```
Subject: RE: Bus Depot issue
  Date: Mon, 17 Oct 2005 15:05:47 -0700
  From: "Jim Cuthbert" < Jim_Cuthbert@dnv.org>
    To: "Corrie Kost" <kost@triumf.ca>
   CC: "Cathy Adams" <cathyadams@canada.com>, <cagebc@yahoo.com>, <corrie@kost.ca>, <hunterjohn@telus.net>,
       <pairofknees@telus.net>, <bplatts@shaw.ca>, <fonvca@fonvca.org>, <lcraver@shaw.ca>, <deredlunden@shaw.ca>
Thanks for the clarification Corrie.
I'll be obtaining more info on this.
Translink has advised they abide by the 3min rule during bus exchange procedures in Edgemont
Village.
Jim C.
From: Corrie Kost [mailto:kost@triumf.ca]
Sent: Mon 10/17/2005 1:13 PM
To: Jim Cuthbert
Cc: Cathy Adams; cagebc@yahoo.com; corrie@kost.ca; hunterjohn@telus.net; pairofknees@telus.net;
bplatts@shaw.ca; fonvca@fonvca.org; lcraver@shaw.ca; Mayor and Council - DNV; deredlunden@shaw.ca;
Irwin Torry
Subject: Re: Bus Depot issue
The 3 minute idling DNV bylaw applies to private property as well as
district properties.
Corrie Kost
Jim Cuthbert wrote:
>Thanks for you email Cathy.
>By copy of this email to Mr. Torry, I'm requesting he coordinate a response to your questions
regarding the draft acoustical study.
>Translink has advised if the transit depot were approved for the BC Rail site, pre and post
construction air quality monitoring would occur.
>I understand the 3 minute DNV idling regulation applies only to DNV roadways and not to the
interior of off-road parcels.
>I expect economic issues will form part of the Public Hearing discussions.
>Expansion of the Lloyd Ave depot is addressed in the Oct 12 DNV staff update Report to Council.
>My reconsideration motion (#12) on tonight's Council agenda has been withdrawn and as a result
Bylaw 7549 has been referred to a Public Hearing.
>Jim C.
>From: Cathy Adams [mailto:cathyadams@canada.com]
>Sent: Sun 10/16/2005 8:17 PM
>To: DNVCouncil
>Cc: cagebc@yahoo.com; corrie@kost.ca; hunterjohn@telus.net; pairofknees@telus.net;
bplatts@shaw.ca; fonvca@fonvca.org; lcraver@shaw.ca
>Subject: Bus Depot issue
>To Mayor and Council, and other interested parties
```

1 of 2

```
>I have attached some comments on the draft acoustical study.
                                                              Please
>do look at it.
>In addition to these comments and questions, I also wonder if there
>has yet been information provided on the issue of pollution.
>Economic issues surrounding the different types of uses that could be
>accommodated on the site are not explored yet, as far as I can tell.
>Also, Translink has not been asked about what the real ramifications
>of having two smaller depots would be, or whether increasing the size
>of the West Vancouver depot on Lloyd to accommodate some of the buses
>has been explored. There seems to be a lot that hasn't been
>explored, actually!
>The good news (for those I'm referring to) is that some of you will
>be off council before having to vote on this, and for those
>reelected, this November's election will be in the past.
>Cathy Adams
```

2 of 2