```
Subject: FW: Cassidy
  Date: Mon, 22 Aug 2005 11:32:10 -0700
  From: "Ernie Crist" <ernie_crist@dnv.org>
   To: <fonvca@fonvca.org>
   CC: "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@dnv.org>, "Senior Management Committee" <managecomm@dnv.org>,
       "James Ridge" <James Ridge@dnv.org>
                Ernie Crist
> From:
> Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 8:42 AM
> To:
      Ernie Crist
> Subject:
                Cassidy
> Dear Mr. Cassidy:
> I have received a copy of your letter sent to Mayor Janice Harris
> dated May 24, 2005 regarding BC Assessment. I am cognizant of the hard
> and detailed work you as a citizen have performed in analysing the
> activities of BC Assessment. I am extremely disturbed by the cavalier
> attitude of District Mayor Janice Harris in responding to your mail
> and your justified concerns regarding assessment issues. Either the
> Mayor does not wish to defend the interests of a growing number of
> taxpayers or she does not understand her obligations. I also note
> that any and all suggestions you have made to make BC Assessment more
> people friendly and more business effective have been nixed by
> District Council.
> I was present when on a previous occasion you appeared before the BC
> Assessment Review Board along with Mr. Fenton. I was impressed with
> the detailed research you did in preparing your case. I was appalled
> by the attitude of the BC Assessment Review Panel and by what I
> thought was their haughty, dictatorial and bureaucratic attitude
> response to your requests for explanations on certain aspects of BC
> Assessment operations.
> Following this, I have noted that your concerns about the
> inconsistency of the BC Assessment are not only true but, if anything,
> are understated. BC assessment claims that all its assessments are
> within 97% of market value. In light of the evidence this claim
> lacks total credibility.
> What is more, as we analyse individual assessments, an even more
> disturbing picture emerges. It would appear that BC Assessment is
> applying favouritism based on purely subjective factors. It suggests
> that BC Assessment wants to convey the impression that if anyone
> challenges assessments, however far they are removed from 97% market
> value they may have their assessments raised. This is a very serious
> matter.
> As you are aware, I have, on several occasions, attempted to shed some
> light on the whole matter by putting forward resolutions and
> initiatives on Council. However, none were pursued by Council.
> Indeed, I note that, contrary to policy, some senior staff attempted
> to discourage any initiative towards that end. This is notwithstanding
> that Councillor Nixon an experienced Real Estate manager voted
> favour of the motion to determine whether District homeowners
> being treated in a consistent and fair manner by BC Assessment.
> As you quite correctly pointed out in your letter to District Mayor
> Janice Harris, by defeating the motion to shed some light on this
> issue, the Mayor exempted BC Assessment from any current or future
> responsibility to provide information necessary for District staff to
> evaluate the accuracy, uniformity and fairness of the Assessment Roll.
```

9/11/05 6:17 PM

> This is extremely disturbing, for it points to an abdication of the
> responsibility of the Council of the District especially Mayor Harris,
> in defending the interests of District residents. Notwithstanding,
> that technically speaking, BC Assessment is under the jurisdiction of
> the Provincial Government, local government has a responsibility to
> speak on behalf of its citizens.

> This includes suggestions by you as well as others that, in light of > the apparent irregularities of assessments, the District appeal the > assessment roll. The same is true for your suggestion that the > District pursue the idea of monitoring property sales against > assessments.

> I am cognizant that a number of individuals, including yourself, have > come to the conclusion that the value of services provided to District > taxpayers are far below what BC Assessment actually charges to the > District taxpayers for the services.

> As you have pointed out, considering the small number of personnel > employed by BC assessment it is virtually impossible that they can do > the job they say they are doing in the District. This can only mean > that BC Assessment is making a huge profit at the expense of the > District taxpayers.

> I will send a copy of this letter to the press and to various > community organisations and individuals who may well be interested in > this issue for the upcoming municipal election when once again those > wishing to get elected and/or re-elected will step on the platform > claiming that they are the champions of the people and are defending > their interests. This also applies to mayoralty candidates.

> Yours truly,
>
> Ernie Crist
>

winmail.dat

Name: winmail.dat

Type: application/ms-tnef

Encoding: base64

2 of 2 9/11/05 6:17 PM