Subject: RE: Natural Steps and pesticide-use

Date: Mon, 8 Aug 2005 16:28:48 -0700 **From:** "Ernie Crist" <ernie_crist@dnv.org>

To: "Corrie Kost" <kost@triumf.ca>, "M E Craver" <mecraver@shaw.ca>

CC: "Brian Platts" <bplatts@shaw.ca>, <fonvca@fonvca.org>, "Mayor and Council - DNV" <Council@dnv.org>, "Senior Management Committee" <managecomm@dnv.org>, "James Ridge" <James_Ridge@dnv.org>

Dear Mrs Craver:

It is my opinion that anybody who uses harmful pesticides is an extremist - gullible maybe but an extremist just the same. The evidence that pesticides are harmful is overwhelming and so is the fact that the producers of these harmful pesticides will do anything within their power to keep on producing regardless of damage to human health and/or the environment.

The last line of defence and their last refuge will be to accuse those who are opposed to their frenzy to make profit, of being ideologically motivated - this does not frighten me, of course, indeed I personally consider it to be a badge of honour for what could be more patriotic then to fight for a healthy environment and against those who, for money's sake, poison the globe. Unfortunately there are people who are intimidated by such tactics and give up the struggle, which is the purpose of the campaign in the first place.

Do you remember Vietnam and Agent Orange? It made a lot of money for the producers. The fact that the health of millions of children was ruined for the rest of their lives is rarely reported on. Many of the companies who waxed rich on Agent Orange are the same ones who are producing pesticides - remember it and remember it well.

However, if these extremists including those who have been misled are willing to see the error of their ways and join the ranks of progress, we should certainly welcome them with open arms.

Ernie Crist,

From: Corrie Kost [mailto:kost@triumf.ca]

Sent: Monday, August 08, 2005 1:42 PM

To: M E Craver

Cc: Ernie Crist; Brian Platts; fonvca@fonvca.org; Mayor and Council - DNV; Senior Management Committee; James Ridge Subject: Re: Natural Steps and pesticide-use

Dear Monica Craver,

I wish life were as simple as Bush put it.. "you are either for us or againsts us". Extreme positions - both ways are almost always wrong. Everything should always remain open to debate. That is how progress is made.

The "Natural Steps" are just that - steps. Zero tolerance banning is not a step - it is ideological extremism.

Corrie Kost

M E Craver wrote:

Dear Councillor Crist and Mr. Platts:
Has anyone bothered to address the criteria in the "Natural Steps Framework"? If there is sincere effort to put the Natural Steps criteria to work within DNV, then the arguments for pesticide use automatically become null and void. We cannot keep straddling the fence

and delaying the "inevitable". Either we are for the Natural Step Communities criteria, or not! If we are, pesticide-use arguments become "moot" (modern usage: "not worth debating"). If we are not, then pesticide-use arguments become "moot" (original usage: "open to debate"). Point taken?

Monica Craver

Ernie Crist wrote:

Dear Mrs Craver:

Corporations producing toxic pesticides will be pleased to hear that there is continued support by sections of the public for the production, distribution and sale of toxic pesticides when they could be forced to produce products without causing harm to human health. Natural agents like organic food is more expensive we have been told. Of course we were also told that the Pinto produced by the Ford Motor Company was safe. Unfortunately, some people believed it and paid with their lives.

The proof of the pudding is in the eating as the saying goes. Facts are stubborn things and the facts are that asthma related diseases among the population, but especially among children are escalating and much of this is due to the increasing use of toxins from pesticides ending up in the atmosphere and the soil. It adds to the stock of toxic pollutants adding to the progressive poisoning of the world around us. Canada is one of the world's worst offenders and per capita is on par with the other corporate controlled power, namely the US. It is little wonder that the US has refused to sign the KYOTO agreement.

