----- Original Message ------

Subject: RE: Request for Reconsideration of Remedial Action Requirement Date: Wed, 20 Jul 2005 09:58:53 -0700 From: Agnes Hilsen <<u>Agnes\_Hilsen@dnv.org></u> To: Ernie Crist <<u>crnie\_crist@dnv.org></u>, Mayor and Council - DNV <<u>Council@dnv.org></u>, James Ridge <<u>James\_Ridge@dnv.org></u>

CC: <u>fonvca@fonvca.org</u>, Brian Bydwell <u><Brian\_Bydwell@dnv.org></u>

Councillor Crist:

The *Community Charter* requires the municipality to give formal notice of the remedial action requirement to Mr. & Mrs. Stabler. The notice must also advise that they may request a reconsideration by Council. The request for reconsideration must be made by written notice within 14 days of the date on which the notice was sent (that doesn't mean that Council must reconsider within 14 days, only that the request for reconsideration must be made within that time period).

The notice has been sent to Mr. & Mrs. Stabler. If a request for reconsideration is made by them, it will be placed on the August 22 Council Agenda.

Agnes Hilsen Municipal Clerk, District of North Vancouver, 355 West Queens Road, North Vancouver, B.C., V7N 4N5 604-990-2207, FAX: 604-984-9637, <u>ahilsen@dnv.org</u>

From: Ernie Crist

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 9:35 AM

To: Mayor and Council - DNV; James Ridge

Cc: <u>fonvca@fonvca.org</u> Subject:

Mayor Harris:

I hereby request that you call an extraordinary meeting of Council for August 2nd and/or in any case make appropriate arrangements prior to the end of this month of July 2005 for the purpose of rescinding the Decision of Council regarding 1593 Lennox Street, item 5, of the Council Agenda, July, 18-2005.

After reviewing the issue, I have come to the conclusion that I cannot in good conscience support a decision which compels the owners of the home at 1593 Lennox Street to carry the financial burden for rehabilitating this property.

I am fully aware that I voted in favour of the decision to compel the owners of the property at 1593 Lennox Street to carry the cost of rehabilitation when the item was debated at the July 18-2005 Council meeting. I did so because I stand by my decision to safeguard the properties at risk as men tioned in the staff report.

However, you may recall that in the debate I mentioned, that there are two issues involved, a safety issue as well as a moral issue. Your handling of the debate was not conducive to addressing the moral aspect. However, I do recognise that I could have made a stronger effort in addressing my concerns.

However, in light of my own efforts following the Riverside landslide in 1979 geared to address development in environmentally sensitive areas of the District and the lack of leadership provided by the District in addressing these issues, I have come to the conclusion that the District has, at the very least, a moral obligation to assume the cost of safeguarding the property at 1593 Lennox street.

Yours truly,

Ernie Crist