Subject: [Fwd: RE: Character assassination]
Date: Wed, 27 Jul 2005 14:31:27 -0700
From: Brian Platts

Splatts@shaw.ca>
To: Corrie Kost <kost@triumf.ca>

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: Character assassination
Date: Wed, 27 Jul 2005 10:06:49 -0700
From: Ernie Crist <ernie crist@dnv.org>

To: charles steele case43@telus.net, Elizabeth James cagebc@yahoo.com, Brian Platts bplatts@shaw.ca

CC: James Ridge James Ridge@dnv.org, Mayor and Council - DNV Council@dnv.org, Senior Management Committee managecomm@dnv.org, fonvca@fonvca.org, mmillerchip@nsnews.com

Hello Charles:

Thank you Charles for your support and your correct interpretation of the issue.

Ernie

From: charles steele [mailto:cas43@telus.net] Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2005 8:06 AM To: Ernie Crist; Elizabeth James; Brian Platts

Cc: James Ridge; Mayor and Council - DNV; Senior Management Committee; fonvca@fonvca.org; mmillerchip@nsnews.com

Subject: RE: Character assassination

Ms. James:

Interesting that you feel so strongly about your good name and reputation, but are unwilling to make a simple decision to clear Mr. Crist's. It is a straightforward issue: Councillor Crist is accused of having violated municipal policy and he wants to know how, when and where. The fact that RCMP Supt. Tomlinson brought this out in his report places Councillor Crist in an unfavourable position, suggesting that while he wasn't guilty of that particular criminal offence, he must be guilty of something. Was Supt. Tomlinson taking advantage of his professional position to express a private opinion - reflective of the mayor and management - that anyone who advocates so forcefully for the public interest shouldn't? The onus and responsibility is definitively on you, and the RCMP, to show otherwise.

Councillor Crist is offering you a way to resolve this without wasting tax dollars on a needless court case. I'm sure the hardworking taxpayers of the district would strongly agree with him. You should do the right thing, and allow Mr. Crist to clear his name and reputation.

Charles Steele 4634 Cove Cliff Road North Vancouver, BC

----Original Message----

From: Ernie Crist [mailto:ernie_crist@dnv.org]

Sent: July 26, 2005 12:01 PM **To:** Elizabeth James: Brian Platts

Cc: James Ridge; Mayor and Council - DNV; Senior Management Committee; fonvca@fonvca.org; mmillerchip@nsnews.com

Subject: RE: Character assassination

A MESSAGE FROM ERNIE CRIST

I have no desire to play the court game - what purpose would it serve other than to upset my wife and engage in endless legal battles and spend money I do not have.

It is the public's responsibility to do something about it. It is their business and their money and it is they who have the ultimate power via the polling booth and other means to act on their own behalf.

But if they continue not caring and instead promote men and women without ethics or principles I.e. members of the I'M ALL RIGHT JACK Club, then why should I carry the burden alone. This country does not belong to me alone. This is an issue for the court of public opinion - the people. Let's hope the media, at least, OUR LAST LINE OF DEFENCE will do it's job. I have done my duty by the voters and more and in an extremely difficult environment and for a long time.

I want to thank Brian Platts for all his efforts, his community spirit and his dedication - Brian will be one of the people who will be remembered for that - that I promise.

Ernie Crist.

om: Elizabeth James [mailto:cagebc@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 10:42 AM

To: Brian Platts; Ernie Crist

Cc: James Ridge; Mayor and Council - DNV; Senior Management Committee; fonyca@fonyca.org; mmillerchip@nsnews.com

Subject: Re: Character assassination

26 July 2005

Dear Brian, Clr. Crist:

I have said this before but it bears repeating - it appears the only way in which this matter can be settled, once and for all, is to put it before the Courts. Only in that way can people be sworn under oath to reveal all of the facts. In the event Clr. Crist is not willing to do that then, I regret, it is likely there'll never be a satisfactory end to it.

Canada, it seems, has managed to subvert many a common law which has served Western society well for centuries. It does so, yet again, when it flies directly in the face of a citizen's "right to face his accuser." The - acceptable in my view - rationale for doing so, is that a person who sincerely believes that a wrong is being/has been committed might be scared to come forward unless s/he can have the protection of anonymity.