Lack of public protest is of course music to the ears of the corporate leaders and saves them a great deal of money and bother and surely money is what this is all about. The human brain evolved through direct experience with the physical world around us. In such an environment well being translates into convenience and what is more convenient then to poison pests from a corporate produced spray can bought in the store. Besides, we can always pretend it is not toxic, after all it says so right on the corporate produced spray can does it not?

What is apparently lacking on the part of the apologists of this corporate agenda is a fundamental understanding of the subversive, corrupting and anti social effects of the corporate mentality. It is also convenient since it saves us the trouble to challenge and go to war against powerful corporations, their paid scientists and their paid lawyers not to speak of our neighbours some of whom have also been brainwashed.

Ernie Crist

From: Brian Platts [mailto:bplatts@shaw.ca]

Sent: Sunday, August 07, 2005 9:10 PM

To: Ernie Crist; M E Craver

Cc: Corrie Kost; fonvca@fonvca.org; Mayor and Council -

DNV; Senior Management Committee; James Ridge

Subject: Re: FW: pesticides and herbicides

Dear Coun. Crist & Ms. Craver,

Statements such as "anything which kills insects and/or

2 of 10 8/8/05 11:01 PM

bugs also kills people" rings of hysteria. This kind of thinking is not based on science, logic or even common sense. It is based instead on emotion and dogma. As Corrie Kost pointed-out, EVERY substance CAN be be harmful to life; it is only a matter of dosage. For example, there are documented cases of healthy people having died from drinking copious amounts of bottled water. No one denies that ingesting or being exposed to anything at an extreme dosage can be harmful to human health.

Consider that beer is good for killing slugs. Of course alcohol can be extremely toxic and can kill you either quickly or over time by prolonged abuse. Yet countless studies show that moderate alcohol consumption, particularly red wine, can be excellent for your health. Consider also, do you ever swim in a pool or drink tap water? I don't need to tell you that both are treated with chlorine which, at the right dose, is about as deadly a substance as you can find anywhere, but used properly is a benefit to human health. Don't forget you also probably wash some of your clothes with a small amount of chlorine bleach. Sodium Hydroxide which was spilled in that recent train derailment near Squamish and unfortunately killed some fish in a creek is widely used in making soap and is probably an ingredient in every cleaning substance you have in your homes. Have you or any of your kids ever picked-up head lice? Well, the only shampoo able to get rid of the nasty and socially embarrassing problem is really just an insecticidal soap -- and you have to scrub your head with it! Have you ever used mosquito repellent? Well, it's a chemical too. I could go on.

The reason why some municipal governments have passed bans on safe pesticide use isn't because there is a greater awareness or environmental responsibility at the local level, but rather, municipal governments are by far the easiest level of government for the irrational anti-pesticide movement to "pick off." As I said in an earlier e-mail, beyond the campaign to ban safe pesticides, there are people convinced -- without any scientific basis -- that cell phones or overhead power lines are causing cancers. Others say that electrical appliances are making them sick. You can even find groups demanding, in the interest of health, a ban on wearing perfume fragrances in public. In spite of people living longer and healthier lives than ever before, we are increasingly, and irrationally, afraid of the latest and trendiest health scares.

When it comes to the safe use of pesticides, a one litre squirt bottle of selective herbicide or insecticide (or 2-4-D weed & feed) used occasionally in my family's garden is of no health threat to anyone. However, my adjacent neighbour's noxious "Horse Tail" weeds and spreading blackberry vines are a direct threat to the use and enjoyment of my garden which my family takes pride in, and spends a great deal of time and money, not to mention hard work, to maintain and keep beautiful thereby benefiting the surrounding neighbourhood.

Sincerely, -Brian

Ernie Crist wrote:

Dear Mrs. Craver;

So there is no misunderstanding on the question of pesticides - yes they are harmful to human health - anything which kills insects and/or bugs also kills people. Of course everything is relative and it stands to reason that the amount of poison needed to kill an insect is less than that needed to kill a human. Also, some humans show more resistance to poison than others. The Russian Monk Rasputin had to be given ten times the amount of poison necessary to kill an average Russian. But then Rasputin was no ordinary man, he was not even an ordinary Russian. He was, as the saying goes, one tough cookie.

