 12 DNV
> staff update Report to Council.
> >My reconsideration motion (#12) on tonight's Council agenda has
> been withdrawn and as a result Bylaw 7549 has been referred to a
> Public Hearing.
>
>
> >Jim C.
> >
> >_____

> >From: Cathy Adams [<mailto:cathyadams@canada.com>]
> >Sent: Sun 10/16/2005 8:17 PM
> >To: DNVCouncil
> >Cc: cagebc@yahoo.com; corrie@kost.ca; hunterjohn@telus.net;
> >pairofknees@telus.net; bplatts@shaw.ca; fonvca@fonvca.org; lcraver@shaw.ca
>
> >Subject: Bus Depot issue
> >
> >
> >
> >To Mayor and Council, and other interested parties
> >
> >I have attached some comments on the draft acoustical study. Please
> >do look at it.
> >
> >In addition to these comments and questions, I also wonder if there
> >has yet been information provided on the issue of pollution.
> >
> >Economic issues surrounding the different types of uses that could be
> >accommodated on the site are not explored yet, as far as I can tell.
> >
> >Also, Translink has not been asked about what the real ramifications
> >of having two smaller depots would be, or whether increasing the size
> >of the West Vancouver depot on Lloyd to accommodate some of the buses
> >has been explored. There seems to be a lot that hasn't been
> >explored, actually!
> >
> >The good news (for those I'm referring to) is that some of you will
> >be off council before having to vote on this, and for those
> >reelected, this November's election will be in the past.
> >
> >Cathy Adams
> >
> >
> >