A person's right to anonymity, however, bears a huge responsibility and should contain a caveat: A person who intends to make accusations about another individual which, if untrue, would constitute a defamation of character, needs to consider long and hard whether or not s/he has done everything possible to verify the facts before going public. This burden is all the more onerous when the person being accused is an elected official, virtually unable to counter accusations which may well be politically motivated. [As an aside, I find it more than encouraging that some U.S. celebrities have begun to fight back in the courts against the lies and doctored photographs beloved of so many tabloid papers.]

The caveat is that, if and when the accusations are proven groundless - as the R.C.M.P. have done in the current situation - and, particularly, when the latest episode is but the latest in a string of such incidents, then I believe the maligned has a right to face his accuser. In Clr. Crist's position, believing myself to be in the right, I would have been in court long before now, to have witnesses sworn under oath and to get to the bottom of the matter.

I have some talents and many faults, but the two traits I prize above all else are my character and reputation. They are non-negotiable and no-one, *no-one* gets to impugn them without recourse....and so it should be with Clr. Crist.....

Liz James [604] 988-2066

Brian Platts

bplatts@shaw.ca> wrote:

Dear Councillor Crist,

For me to say that the whole situation surrounding the bogus accusations made against you has been unusual, is simply not adequate. Let me see if I've got this straight ... there was the well-publicized but anonymous accusation made that you accepted a favorable car lease arrangement in exchange for some sort of political favour. While there was absolutely no substance to the accusation, the RCMP investigation that cleared you had also indicated that you somehow violated District policies and procedures. In the attempt to get to the bottom of the matter you asked the Superintendent for clarification, but he responded that it is not the RCMP's mandate to investigate violations of municipal policy. If that is indeed the case, one wonders, then why was it part of the report? When you queried the District's CAO, he responded that he was not privy to the findings of the police investigation including the so-called breech of policy, however in order to determine such a breech, the RCMP must have obtained some evidence from the District even though the Superintendent says that this would not be in the mandate of the police. After this run-around you could be excused for feeling like you have just found yourself in an episode of The Twilight Zone. It's hard to know what to make of this whole mess other than you deserve some answers from somebody.

Sincerely, Brian Platts

2 of 7 7/27/05 11:06 PM

Ernie Crist wrote:

```
Mr Ridge;
You must have some knowledge about this, after all, the
investigation
was conducted during your tenure was it not?
Surely, somebody on your staff must have made a statement to that
or are you telling me that a non District staffer and/or politician
make statements to the RCMP about alleged violations of District
or procedure without your knowledge, if indeed that is what
happened?
How can we ever verify the veracity of charges that I have violated
procedure and/or policy if nobody owns up to having made such
charges
at a time when the charges have been put on paper? That is to say,
the accusers are too cowardly to come forward and state so in this
one more District of North Vancouver politically motivated scandal,
character assassination and quite frankly harassment of an elected
official?
How indeed, can we pursue this matter further and make the culprits
accountable when the culprits and those who know the truth hide
behind a
veil of lies, double talk, deception and just plain yellow bellied
cowardness? Why am I not allowed to face my accusers?
Ernie Crist.
----Original Message----
From: James Ridge
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:20 PM
To: Ernie Crist; Mayor and Council - DNV; Senior Management
Committee
Cc: 'fonvca@fonvca.org'
Subject: RE:
Coun Crist
I am not privy to the findings of the investigation, including those
findings that gave rise to Supt Tomlinson's comments about possible
breaches of District policy. Therefore I can't comment on the
legitimacy
of his observations in the letter.
James Ridge
CAO
----Original Message----
From: Ernie Crist
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:19 PM
To: James Ridge; Mayor and Council - DNV; Senior Management
```

Committee

Cc: fonvca@fonvca.org

Subject: FW:

Mr Ridge;

As you can see from the email below, the matter of my Jetta purchase at

Capilano Volkswagen is taking on a new twist. The original investigation, I believe, was prompted by a one time District employee

who in turn was encouraged to act in this fashion by a member of District Council. According to the RCMP report, the investigation determined that there was no impropriety on my part.

The report did state however, that I had violated District procedure and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

policies. When I enquired about the specifics of this charge, I was told

by the RCMP that this is not within their jurisdiction. I then asked if

this is so, why mention it in the first place? \dots a reasonable question one would think.

However, in light of this, I am now asking you as the District CAO to

either confirm or deny that I violated District policy when I brought to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

the attention of both Mayor and Council, including the now Mayor Harris

as well as District Staff, that "GO WEST CAMPER" received and continues $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

to receive a lease from the District which is clearly below market and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

can only be described as a subsidy by the District to a private company.