On the other hand, the accumulation of poisons in the human body does kill. It just takes longer. Nobody has ever died from one cigarette but smoking, over a longer period of time, will definitely kill. As to the contention that there is no scientific evidence to the effect that pesticides are not harmful to human health?.... This is outside the realm of logic. The burden of proof that this is NOT so does not rest with you but with those who deny this. Providing evidence that there are no Aliens in our midst, is NOT your responsibility. The proof that there are, rather rests with those who claim they are here. Stephen Hawking suggested if they were here they would have surely visited him to set him straight on his theories.

I do remember a time when scientific evidence, corporate paid scientists and corporate lawyers claimed that asbestos was NOT harmful, ditto with smoking, but asbestos was harmful and smoking was harmful. It just took the scientists a little longer to figure this out than the people with whom I worked with in the the shipyard.

The evidence that pesticides are harmful to human health is overwhelming. A visit to the cancer ward should convince anybody. I believe a lot of people have figured this out already which is why they are buying more and more organically grown food. Even my cat, Willie, prefers organically grown food to that laced with pesticides. Frogs, having been around longer than we have, apparently, know it too.

Ernie Crist

From: M E Craver [mailto:mecraver@shaw.ca]
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2005 2:28 PM

To: Corrie Kost Cc: Ernie Crist

Subject: Re: FW: pesticides and herbicides

But, Corrie, I am harmed by others' use of pesticides, whether it harms them or not. It is like second-hand smoke (and, yes, I am affected by that, too) Have you heard of homeopathic medicine? Science cannot measure the minute dilutions and the way homeopathics works, so they "pooh-pooh" it as quackery.

Just because it is "undetectable", does not make it safe. It still kills weeds and insects, doesn't it? --thus, harms us also! Meanwhile, it has been proven homeopathics works --placebo/infant studies in the Lancet Medical Journal has proven it. It works on me, my son's hayfever, and of course, the long-lived Royal family, the Windsors.

Yes, prohibition does not work, but that should not keep us from trying to severely restrict pesticide usage. I will not bother to argue modern man-made chemical medicines. Some work, and some kill, and all have grave potential side-effects -- you just have to read the warnings in American magazines advertising these Wonder medicines, and news as of late.. There have been many deaths by medicinal iatrogenics, both inside and outside of hospitals. We cannot always take the experts' and professionals' word concerning safety of chemical pesticides/medication. Take care. We agree to disagree?

Monica Craver

Corrie Kost wrote:

Dear Monica Craver,

I think you may be missing an important

point. You have every right to refuse to use any

product. You have no right to deny

others to use them if no one is harmed by them doing so.

I am now reasonably familiar with the

safety factors of applying chemicals to lawns. They are typically in the range of 10,000 below any

detectable effects on the person applying them - and that

is when they are used more than average

and over much larger lawns than we have - and

with little or no clothing on! For the

adjacent lands the factor is in the millions.

Your barbeque (assuming you have one)

has a far greater impact on the neighbours!

It is also obvious that even many

naturally occuring substances are harmfull if care is not

taken on their use. Just because things

are man-made does not make them any more

unsafe. Misuse by users - be it

alcohol, radiation, chlorine, ammonia, sugar, pesticides

is what makes them unsafe (compared to

the benefits).

As for our survival - without man-made

chemicals and

medicines most of us would not be alive

today. This does not mean we cannot do better.

By all means - let's promote safer

products, but as history has shown, prohibition

does not work!

