FW: Refusal by the District to clarify an ... on of District policy by Councillor Crist.

Subject: FW: Refusal by the District to clarify an alleged violation of District policy by Councillor Crist. Date: Mon, 15 Aug 2005 10:00:17 -0700 From: "Ernie Crist" <ernie\_crist@dnv.org> To: "James Ridge" <James\_Ridge@dnv.org> CC: <fonvca@fonvca.org> Thank you Mr. Ridge for your reply. Ernie Crist. > James Ridge > From: > Sent: Monday, August 15, 2005 9:51 AM > To: Ernie Crist; Mayor and Council - DNV > Subject: RE: Refusal by the District to clarify an alleged > violation of District policy by Councillor Crist. > Please note I sent the following e-mail to Coun Crist on the 14th of > May. > "My knowledge of the RCMP findings is very limited. However I have no > knowledge that you violated any DNV policies. > James Ridge > CAO" > My position has not changed since then. > James Ridge > CAO > From: Ernie Crist > Sent: Monday, August 15, 2005 9:28 AM > To: Mayor and Council - DNV > Cc: James Ridge; Senior Management Committee; fonvca@fonvca.org > Subject: Refusal by the District to clarify an alleged violation > of District policy by Councillor Crist. > > Mayor Harris: > > You will undoubtedly recall that the RCMP, following a complaint, by > what in all likelihood was an ex District employee, conducted an > investigation into alleged wrongdoing on my part when I purchased a > vehicle from a Car Dealership located in the District of North > Vancouver. > YOU HAVE BEEN MADE AWARE that the investigation cleared me of all > wrong doing regarding this matter. > However, in a follow up report, the RCMP indicated that, > notwithstanding, I violated existing District policy regarding such > matters. The meaning of this and how the RCMP could come to such a > conclusion was never explained. I can only assume that the Burnaby > RCMP Detachment which conducted the investigation was told something > to that effect by a District official and has taken it as gospel truth > without investigating it. > For years I, as well as private citizens, attempted to change the > District property lease policy and put it on a more businesslike and > more transparent basis. A staff report to that effect showed that the > existing District policy is anything but uniform and businesslike. > This applies also to a property, adjacent to the car dealership in > question, which is receiving a lease for a District owned property

FW: Refusal by the District to clarify an ...on of District policy by Councillor Crist.

```
> that is far below market.
> I assume that, in an attempt to divert attention away from this, my
> exposure of this unjustified lease agreement was said to be in
> violation of District policy when, in fact, I merely did my duty in
> pointing out what, in my opinion, is an unjustified subsidy to a
> private company at the expense of the taxpayers at large and, as
> such, is also in violation of the Municipal Charter and our oath of
> office, including yours. I along with other citizens, brought the
> matter of this particular lease to the attention of Mayor and Council,
> including yourself, as well as staff on more than one occasion. The
> matter was shrugged off by a senior staff stating "we cannot kick
> this lessee out since she has been with us for many years".
> When I asked our CAO whether, in his opinion, I was in violation of
> any District policy he stated that he had absolutely no knowledge to
> that effect and that the necessary steps to correct any
> misunderstanding should be made. However, when I subsequently pressed
> the CAO to give me something in writing and clarify this issue once
> and for all he refused. My request to the RCMP to give me any
> particular details to this effect were also dismissed by stating that
> this was outside the jurisdiction of the RCMP. If this is so, then why
> make such statement in the first place.
> I can only assume that the reason the District refused to clarify
> this matter is because this issue is an embarrassment to the District,
> including its Management, and is being swept under the rug at the
> expense of my reputation.
> However, I must now insist that you, as chief executive officer and
> within the powers of your office clarify this matter and make it
> public. I must insist that my name be cleared.
>
> Failure to do so will be a serious breach of etiquette on your part
> and is, in fact, a serious violation of your oath of office and of the
> positive workplace policy.
> I expect clarification as soon as possible.
> Thank you.
> Yours truly,
> Ernie Crist
>
>
>
>
>
```

<u> </u>	Name: winmail.dat
winmail.dat	
	Encoding: base64