I would appreciate your response. Specifically, I am asking for your assistance in answering my question how, in my capacity as an elected

official sworn to uphold the interests of the public and bringing this

matter to the attention of both Mayor and Council and Senior Staff, was $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

a violation of District procedure and/or policies and or alternatively $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

confirm that there was no violation of policy $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

matters to the attention of Mayor and Council and staff, as I have done,

is in line with the duties of an elected official.

Thank you, Yours truly,

Ernie Crist

----Original Message----

4 of 7 7/27/05 11:06 PM

```
From: Ernie Crist
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 7:58 PM
To: 'Gord TOMLINSON'
Cc: James Ridge; Mayor and Council - DNV
Subject: RE:
Dear Superintendent Tomlinson:
With respect - if this is so, than no mention to this effect should
been made in the final report sent to me following the
investigation.
However, in the report it did state that although the results of the
investigation showed no wrong doing on my part, the investigation
show that I had violated existing Council policies and procedures.
Yours truly,
Ernie Crist.
----Original Message----
From: Gord TOMLINSON [mailto:gord.tomlinson@rcmp-grc.gc.ca]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 2:30 PM
To: Ernie Crist
Subject: Re:
Councillor Crist
It is not the mandate of the RCMP to investigate breeches of
policies or
procedures of Council.
Gord
(G.G. Tomlinson), Supt
Officer In Charge North Vancouver Det
147 East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC V7L 2N4
Ph. 604-983-7400 Fax: 983-7434 Pager: 604-667-5405
email: gord.tomlinson@rcmp-grc.gc.ca
     "Ernie Crist" <ernie crist@dnv.org> 07/22/05 01:59PM >>>
Dear Superintendent Tomlinson:
You may recall that some time ago I requested clarification of a
statement made by the Burnaby RCMP concerning allegations against me
connection with the purchase of a Volkswagen Jetta at a North
Car Dealership. Following the completion of the investigation, you
```

sent

a letter stating that the investigation showed that there was no substance to the charges. However, your letter also stated that, notwithstanding these findings, the investigation did show that I had

violated Council policies and procedures.

The allegations apparently relate to a land lease agreement between the

District of North Vancouver and a private company located in the vicinity of the Capilano Volkswagen Car Dealership on Marine Drive in

North Vancouver.

This is the story. The District of North Vancouver, in clear violation

of the Municipal Charter and in clear violation of principles of good

governance, has and continues to extend a favourable land lease agreement to the owners of this private company. To put it in plain English, the District of North Vancouver has not only provided an unjustified subsidy to this private company but has refused to correct

this situation even though it has been brought to the attention of both

Council and Senor Staff on several occasions by various community activists and myself.

When I raised this matter with staff I was told that "we cannot kick those people out because they have been with us for many years". My position, in line with my duty, is that giving favourable treatment to

any private firm is contrary to the Municipal Charter and is also in violation of our oath of office.

The fact that a private business has been leasing a District property

for a long time is irrelevant and so indeed is that Capilano Volkswagen

also wants to lease or buy this property. The issue is not who wants

the property but who will pay maximum Dollars to the District taxpayers. The fact that the District management and Council violated

this basic principle can only prove they are not fully cognizant of the

parameters of their duties and/or there is corruption. We do not have

the right to give anybody a reduced rate and, if we do, we must provide

a defendable rationale and do so in public.

For me to point this out, as I have done, is not a violation of policies

and procedures by any stretch of the imagination. I have raised this issue along with other citizens at both the Council and Senior Management level. I have been stonewalled and in fact harassed. The same

is true for other citizens.

Buying a car at a dealership, while insisting that a property

6 of 7 7/27/05 11:06 PM

adjacent

to this dealership be leased to whomsoever is willing to pay the highest $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

price, is not a crime. It is not as if I had suggested that we should $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

lease or sell this property including to Capilano Volkswagen at a reduced or subsidised rate as is being done now with the private business in question. Insisting, as I have, that this subsidy end one

way or another, is completely in line with my responsibilities as an elected representative in what appears to be a totally un-businesslike environment.

In light of this, I request that this matter be investigated and that,

in any case, my name be cleared from the allegation that I had violated

any policy and/or procedure. I am looking forward to your early response.

Thank you. Yours truly,

Ernie Crist, Councillor District of North Vancouver.

Yahoo! Messenger NEW - crystal clear PC to PC calling worldwide with voicemail