Corrie Kost

M E Craver wrote:

Dear Councillor Crist and Dr. Kost:

It is very obvious that man-made chemical pesticides are dangerous. I am living proof of that. Lyle's grandfather was proof of that. Both of us were poisoned by pesticides. Lyle's grandfather, now deceased, suffered from the effects of "government approved commercial application" of DDT poisoning. suffering from increasing chemical sensitivity from pesticide poisoning in the mid-eighties, from "government approved commercial application" of whatever was used to curtail cockroaches in the apartment complex we were living in at the time. Remember the saying, "Unsafe at any speed"? Pesticides, no matter who applies them, is "unsafe with any application".

Meanwhile to keep as healthy as possible, I take omega 3-6-9 oils, vitamins and minerals, and immune enhancers. And I avoid use of any chemicals, as much as possible. And no pesticides! There are natural remedies to get rid of pests from ants to mice, and they have all worked. It may require a little more work, and the results are not instantaneous -- but do work!

A relative who worked in the lawn care business, is now on disability, as the pesticides applied to the rolls of turf poisoned her. Dig deep enough, and you will find that people working in lawn care do suffer from the effects, also -- themselves, or family members. The cumulative effects are still there, so it does not matter if a weaker solution is applied or not. It may also depend on our physical make-up and DNA as to who will be "affected" and who will not. That does not mean it is safe! Of course we know that the chemical brew that is already inside our bodies from before birth and mixing in the air and water to create "who knows what?" is not good for us. So why add more to the mixture?

8/8/05 11:01 PM 5 of 10

I have no patience for the "whining" of those lawn care folks and the people who hire them -- because there are safe and natural solutions out there! Meanwhile, my health suffers from the continual commercial application of pesticides on neighbouring lawns. Why should I have to continually run around the house closing windows on a hot summer day, to protect myself from pesticides and chemical fertilizers being applied downwind? I am strongly on the side of Councillor Crist on this one, Dr. Kost. This is a fight for Survival! The chemical and drug companies work hand in hand. Cancer drugs are created by the same companies that make pesticides. They have the government in their pockets. Pesticides are "unsafe with any application". I, in the meantime, do not wish to die proving it.

Sincerely,
Monica Craver
<http://www.pesticidefreeyards.org>

<>Dear Dr.Kost:

On this one there is no meeting of the minds. I want to pursue a totally new approach - a quantum leap, as in organically grown food as in prohibiting the production, sale and smoking of cigarettes or as in treating and neutralising all effluents including storm waters, before discharging them into the water. This is a war and requires a more than business as usual approach. It is, in fact, part and parcel of a total war for survival - that is TOTAL WAR FOR SURVIVAL. <> What you are suggesting would leave a loop hole and would require a huge and utterly ineffectual bureaucracy and an even more extensive degree of co-operation from a totally irresponsible and totally ignorant people, who could not care less about the environment. It is like asking a shark to co-operate to save seals. This is the majority I am talking about. I listen to them on CKNW Radio on occasion - it is frightening.

My mind is made up - the production, sale and use of all pesticides other than those organically grown and derived from natural products, must be banned. That's it for me.

Yours truly,

Ernie Crist

[mailto:kost@triumf.ca]

From: Corrie Kost

Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2005 8:56 AM

To: Ernie Crist

Subject: Re: FW: pesticides and

herbicides

Dear Councillor Crist,

I consider only Scientific American and

Nature as reasonably reliable.

I certainly do look at the big picture.

That is why these type of bylaws

bother me. They can put the whole

environmental program in disrepute (eg. cry wolf syndrome).

Of course the planet is being poisoned.

With so many people it cannot be

otherwise. There are no harmless

products. Home pesticide remedies are often

far less safe than the government

```
approved products. It has not been shown that
                                bylaws have been (all-inclusive) cost
effective nor attained the desired effect - namely
                                harm reduction. Note also that
"Professionals", using far more potent (read "commercial" as opposed to
                                "domestic") versions of products are
far more likely to suffer related health problems and
                                will tend to use solutions that result
in repeat business (profit being paramount).
                                It is important that public facilities
play a leading role in realistic, believable, and
                                cost effective environmental harm
reduction.
                                The adage "those in glass houses should
not throw stones" comes to mind.
                                Corrie Kost
                                Ernie Crist wrote:
                                Dear Dr. Kost:
                                I read "Scientific American", "Nature',
"Discover", "Der Spiegel", I
                                even read "US Business" and the
"Economist" and the message to varying
                                degrees is, we must change course. If
"US Business" rings the alarm
                                bell, believe me we should all be
concerned. I don't keep those
                                magazines. It is a strategic necessity.
Always remember the big picture.
                                We must step outside the little box.
The planet is being poisoned. It
                                is a fact which in typical corporate
fashion is being denied and or
                                marginalised. As long as we make
concessions there is no incentive to
                                produce truly harmless products.
                                Ernie Crist.
                                ----Original Message----
                                From: Corrie Kost
[mailto:corrie@kost.ca]
                                Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2005 11:33
PM
                                To: Ernie Crist
                                Subject: Re: FW: pesticides and
herbicides
                                Dear Councillor Crist,
                                Too bad you did not save them.
                                You should know that every substance on
this planet is harmful to life -
                                it is only a matter of dosage. The
statement "pesticides are harmfull or
                                they are not" is thus not a scientific
statement. We should deal with
                                this matter in a factual - not
emotional, manner. Exaggerated risk
                                underlies a lot of the junk science on
```

7 of 10 8/8/05 11:01 PM

this issue - leading to dogma and the resulting bad policies. I have to believe that reason and rational thinking will prevail. I look forward to a reasoned debate and trust you will keep on open mind in this issue. Corrie Kost Ernie Crist wrote: Dear Dr. Kost I did not save them. However, the evidence is overwhelming and the logic inescapable. The flaw in your position is evident in the statement "when used as indicated". Pesticides are either harmful or

they are not.

Yours truly,

Ernie Crist

----Original Message----From: Corrie Kost

[mailto:kost@triumf.ca]

Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2005 5:20 PM

To: Ernie Crist

Subject: Re: FW: pesticides and

herbicides

Dear Councillor Crist,

which indicate that "domestic" when used as indicated on the

Kindly forward any and all articles grade pesticides are a health hazard package(s).

Corrie Kost

Ernie Crist wrote:

From: Ernie Crist

Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2005 5:00 PM

To: 'Bob Huen'; DNVCouncil

Subject: RE: pesticides and herbicides

Dear Mr. Huen

Needless to say there is much logic in

what you say and I would never

agree to a ban of pesticides no matter

8/8/05 11:01 PM 8 of 10

what, as long we ourselves are using pesticides. Unfortunately, there is no support from the senior levels of government and it looks like we at the local level have to strike the first blow and maybe this is the way it should be since everything starts at the local level. As for allowing private companies to continue using pesticides?.... this cannot be a long term solution either as those who live adjacent to such properties will tell you not to mention the big picture which is indeed frightening to say the least. The scientific material made available to me for reading in the last few months is cause for alarm and that is all I can tell you. However, this matter will be returned to Council for further discussion and deliberation. Yours truly, Ernie Crist From: Bob Huen [mailto:bobhuen@shaw.ca] Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2005 3:03 PM

I find it amazing that you would consider banning pesticides used by

Subject: pesticides and herbicides

To: DNVCouncil

Mayor and Councilors,

allow private individuals to misuse them. I am equally parks board and golf courses to

professional lawn care companies but purchase these chemicals and possibly astonished that you would allow the use

banning the use on private have it backwards. I suggest them and expose the public to them while property where access is controlled. You

banning the sale and use of these products by private individuals and

only allow trained professionals to apply them. Your plan would only chemicals by private

lead to a much greater misuse of these

individuals.

Bob Huen 920 Shakespeare Avenue North

Vancouver V7K 2Y9



Name: winmail.dat

Type: application/ms-tnef

Encoding: base64

Download Status: Not downloaded with message

8/8/05 11:01 PM 10 of 10